Son Divisadero Street Sam Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 759-4112 modzoelles lawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SUPERIOR COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Proposed Consent of Defendants Defendants. Defendants.	1 2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 327338 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP	
Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, v. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304. [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL Defendants.		503 Divisadero Street	
micotzo@lexlawgroup.com merrow@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, v. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 IPROPOSEDJ CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL Defendants.		Telephone: (415) 913-7800	
Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 IPROPOSEDI CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL		mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, v. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL Defendants.	6	Counsel for Plaintiff	
SUPERIOR COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL Defendants.	7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL INTERNATIONAL Defendants. Defendants.	8		
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL INTERNATIONAL Defendants.	9		
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Case No. CGC-22-598022 Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL NTERNATIONAL Defendants.	10	COUNTY OF SAN	FRANCISCO
a non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, v. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL INTERNATIONAL Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL	11		
Plaintiff, Plaintiff, V. EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants. Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL INTERNATIONAL Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL INTERNATIONAL Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL	12	,	Case No. CGC-22-598022
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Document Prepared On Ricycled Paper	13		
EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL	14	·	_
16 Defendants. 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 20 20 21 22 28 25 26 27 28 20 20 21 22 25 26 27 28 20 20 21 22 20 21 22 25 26 27 28 20 20 21 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	15		JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	16	EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al.,	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	17	Defendants.	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	18		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	19		
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	20		
23 24 25 26 27 28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	21		
24 25 26 27 28 Document Prepared	22		
25 26 27 28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper -1-	23		
26 27 28 Document Prepared	24		
27 28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper -1-	25		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER -1-	26		
Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	27		
ON RECYCLED PAPER			
		-	

8

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendant Infinity Classics International ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2 Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, licenses, and/or sells socks made primarily of polyester with spandex that contain Bisphenol A ("BPA") in the State of California ("Covered Products") or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On September 1, 2021, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. On August 9, 2023, CEH served a supplemental Notice on the same parties. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of BPA in socks made primarily of polyester with spandex.
- 1.4 On February 4, 2022 CEH filed the original complaint. On March 21, 2022, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint. Both the original complaint and operative First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") name Settling Defendant as a defendant to the action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any

compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and

understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. In lieu of the preceding warning content and methods set forth above, Settling Defendant may use any specific safe harbor warning content and method applicable to the Covered Products set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600, *et seq.*, as amended August 30, 2018, and subsequently thereafter. In the event Settling Defendant agrees to use the specific warning language set forth in this paragraph, it will make the reduced payments identified in Section 5.2. for the long-form warning. In the event Settling Defendant elects to use another safe harbor warning other, it shall also make payments outlined in Section 5.3.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. PAYMENTS

Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$85,000 and no cents as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before

3

8

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

18

17

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$8,500 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204 and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including BPA, in textiles and other products. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance.

5.2.3 \$65,000 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$55,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$10,000 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.1 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$8,625	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$2,875	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$8,500	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$55,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$10,000	LLG

5.3 If Settling Defendant elects to use any other safe harbor warning language other than the specific warning language set forth in Section 3.2.1, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$5,000 and no cents to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein. Of the additional payment, \$2,500 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$1,875 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$625 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$1,000 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a debtor's examination in the San Francisco County Superior Court. Settling Defendant shall be entitled to appear at such a debtor's examination remotely, subject to the approval of the San Francisco County Superior Court; however, CEH shall not object to such a remote appearance. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

6. MODIFICATION

6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to

25

26

27

28

time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest, and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Defendant Releasees distribute or sell Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding Covered Products sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about BPA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Plaintiff's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant

1	Relea	asees, or Do	ownstream Defendant Releasees.	
2	8.	NOTIC	E	
3		8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
4	notice	e shall be s	ent by first class and electronic mail to:	
5			Mark N. Todzo	
6			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street	
7			San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
8		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
9	Judgr	ment, the no	otice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:	
10			Matthew I. Kaplan	
11			Tucker Ellis LLP 515 South Flower Street, 42nd Floor	
12			Los Angeles, CA 90071 Matthew.kaplan@tuckerellis.com	
13		0.2		
14	h.,	8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent	
15	•	C	other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.	
16	9.		APPROVAL	
17		9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.	
18	Plaintiff shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling			
19	Defe	ndant shall	support entry of this Consent Judgment.	
20		9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or	
21	effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any			
22	purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.			
23	10.	GOVER	RNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION	
24		10.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State	
25	of Ca	lifornia.		
26	11.	ATTOR	NEYS' FEES	
27		11.1	Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause,	
28	or oth	ner proceed	ing to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to	
PARED			0	

its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification means a justification that is well grounded in both law and fact.

- 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

	ı			
1	13.	SUCCI	ESSORS AND ASSIGNS	
2		13.1	This Consent Judgment	shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling
3	Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or			
4	assign	s of any	of them.	
5	14.	RETE	NTION OF JURISDICT	ION
6		14.1	This Court shall retain	n jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
7	Conse	nt Judgm	nent.	
8	15.	15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
9		15.1	Each signatory to th	is Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
10	author	ized by t	he Party he or she represen	nts to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into
11	and ex	ecute the	Consent Judgment on bel	alf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
12	16.	NO EF	FECT ON OTHER SET	TLEMENTS/ "MOST FAVORED NATION"
13	CLAU	JSE		
14		16.1	Nothing in this Conser	nt Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
15	against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in			
16	this Co	onsent Ju	dgment.	
17		16.2	If CEH enters into an	y consent judgment ("Settlement Document") with any
18	other entity in this action with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in			
19	socks made primarily of polyester with spandex in which it agrees to different injunctive terms,			
20	Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to adopt those injunctive terms and			
21	comply with them instead of those presently set forth in Section 3. If Settling Defendant seeks to			
22	adopt different injunctive terms, it shall provide notice to CEH consistent with Section 6 of this			
23	Consent Judgment.			
24		IT IS S	O ORDERED:	
25				
26	Dated	d:	, 2023	Hon. Ethan P. Schulman
27				
28				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER				-11-

 $CONSENT\ JUDGMENT\ -\ INFINITY\ CLASSICS\ INTERNATIONAL\ -\ CASE\ NO.\ CGC-22-598022$

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: October 27, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		1/0
6		
7		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
8		Chief Executive Officer
9		
10	Dated: October, 2023	INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL
11		
12		Signature
13		
14		Printed Name
15		
16		Title
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL - CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: October, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		
7		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
8		Chief Executive Officer
9		
10	Dated: October 18, 2023	INFINITY GLASSICS INTERNATIONAL
11		
12		Signature
13		
14		Joseph Steinberg Printed Name
15		*
16		Owner
17	i i	Title
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - INFINITY CLASSICS INTERNATIONAL – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022