1	Brian Gaffney (State Bar No. 168778) LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY APC	
2	446 Old County Road, Suite 100-310 Pacifica, CA 94044	
3	Telephone: (650) 219 3187 Email: <u>brian@gaffneylegal.com</u>	
4	Fredric Evenson (State Bar No. 198059)	
5	ECOLOGY LAW CENTER P.O. Box 1000	
6	Santa Cruz, California 95061	
7	Telephone: (831) 454-8216 Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com	
8	Counsel for Plaintiff	
9	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION	
0	CLIDEDIOD COLIDE OF THE	
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE COUNTY OF SAN	
2	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION,	Case No.

Case No. CGC-21-596304

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANTS WOLF & ASSOCIATES, INC. DBA AIRWOLF 3D AND INGRAM MICRO INC.

Plaintiff,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WOLF & ASSOCIATES, INC. DBA AIRWOLF 3D, WOLF, INC., INGRAM MICRO INC., AMAZON.COM INC., & DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

1. INTRODUCTION

On October 28, 2021, the Ecological Rights Foundation ("ERF") acting on behalf of itself and in the public interest, filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-21-596304 against inter alia defendant WOLF & ASSOCIATES, INC. DBA AIRWOLF 3D (referred to herein as "WOLF") and INGRAM MICRO INC. (referred to herein as "INGRAM"). WOLF and INGRAM will collectively be referred to herein as "WOLF and INGRAM" or "Defendants"). ERF alleges, among other things, that Defendants violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings to those residents of California who use filaments

incorporating a styrene monomer Defendants have distributed, marketed, and/or sold.

- 1.2 ERF alleges that Defendants have distributed, marketed, and/or sold in the State of California filaments incorporating a styrene monomer. ERF contends that when California residents use these filaments in the operation of 3D printing devices, they are exposed to styrene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- 1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Covered Products" means the following filaments incorporating a styrene monomer: FLAME RETARDENT ABS (SKU# F25902, UPC # 857842005928); HIPS (SKU# F09903, UPC # 857842005355); MG94 ABS (SKU# F06101, UPC # 852971061012), Airwolf PREMIUM MG94 ABS SERIES FILAMENT 2.88MM NATURAL (VPN: F06203SKU: 8XC012) that are or will be distributed, marketed, or sold directly or indirectly by Defendants in California.
- 1.4 The Complaint is based upon a 60-Day Notice letter that ERF sent on August 4, 2021 to Defendants, the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000 that Defendants were in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn California consumers and customers that the Covered Products expose users to styrene (hereinafter "Notice.") No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.5 ERF alleges that Covered Products distributed, marketed or sold by Defendants release Styrene into the air. ERF further alleges that people using the Covered Products in the operation of 3D printing devices, and others standing in the same room, inhale styrene in the normal course of use. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.8, Styrene is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. ERF alleges that Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants for use in California require a warning under Proposition 65, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. The parties stipulate that this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

- 1.6 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. WOLF maintains that since at least November 2014, WOLF has included Material Safety Data Sheets containing Proposition 65 warnings on the airwolf3d.com product pages relating to ABS printing materials. ERF and Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims that were raised in the Complaint, based on the Notice, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendants alleged therein, for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Defendants denies, nor may this Consent Judgment, or compliance with it, be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Defendants. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any party under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 The term "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 Warning Statement for Covered Products
- (a) As of the Effective Date, WOLF will ensure that all Covered Products shall include the following warning statement with the Covered Product as set forth below:

WARNING: This Filament - when used in the operation of 3D printing devices or 3D Pens - can expose you and others in the same room to styrene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

ALWAYS USE THIS PRODUCT IN A WELL-VENTILATED AREA.

The warning requirements of Proposition 65 and compliance as outlined by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment state that the manufacturer can choose whether to put warning labels on their products or to provide notices to their distributors, importers or retail sellers, and WOLF is not statutorily obligated to affix labels to their products. However, in the spirit of settlement and compromise, WOLF will affix the above warning statement on the Covered Products and packaging, and printed in the Covered Products' instruction booklets (if

any).

- (b) INGRAM will contractually require the manufacturers from which it purchases anyCovered Products to comply with all applicable laws, including without limitation, Proposition65 in regards to the Covered Products.
- (c) For all Covered Products that are sold on the internet to persons located in California, within 14 calendar days of the Effective Date WOLF shall ensure that the above warning statement is included in any online material promoting the Covered Products which may be viewed by consumers in California, on each Covered Product's display page and by otherwise prominently displaying the warning statement to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase.
- (d) The warnings shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render them likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The type size of the warning must be legible, and no smaller than any other warning provided with the Covered Products and in no case less than twelve (12) point font. The words "WARNING" and "ALWAYS USE THIS PRODUCT IN A WELL-VENTILATED AREA" shall be in upper case letters and bold as in the above warnings in this paragraph. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign, label, or booklet for the Products does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white.

2.2 Notice to Downstream Releasees

The warning requirements of Proposition 65 and compliance as outlined by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment do not require Defendants to provide notices to downstream releasees. However, in the spirit of settlement and compromise, WOLF will, with reasonable assistance from INGRAM, provide notices to the Downstream Defendant Releasees no later than 30 days following the Effective Date, by mail, email, or other notice on its letterhead to all WOLF's customers and INGRAM's customers, of the Covered Products during the past three years for whom Defendants have contact information and shall provide as follows:

This letter is sent as a **notice and warning** that your California sales inventory may include Wolf & Associates styrene filaments which may expose users to Styrene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

The notice provided by Defendant pursuant to this section shall also recommend the Downstream Defendant Releasees to include online warnings consistent with Section 2.1(a), and attach stick on labels to the front of Covered Products in the inventory of the Downstream Defendant Releasees.

3. **SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS**

3.1 Civil Penalties and Payments In Lieu of Penalties

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), Defendants shall jointly pay a one-time payment of \$200.00 in civil penalties. The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Ecological Rights Foundation. Defendants will provide these payments in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: 1) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$150.00 and 2) "Ecological Rights Foundation" in the amount of \$50.00.

The payments to OEHHA and Ecological Rights Foundation will be paid by WOLF and sent no later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the Effective Date. All payments shall be sent via USPS certified mail, return receipt requested, to the following addresses: The payment to Ecological Rights Foundation shall be delivered to:

LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY, A Professional Corporation 446 Old County Road, Suite 100-310 Pacifica, California 94044

The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

3.2 Attorneys Fees and Litigation Costs

Defendants shall jointly pay ERF's counsel \$14,800.00 for ERF's fees and costs incurred in this matter. The payments shall be made payable to "LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY APC". Payments shall be made with the payment schedule as follows:

Payment 1: \$2,800.00 due fourteen (14) calendar days after the Effective Date.

Payment 2: \$3,000.00 due thirty (30) calendar days after the Effective Date.

Payment 3: \$3,000.00 due sixty (60) calendar days after the Effective Date.

Payment 4: \$3,000.00 due ninety (90) calendar days after the Effective Date.

Payment 5: \$3,000.00 due one hundred twenty (120) calendar days after the Effective Date.

The above payments shall be paid by WOLF, and sent via USPS certified mail, return receipt requested to the following address:

LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY, A Professional Corporation 446 Old County Road, Suite 100-310 Pacifica, California 94044

4. **RELEASE OF CLAIMS**

4.1 Release of Defendant

ERF acting on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees (all of whom, collectively, are defined as the "ERF Releasors"), releases WOLF and INGRAM, and their respective parents, subsidiaries, assigns, predecessors, successors, affiliated entities, members, marketplaces, directors, officers, agents, employees, insurers, and attorneys, and each entity to whom WOLF or INGRAM directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees, all other downstream entities in the distribution chain, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, the "Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from all claims raised in the Notice and in the Complaint through the Effective Date and all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands against WOLF and INGRAM and/or any of the Downstream Defendant Releasees of

5. **ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT**

any nature, character, or kind, limited to and arising out of claims based on alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for alleged exposures to styrene from use of the Covered Products. This settlement is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims that were asserted or could have been asserted against WOLF or INGRAM and/or the Downstream Defendant Releasees for the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for alleged exposures to styrene from use of the Covered Products.

4.2 Release of ERF and ERF Releasors

WOLF and INGRAM, on behalf of itself and its Releasees, hereby waives any and all claims against ERF and the ERF Releasors, for any and all actions taken or statements made by ERF and/or the ERF Releasors, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65, against WOLF and INGRAM in this matter with respect to the Covered Products.

4.3 California Civil Code Section 1542

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERF on behalf of itself only, on one hand, and WOLF and INGRAM, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Agreement is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

ERF and WOLF and INGRAM each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer within 14 calendar days after either Party receives written notice of an alleged violation of this Agreement. In any proceeding brought by either party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of this Consent Judgment.

5.2 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

6. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

ERF shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General on behalf of the parties so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) calendar days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are deemed by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the law of the State of California.

9. NOTICE

9.1 Notice of Alleged Violation of this Agreement

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any of the provisions of this Agreement, the Parties shall meet and confer within 14 calendar days after either Party receives written

1	notice of an alleged violation of this Agreement.
2	9.2 Notice Provided Pursuant to this Agreement
3	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and Notice required to be provided pursuant
4	to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) USPS
5	Priority Mail; or (ii) overnight or two-day courier on any party by the other party to the
6	following addresses:
7	
8	For WOLF: Erick Wolf
9	Airwolf 3D
10	6580 Spencer Street, B4 Las Vegas, NV 89119
11	With a copy to:
12	Jessica Helliwell The Helliwell Law Firm
13	27758 Santa Margarita Pkwy, # 509
14	Mission Viejo, CA 92691
15	For INGRAM: General Counsel
16	Ingram Micro Inc. 3351 Michelson Drive, Suite 100
17	Irvine, California 92612 With a copy to:
18	
19	Jessica Helliwell The Helliwell Law Firm
20	27758 Santa Margarita Pkwy, # 509 Mission Viejo, CA 92691
21	
22	For Ecological Rights Foundation:
23	Fredric Evenson Ecology Law Center
24	P.O. Box 1000 Santa Cruz, CA 95061
25	
26	With a copy to:
27	LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY, A Professional Corporation 446 Old County Road, Suite 100-310
28	Pacifica, California 94044

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all Notice and other communications shall be sent.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

11. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by PDF signature, which when taken together shall constitute one document.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by a written agreement of the Parties, and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon.

13. COURT APPROVAL

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment, have read, understood and agree to all the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment, and are authorized to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

AGREED TO:

Date: 5-22-2

Erick Wolf, President

WOLF & ASSOCIATES, INC. dba

AIRWOLF 3D

1	AGREED TO:
2	Date:
3	By:
4	ALAIN MONIE
5	Chief Executive Officer INGRAM MICRO, INC.
6	AGREED TO: / /
7	5/24/200
8	Date:
9	By: James Lamport, Executive Director
10	Ecological Rights Foundation
11	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:
12	
13	DATED:
14	
15	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16	
17	
17 18	
18	
18 19	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21 22 23	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	

1	AGREED TO:
2	Date:
3	— DocuSigned by:
4	Name:
5	Title: INGRAM MICRO, INC.
6	AGREED TO:
7	
8	Date:
9	By:
10	Ecological Rights Foundation
11	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:
12	
13	DATED:
14	
15	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
15 16	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18 19	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT