SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, v. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC PORCHAMENT PREMARING ON RECYCLIAD PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT - FIT FOR LIFE LLC - CASE NO. CGC-22-598022	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 327338 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC FOR LIFE LLC Defendants.	8	GUDEDIOD COURT OF THE	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, v. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants.	9		
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC PROPOSED STONEY JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schu	10	COUNTY OF SAN	FRANCISCU
a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC TORRESPONDENT STORMS ST	11		
Plaintiff, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT FIT FOR LIFE LLC FIT FOR LIFE LLC Defendants.	12	· ·	Case No. CGC-22-598022
14 15 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Document Preparage on Rick Culd Paper	13		
EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al.,	14		_
16 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Document Preprared on Ricyclete Paper	15		JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	16	EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al.,	FII FOR LIFE LLC
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	17	Defendants.	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	18		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	19		
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	20		
23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	21		
24 25 26 27 28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	22		
25 26 27 28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper ————————————————————————————————————	23		
26 27 28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper ————————————————————————————————————	24		
27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER -1-	25		
Document Prepared on Recycled Paper ————————————————————————————————————	26		
DOCUMENT PREPARED on Recycled Paper ————————————————————————————————————	27		
ON RECYCLED PAPER			

1

5

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendant Fit For Life, LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, licenses, and/or sells socks made primarily of polyester with spandex that contain Bisphenol A ("BPA") in the State of California ("Covered Products") or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On September 1, 2021, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of BPA in socks made primarily of polyester with spandex.
- 1.4 On February 4, 2022 CEH filed the original complaint. On March 21, 2022, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in the action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and

the warning option provided by this Section 3.4, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH prior to the Reformulation Date, and Settling Defendant concurrently shall make the additional payment specified in Section 5.2.5 below. Settling Defendant shall then provide Clear and Reasonable Warnings for each Covered Product sold in California. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Bisphenol A (BPA) which is known to the State of California to be a developmental and female reproductive toxicant. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail,

1	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010			
2	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:			
3	Attn: Mike Gyurics			
4 5	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment			
6	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814			
7	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$675 shall be made payable to the Center for			
8	Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This			
9	payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA			
10	94117.			
11	5.2.2 \$1,900 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH			
12	pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §			
13	3204 and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use such funds to continue			
14	its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including BPA, in			
15	textiles and other products. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance			
16	with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm			
17	compliance.			
18	5.2.3 \$15,400 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable			
19	attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two			
20	separate checks as follows: (a) \$13,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with			
21	taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$2,400 payable to the Center for			
22	Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of			
23	these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco			
24	CA 94117.			
25	5.2.1 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the			
26	payees and in the amounts set forth below:			
27				
28				

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$2,025	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$675	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$1,900	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$13,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$2,400	LLG

5.3 If Settling Defendant avails itself of the permanent warning option provided for by Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$5,000 and no cents to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.2. Of the additional payment, \$2,000 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$1,500 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.4 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available

27

to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit a debtor's examination in the Alameda County Superior Court. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

5.5 **Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations.** Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure §708.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a Debtors Exam. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products that were purchased by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

-9-

discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS/ "MOST FAVORED NATION"

25 CLAUSE

16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

1	16.2	If CEH enters into an	ny consent judgment ("Settlement Document") wi	ith any
2	other entity in this action with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in			
3	socks made primarily of polyester with spandex in which it agrees to different injunctive terms,			
4	Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to adopt those injunctive terms and			
5	comply with them	comply with them instead of those presently set forth in Section 3. If Settling Defendant seeks to		
6	adopt different injunctive terms, it shall provide notice to CEH consistent with Section 6 of this			
7	Consent Judgment	Consent Judgment. If CEH enters into any Settlement Document with any other entity in this action		
8	with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in socks made primarily of			
9	polyester with spandex that contains a different test protocol for determining the total content of			
10	BPA in socks, Settling Defendant may use that test protocol in addition to the one set forth in			
11	Exhibit A.			
12				
13	IT IC CO.	ODDEDED.		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED:			
15	Dotada	2022	Index of the Council of Count	
16	Dated:	, 2023	Judge of the Superior Court	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED			-12-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER			14	

CONSENT JUDGMENT - FIT FOR LIFE LLC – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: January <u>26</u> , 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		Report
7		Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer
8		internii Ciner Executive Officer
9		
10	Dated: January, 2023	FIT FOR LIFE, LLC
11		
12		Signature
13		
14		Printed Name
15		
16		Title
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-13-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - FIT FOR LIFE LLC - CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Datada January 2022	
3 4	Dated: January, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		
6		
7		Michael Green
8		Chief Executive Officer
9		
10	Dated: January <u>26,</u> 2023	FIT FOR LIFE, LLC
11		\mathcal{M}
12		Signature
13		
14		Joseph Maleh Printed Name
15		
16		MEMBER Title
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		10
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-13-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - FIT FOR LIFE LLC – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

1	EXHIBIT A	
2		
3	"Test Protocol" as defined in Section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment means the following test	
4	method:	
5	 a. Obtain homogenized 1 gram sample of the sock by shredding the sock and taking a representative 1-gram sample of the shreds. 	
6	b. Add the 1-gram sock sample to 10 ml acetonitrile	
7		
8	c. Heat the solution using a hot plate for 3 hours at 40 degrees Celsius	
9	d. Analytical method - Isotope dilution LC-Tandem MS (LC-MS)	
10	e. Limit of detection 1 ppm	
11	f. Reporting BPA concentration, mg of BPA per kg of sample	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-14-	
	CONSENT HIDGMENT - FIT FOR LIFE LLC - CASE NO. CGC 22-598022	

CONSENT JUDGMENT - FIT FOR LIFE LLC – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022