1 2 3 4	Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY SMITH 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Gabriel Espinoza		
6			
7			
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
11	GABRIEL ESPINOZA,	Case No.: CGC-22-602662	
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT	
13	V,	Judge: Richard B. Ulmer Dept.: 302	
14	HEAD USA, INC.,	Hearing Date: May 19, 2023 Hearing Time: 9:30 AM	
15	Defendant.	Complaint Filed: October 28, 2022	
16 17			
18			
19		8	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	•		
26			
27			
28			

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Gabriel Espinoza acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Espinoza") and Head USA, Inc. ("Head USA" or "Defendant") with Espinoza and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Espinoza is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Head USA is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Espinoza alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to chromium (hexavalent compounds) ("chromium VI" or "(CrVI)") from its sales of Head Renegade racquet gloves without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. CrVI is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and adverse developmental effects in both males and females.
- 1.3 Notice of Violation/Complaint. On or about June 24, 2021, Espinoza served Head USA, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of Head Renegade racquet gloves expose users in California to CrVI. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On October 28, 2022, Espinoza filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Notice filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were, or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notice.

1.5 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

2. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 2.1 Covered Products. The term "Covered Products" means gloves with leather components, including but not limited to Head Renegade racquet gloves, that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by Head USA.
- 2.2 Effective Date. The term "Effective Date" means the date Head USA's counsel receives notice that this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

3. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS**

- 3.1 Clear and Reasonable Warning. Commencing on the Effective Date, Defendant shall provide a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 3.1 and 3.2 for all Covered Products that contain leather components that are tanned with chromium compounds that Defendant distributes or sells in California. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§ 3.1(a) or (b), respectively:
 - (a) Warning. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:
 - ⚠ WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including chromium (hexavalent compounds), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- (b) Alternative Warning: Head USA may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 3.1(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:
 - ⚠ WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- 3.2 A Warning or Alternative Warning provided pursuant to § 3.1 must print the word "WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to

triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The Warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling or provided for internet sales by including either the warning or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. The Warning must be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. If Head USA has actual knowledge Covered Products are being offered for sale via third-party websites to California consumers, Head USA shall provide such sellers with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.2.

- 3.3 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either adhering to §§ 3.1 and 3.2 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning regulations approved or adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), or by any other State of California agency authorized to issue regulations approving or adopting warnings as implementation of Proposition 65, or by statutes adopted by the California State Legislature or by the California voters applicable to the Covered Product and the exposures at issue after the Effective Date.
- 3.4 **Sell-Through Period.** Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers, such that compliance with the warnings called for in the preceding paragraphs shall not be required for such products.

attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Espinoza acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and Head USA, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they directly or indirectly obtain ("Upstream Releasees") and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees, retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to CrVI from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Head USA prior to the Effective Date. Defendant Releasees' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to exposure to CrVI from use of the Covered Products.
- 5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Espinoza, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby releases Defendant Releasees, Upstream Releasees and Downstream Releasees from all claims that he has asserted or could have asserted against said Releasees arising out of Proposition 65. Espinoza acting on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity further waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Head USA, Defendant Releasees, Upstream Releasees and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the

DM1\13772038.2

future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Head USA, Defendant Releasees, Upstream Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Espinoza hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 Head USA waives any and all claims against Espinoza, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Espinoza and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

6. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-

1	class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party		
2	by the other party at the following addresses:		
3	For Defendant:		
4	Paul S. Rosenlund		
5	Duane Morris LLP Spear Tower		
6 7	One Market Plaza, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127		
8	And		
9	For Espinoza:		
10	Evan Smith		
11	Brodsky & Smith 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900		
12	Beverly Hills, CA 90212		
13	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to		
	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
14	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>		
15	9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of		
16 17	which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and		
17	the same document.		
	10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT		
19	APPROVAL		
20	10.1 Espinoza agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health &		
21	Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment.		
22	Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.		
23	10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the		
24	Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the		
25	Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30		
26	days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.		
27			
28			

10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

11. MODIFICATION

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

12. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 12.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
3	R/28/12	Date: 02-06-2023
4	Date: 5	
5	By: CABRIEL ESPINOZA	By: Rose May Trayron. HEAD USA, INC.
6	Julian III III III III III III III III III I	TILAD OSA, INC.
7	VIII VII CO OPPONI	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DE	CCREED:
9	Dated:	
10	Dated:	Judge of Superior Court
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		