1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 327338 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. CGC-22-598022
12	a non-profit corporation,	
13 14	Plaintiff,	Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304
15	v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT
16	EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al.,	JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT F21 OPCO, LLC
17	Defendants.	121 Of CO, EDC
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1- CONSENT JUDGMENT (F21 OPCO, LLC) – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022	

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendant F21 Opco, LLC¹ ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 CEH alleges that Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, licenses, and/or sells socks made primarily of polyester with spandex that contain Bisphenol A ("BPA") in the State of California ("Covered Products") or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On September 1, 2021, CEH served 60-Day Notices of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of BPA in the Covered Products.
- 1.4 On February 4, 2022 CEH filed the original complaint. On March 21, 2022, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint naming both Settling Defendant and Forever 21, Inc. as defendants in the action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaints applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall

¹ F21 Opco, LLC is formerly known as Forever 21, Inc. This Consent Judgment resolves CEH's claims regarding the Covered Products as to both entities.

prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means socks made primarily of polyester with spandex that are manufactured, distributed, licensed or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 2.3 "Test Protocol" means a method for measuring total BPA content as set forth in Exhibit A.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- Reformulation of Covered Products. Within six months following the Effective Date (the "Reformulation Date"), Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, license, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product in California that contains BPA except as provided in Section 3.3 below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains BPA" if BPA is an intentionally added ingredient in either the Covered Product or a component of the Covered Product, or contains in excess of 1 part per million BPA as measured by the Test Protocol. Settling Defendant shall not replace the BPA with any other phenol (such as Bisphenol S or BPS). In the event that Settling Defendant learns either through Plaintiff or another means that the Products contain BPA or another phenol in excess of the requirements set forth herein, Settling Defendant shall notify its supplier that it has breached its specification. In the event that this happens a second time, Settling Defendant must change suppliers.
- 3.2 **Specification to Suppliers.** No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to their suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain BPA or any other phenol (such as Bisphenol S or BPS).
 - 3.3 **Sell-Through for Existing Inventory.** The reformulation requirements of

Section 3.1 shall not apply to Covered Products that Settling Defendant had entered into a binding agreement to purchase prior to the Effective Date, including but not limited to Covered Products in distribution centers, in inventory, or at retail locations.

3.4 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

3.4.1 **Election to Warn**. If Settling Defendant is unable to comply with the Reformulation provision set forth in Section 3.1 or otherwise elects to permanently avail itself of the warning option provided by this Section 3.4, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH prior to the Reformulation Date, and Settling Defendant concurrently shall make the additional payment specified in Section 5.2.5 below. Settling Defendant shall then provide Clear and Reasonable Warnings for each Covered Product sold in California. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Bisphenol A which is known to the State of California to be a developmental and female reproductive toxicant. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this

Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

4.2 The first time Plaintiff's Notice of Violation involves BPS or any phenol other than BPA, Settling Defendant must provide notice to its supplier as set forth in Section 3.2, but shall have no further liability for violating the terms of this Consent Judgment. However, any subsequent Notice of Violation involving BPS or any phenol other than BPA shall be governed by Section 4.1

5. PAYMENTS

- Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$72,500 and no cents as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set forth in this paragraph.
- Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a joint and several stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following

1 categories and made payable as follows: 2 \$9,800 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 3 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety 4 Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental 5 Health Hazard Assessment). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for 6 \$7,350 shall be made payable to OEHAA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-7 0284486/ This payment shall be delivered as follows: 8 For United States Postal Service Delivery: 9 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 10 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B 11 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 12 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 13 Attn: Mike Gyurics 14 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 15 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814 16 17 The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,450 shall be made payable to the Center for 18 Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This 19 payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 20 94117. 21 5.2.2 \$7,200 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH 22 pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 23 3204 and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use such funds to continue 24 its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including BPA, in 25 textiles and other products. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance 26 with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm 27 compliance. 28 -6-

5.2.3 \$55,500 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$46,800 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$8,700 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$7,350	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$2,450	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$7,200	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$46,800	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$8,700	LLG

5.3 If Settling Defendant avails itself of the permanent warning option provided for by Section 3.4, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$18,000 and no cents to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.4.1. Of the additional payment, \$9,200 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$6,900 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$2,300 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

identification number 94-3251981. \$2,000 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$6,800 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.4 Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure §708.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a Debtors Exam. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any

1	violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained in		
2	Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.		
3	7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under		
4	Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever		
5	discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream		
6	Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or		
7	common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually regarding		
8	the failure to warn about exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products sold by Settling		
9	Defendant prior to the Effective Date.		
10	7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant		
11	and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant,		
12	Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to		
13	warn about BPA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant		
14	after the Effective Date.		
15	7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Plaintiff's right to commence or prosecute an		
16	action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant		
17	Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.		
18	8. NOTICE		
19	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
20	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
21	Mark N. Todzo		
22	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
23	San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com		
24	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
25	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
26	t augment, the notice than be bent by that class and close one main to.		
27			
28			

John Allen Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, Ste. 2800 Los Angeles, CA 900017-2543 jallen@allenmatkins.com

8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.

 Plaintiff shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling

 Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

1	15.	AUTH	ORITY TO STIPULATI	E TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
2		15.1	Each signatory to th	is Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
3	autho	orized by the	he Party he or she represe	nts to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into
4	and e	execute the	Consent Judgment on bel	nalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
5	16.	NO EF	FECT ON OTHER SET	TLEMENTS
6		16.1	Nothing in this Conse	nt Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
7	again	st an entit	y other than Settling Defe	endant on terms that are different than those contained in
8	this Consent Judgment.			
9		16.2	If CEH enters into ar	ny consent judgment ("Settlement Document") with any
10	other	entity wit	th respect to an alleged far	ilure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in socks made
11	prima	arily of po	olyester with spandex in	which it agrees to different injunctive terms (including
12	witho	out limitati	ion a different Test Protoc	ol), it shall provide Settling Defendant with notice thereof
13	by se	rving the S	Settlement Document on the	he Attorney General for posting on its public website, and
14	Settli	ng Defend	lant may thereafter seek to	o modify this Consent Judgment to adopt those injunctive
15	terms	s and comp	oly with them instead of th	ose presently set forth in Section 3. If Settling Defendant
16	seeks	s to adopt	different injunctive terms,	it shall provide notice to CEH consistent with Section 6
17	of th	is Consent	t Judgment and CEH agre	ees to meet and confer in accordance with that provision
18	and n	ot to oppo	ose Settling Defendants re	quest for modification provided that the products at issue
19	in the	e Settleme	nt Document are substanti	ally similar to the Covered Products.
20				
21		Y Y C C		
22		IT IS S	O ORDERED:	
23	6		2022	
24	Date	ed:	, 2022	Judge of the Superior Court
25				
26				
27				
28				
ARED				-12-

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: October 18, 2022 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
4		
5		
6	Ryf	
7	Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer	
8	internit Cities Executive Offices	
9		
10	Dated: October <u>5</u> , 2022 F21 OPCO, LLC	
11	Brad Sell	
12	Signature Scu	
13	Brad Sell	
14	Printed Name	
15	CFO, F21 Opco,LLC	
16	Title	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-13-	
J., ALCICLED I ALEK	CONSENT HIDGMENT (E21 OPCO 11 C) CASE NO. CGC 22 508022	

CONSENT JUDGMENT (F21 OPCO, LLC) – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

EXHIBIT A "Test Protocol" as defined in Section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment means the following test method: a. Obtain homogenized 1 gram sample of the sock by shredding the entire sock and taking a representative 1-gram sample of the shreds. b. Add the 1-gram sock sample to 10 ml of acetonitrile c. Heat the solution using a hot plate for 3 hours at 40 degrees Celsius d. Analytical method - Isotope dilution LC-Tandem MS (LC-MS) e. Limit of detection 1 ppm f. Reporting -- BPA concentration in mg of BPA per kg of sample -14-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER