1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP		
2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth L. Merrow, State Bar No. 328337		
3	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117		
4	Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112		
5	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com		
6	Counsel for Plaintiff		
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. 21CV002315		
13	a non-profit corporation,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT		
14	Plaintiff,) JUDGMENT AS TO SPEEDO USA,) SPEEDO INTERNATIONAL LTD.,		
15	vs.) AND PENTLAND BRANDS) LIMITED		
16	SPEEDO INTERNATIONAL LTD.,		
17	PENTLAND BRANDS LIMITED; and DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,		
18 19	Defendants.		
20)		
21			
22	1. INTRODUCTION		
23	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for		
24	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendants Speedo USA, Inc., Speedo International Ltd., and		
25	Pentland Brands Limited ("Settling Defendants"). CEH and Settling Defendants are referred to		
26	collectively as the "Parties."		
27	1.2 Settling Defendants are corporations that employ ten (10) or more persons and		
28	that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells latex caps used for swimming and other water		
PARED	-1-		

activities that are alleged by CEH to contain n-nitrosodiethylamine ("NDEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.

- Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.*) ("Notice") on Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of NDEA in latex caps used for swimming and other water activities that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendants.
- **1.4** On November 10, 2021, CEH filed the above-captioned action in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, naming Settling Defendants as defendants in this action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is, or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 "Reformulation Date" means nine months following the Effective Date.

ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 2.2 "Covered Products" means latex caps used for swimming and other water activities.
- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF RE COVERED PRODUCTS

- Defendants shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains NDEA in excess of 10 micrograms per kilogram (10 parts per billion ("ppb")) (the "Reformulation Level") that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers, except as set forth in Section 3.4. Covered Products purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Reformulation Date are not subject to the injunctive relief requirements of Section 3, even if such products are sold in California after the Reformulation Date.
- 3.2 Compliance Testing. Compliance with the Reformulation Level shall be determined using ISO Standard 19577 or by using solvent extraction and analysis using US EPA Method 8270 or US EPA Method 521, or any other comparable standards or methods as agreed upon by the Parties (the "Test Protocol") and performed by an independent and appropriately accredited and qualified laboratory.
- 3.3 Specification to and Certification from Suppliers. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall issue specifications to their suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products comply with the Reformulation Level and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing NDEA on a nationwide basis. Settling Defendants shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers of Covered Products confirming that all such Covered Products received by Settling Defendants for distribution in California comply with the Reformulation Level. Settling Defendants shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product complies with the Reformulation Level, or

(b) it has obtained a test result from an independent third-party accredited laboratory reporting that the Covered Product complies with the Reformulation Level.

- 3.4 Reformulation Alternative Clear and Reasonable Warnings. If Settling Defendants determine that it is not commercially feasible to comply with the Reformulation Level, Settling Defendants may elect to label Covered Products with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.4 and Title 27 California Code of Regulations section 25601, et seq (the "Warning Option").
 - 3.4.1 **Warning Language**. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including N-Nitrosodiethylamine, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging or tag of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the product is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. For websites and catalogs controlled by third-parties, Settling Defendants' compliance with the requirements of 27 C.C.R. § 25600.2 shall constitute compliance with Section 3.4 of this Consent Judgment.

- 3.4.2 **Notice to CEH of Election to Warn**. At least 30 days before selling or distributing any Covered Products with such warnings, the Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH that Settling Defendants have elected to utilize the Warning Option.
- 3.4.3 **Future Changes to Warning Regulations.** The Parties agree that the specifications for Clear and Reasonable Warnings in this Consent Judgment comply with

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Proposition 65 and its regulations as of the date of this Consent Judgment. If modifications or amendments to the warning provisions of Proposition 65 or its regulations after the Effective Date are inconsistent with, or provide warning specifications or options different from, the specifications in this Consent Judgment, either Party may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to comply with this Section by providing warnings that conform to the modified or amended provisions of Proposition 65 or its regulations pursuant to Section 6 of this Consent Judgment.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Alameda, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide each Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. In ruling on any motion to enforce the terms of this section, the Court may, in addition to ordering compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, employ such remedies as necessary to ensure compliance with Proposition 65 including, but not limited to, requiring Settling Defendants to provide warnings. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application to enforce a material violation of this Consent Judgment under this Section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendants prevail on any motion or application under this Section, Settling Defendants shall be entitled to their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.

5. PAYMENTS

5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of fifty-four thousand dollars and no cents \$54,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendants shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other -5-

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,250 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$6,750 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, \$ 3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about toxic chemicals, including carcinogenic nitrosamines such as NDEA and NDMA, work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government, businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to NDEA, NDMA, and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$38,250 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$36,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$2,250 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendants shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

10

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5.3 Payment if Settling Defendants Elect Warning Option. If Settling Defendants avail themselves of the Warning Option provided for by Section 3.4, Settling Defendants shall make an additional payment of \$10,800 to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.4.2. Of the additional payment, \$6,400 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$4,800 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$1,600 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The remaining \$4,400 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.4 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendants do not comply fully with their payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendants to submit a debtor's

8

9 10

11 12

14

13

16

15

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

examination in the Alameda County Superior Court. In the event that Settling Defendants fail to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendants in contempt of Court.

MODIFICATION 6.

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge and agree that certain events may provide a reason for modification of Section 3 of this Consent Judgment, including, but not limited to: (1) if Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations are changed from their terms as they exist on the Effective Date in a manner than impacts the Reformulation levels (including, but not limited to, a change in the No Significant Risk Level for NDEA, or the establishment of any "alternative risk level" for NDEA), (2) if OEHHA takes final regulatory action for products similar to the Covered Products in a manner than impacts the Reformulation Levels, and/or (3) if a court of competent jurisdiction enters a consent judgment setting a higher Reformulation Level for a product similar to the Covered Products.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendants and Settling Defendants' parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees, including without limitation Spiraledge, Inc. ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to NDEA contained in Covered Products that were manufactured, distributed, supplied, sold or offered for sale by Settling

9. COURT APPROVAL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State

ATTORNEYS' FEES 11.

- 11.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendants prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, Settling Defendants shall be entitled to their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.
- Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear 11.2 its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any

Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. SUBMISSION OF REPORTS AND DATA TO CEH

13.1 For any report or information that Settling Defendants submit to CEH pursuant to this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants may make such submissions subject to the terms of a protective order or Confidentiality Agreement.

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not a Settling Defendants (or a Defendant Releasee) on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

1 2	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
3		
4		
5	Dated:, 2022	dge of the Superior Court of the State of California
6		
7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9		
10		
11	Dated: <u>April 18</u> , 2022 CI	ENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
12		
13		11-11
14		Medal C
15	Mi Ch	ichael Green iief Executive Officer
16 17		
18	Dated: <u>April 20</u> , 2022 SPE	EDO USA, INC.
19		and the second s
20	Sign	nature
21	The state of the s	Sett Doorle
22		att Rock ted Name
23	р	resident
24	Title	
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED		-13-
ON RECYCLED PAPER		O INTERNATIONAL L'TD. & PENTLAND BRANDS E NO. 21CV002315

1	
2	Dated: April 20, 2022 SPEEDO INTERNATIONAL LTD.
3	
4	
5	Signature
6	Matt Rock
7	Printed Name
8	
9	Title
10	
11	Dated: April 20, 2022 PENTLAND BRANDS LIMITED
12	
13	Signature
14	Matt Rock
15	Printed Name
16	
17	Title
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-14- CONSENT JUDGMENT – SPEEDO USA, SPEEDO INTERNATIONAL LTD. & PENTLAND BRANDS
	LIMITED – CASE NO. 21CV002315