1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 SHICHU YU, an individual, Case No.: 22CV006412 **Reservation ID: 188386411881** 11 Plaintiff, AMENDED [PROPOSED] ν. JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO 12 **TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** 13 KENOVER MARKETING CORP., a New SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT York corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, **JUDGMENT** 14 inclusive, June 16, 2022 Date: Defendants. 15 Time: 3:00 p.m. Dept.: 20 16 Judge: Hon. Richard Seabolt Complaint filed: February 2, 2022 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	Plaintiff Shichu Yu and Defendant Kenover Marketing Corp. agreed through their		
2	respective counsel to enter judgment pursuant to the terms of their settlement in the form of		
3	stipulated judgment ("Consent Judgment"). ThisCourt issued an Order approving the Proposition		
4	65 Settlement and Consent Judgment on		
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and		
6	Safety Code, section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure, section 664.6, judgment is		
7	hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Amended Consent Judgment attached hereto		
8	as Exhibit A.		
9	By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement		
10	under Code of Civil Procedure, section 664.6.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13			
14			
15	Dated:		
16	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			



1 2 3 4 5 6	LAW OFFICE OF STEPHEN M. FRAYNE Stephen M. Frayne (SBN 188938) 3090 Glascock St., STE 101 Oakland, CA 94601 Tel: 510-479-1081 Email: stevefrayne@yahoo.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Shichu Yu SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
8	SHICHU YU, an individual,	Case No. 22CV006412		
9	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT		
11	V.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.		
12	KENOVER MARKETING CORP., a New York corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants.	and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)		
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
2223				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Shichu Yu, ("Yu" or "Plaintiff") and Kenover Marketing Corp. ("Defendant" or "KMC"), with Yu and KMC each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Yu is an individual residing in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general public. She seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

KMC employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Yu alleges that KMC manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale "Kedem Tea Biscuits Original" that contain acrylamide. Yu further alleges that KMC does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, acrylamide is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive harm.

1.5 Notices of Violation

On or around June 21, 2021, Yu served KMC, Ralphs Grocery Company, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that KMC had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to acrylamide contained in "Kedem Tea Biscuits Original."

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

27 ///

28 ///

///

///

1.6 "Covered Products" Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are "Kedem Tea Biscuits Original" manufactured or processed by KMC that allegedly contain acrylamide and are manufactured, sold, imported, or distributed for sale in California by KMC and/or the Releasees (as defined in section 4.1) ("Covered Products"), whether sold under the brand name KMC, or any other brand or private label at all grocery, retail, and other locations and sales channels, including on the Internet.

1.7 Complaint

On February 2, 2022, Yu filed a Complaint against KMC for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

KMC denies the material factual and legal allegations of the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect KMC's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over KMC as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Reformulation of Covered Products

Any Covered Products that KMC sells, imports, or distributes for sale in California on and after 180 days following the Effective Date shall not exceed 281 parts per billion ("ppb") for acrylamide, using tests performed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization, or an accredited laboratory in the Products' country of origin that is either generally recognized or is a government agency/regulator, using LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), HPLC-MS (High Performance Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or GS-MS/MS (Gas Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), unless such Covered Products comply with the warning requirements of Section 2.2.

2.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Under certain circumstances, as detailed below, KMC shall (if required to do so by this Consent Judgment) cause a warning to be provided for Covered Products. Such warning shall be required only for Covered Products manufactured on or after 180 days following the Effective Date that contain acrylamide in a concentration exceeding the 281-ppb level set forth in section 2.1 above, and that are manufactured and packaged for distribution for authorized sale or use in California.

- (a) KMC shall not distribute for sale in California any such Covered Products unless the label, as defined by Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 25600.1, contains a clear and reasonable warning that complies with Proposition 65 warning regulations, including Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 25600 et seq.
- (b) The parties agree that KMC shall be in compliance with this Section 2.2 if it uses (but is not required to use) one of the following Proposition 65 warning statements for any such Covered Products.

Option 1:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to Acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

Option 2:

MARNING: Cancer – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

7 8

9

6

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

///

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

If subsequently enacted changes to Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations require or allow the use of additional or different information on any warning specifically applicable to the Covered Products (the "New Safe Harbor Warning"), the Parties agree that the New Safe Harbor Warning may be utilized in place of or in addition to, as applicable, the warnings set forth in this Section.

(c) KMC's responsibilities to provide the warning described in this Section 2.2 shall continue so long as Proposition 65 remains in full force and effect or until a warning is no longer legally required. KMC may move the Court for a determination that the warning is no longer legally required.

Sell-Through Period 2.3

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, the injunctive requirements of Section 2.2 shall not apply to Covered Products that are already in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date or that are manufactured before 180 days following the Effective Date, which Covered Products are expressly subject to the releases provided in Section 4, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligation of KMC, or any Releasees (if applicable), do not apply to these Covered Products manufactured before 180 days following the Effective Date.

2.4 **Duties Limited to California**

This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on products manufactured for sale outside California, or offered for sale outside California.

MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS 3.

3.1 **Settlement Amount**

KMC shall pay seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of sixty-seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$67,500.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure

1	section 1021.5.	
2	3.2 Civil Penalty	
3	The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health	
4	and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty, five	
5	thousand six hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$5,625.00), paid to the California Office of	
6	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%)	
7	of the penalty, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five dollars (\$1,875.00), paid to Yu	
8	individually. These payments will be sent to the below addresses:	
9	All payments owed to Yu shall be delivered to the following address:	
10	Stephen M. Frayne	
11	Law Office of Stephen M. Frayne 3090 Glascock St., STE 101	
12	Oakland, CA 94601	
13	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA	
14	(Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:	
15	For United States Postal Service Delivery:	
16	Mike Gyurics Figgal Operations Propeh Chief	
17	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010	
18	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010	
19	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:	
20	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
21	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street	
22	Sacramento, CA 95814	
23	KMC agrees to provide Yu's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA,	
24	simultaneous with its penalty payments to Yu.	
25	Plaintiff and her counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as	
26	required. Relevant information is set out below:	
27	• "Law Office of Stephen M. Frayne" (EIN: 87-3654086) at the address provided in Section 3.3;	
28	and	

3.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs, sixty-seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$67,500.00), shall be paid to Yu's counsel, who are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs incurred by them in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to KMC's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

KMC shall provide their payment to Yu's counsel in one check, sixty-seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$67,500.00) payable to Law Office of Stephen M. Frayne and delivered to the following address:

Stephen M. Frayne Law Office of Stephen M. Frayne 3090 Glascock St., STE 101 Oakland, CA 94601

3.4 Timing

The above-mentioned checks shall be issued within fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date.

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Yu's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging or involving a failure to warn about exposures to acrylamide from the Covered Products up through the Effective Date, Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and for the general public, releases KMC and its owners, parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated entities under common ownership; the directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and assigns of each of the foregoing, including KMC; and each entity to which KMC directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees (collectively, the "Releasees"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to acrylamide from Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Kenover after the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims under Proposition

65 that were or could have been asserted against KMC and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in the Covered Products based on the allegation of the Notice and Complaint.

4.2 Yu's Individual Release of Claims

Yu, in her individual capacity, also provides a release to KMC and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of or relating to Covered Products or any other products or brands that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by KMC.

Yu and Yu's counsel represent and warrant that they have not filed and are not contemplating filing, that they are not aware of any other person who has filed or is contemplating filing, and that they have not engaged and do not intend to engage in any advertising or solicitation to locate additional persons to file, any form of complaint against KMC and/or Releasees.

4.3 KMC's Release of Yu

KMC hereby waives any and all claims against Yu and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Yu and her attorneys and other representatives in this matter, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against KMC.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then KMC may provide written notice to Yu of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

In the event the California Office of Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation or safe use determination, or issues an interpretive guideline that exempts Covered Products from meeting the requirements of Proposition 65; or if acrylamide cases are permanently enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction; or if Proposition 65 is determined to be preempted by federal law or a burden on First Amendment rights with respect to acrylamide in Covered Products or Covered Products substantially similar to Covered Products, then KMC shall be relieved of its obligation to comply with Section 2 herein.

8. <u>ENFORCEMENT</u>

In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

9. NOTICE

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

If to KMC:	If to Yu:

Ashley Simonsen
Covington & Burling LLP
Law Office of Stephen M. Frayne
Law Office of Stephen M. Frayne
3090 Glascock St., STE 101
Cos Angeles, CA 90067-4643
Oakland, CA 94601

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Yu agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Yu shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

///

13. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and supersedes any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party. **AGREED TO: AGREED TO:** Date: _____5/27/2021 By: KENOVER MARKETING CORP. JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT