1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 327338 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8	OLIDEDIOD COLUDE OF THE	STATE OF CALLEDNAL	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF SAN	FRANCISCO	
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	Case No. CGC-22-598022	
13		[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT	
14	Plaintiff,	MAD ENGINE, LLC	
15	V.		
16	EASY SPIRIT LLC, et al.,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT (MAD ENGINE.	1-	
ı	CONSENT JUDGMENT (MAD ENGINE,	, LLC) = CASE NO. COC-22-370022	

### 1. INTRODUCTION

2

4

1

1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendant Mad Engine, LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

5

1.2 Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, licenses, and/or sells socks made primarily of polyester with spandex that contain Bisphenol A ("BPA") in the State of California ("Covered Products") or has done so in the past.

7 8

9

1.3 On October 13, 2021, CEH served 60-Day Notices of Violation under
Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health
& Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney
General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys
for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice
alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of BPA in the Covered Products.

11

12

13

10

1.4 On February 4, 2022 CEH filed the original complaint. On March 21, 2022, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint. On April 4, 2022, CEH amended the

14 15

Complaint to name Settling Defendant as a defendant in the action.

1617

18

19

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaints applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court

2021

has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

2223

1.6

the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance

24

with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,

Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by

25

conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall

26

prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any

27

other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and

28

is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in

to CEH prior to the Reformulation Date, and Settling Defendant concurrently shall make the additional payment specified in Section 5.2.5 below. Settling Defendant shall then provide Clear and Reasonable Warnings for each Covered Product sold in California. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



**WARNING:** This product can expose you to chemicals including Bisphenol A which is known to the State of California to be a developmental and female reproductive toxicant. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

#### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail,

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$2,025	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$675	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$1,900	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$13,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$2,400	LLG

5.3 If Settling Defendant avails itself of the permanent warning option provided for by Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$5,000 and no cents to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.2. Of the additional payment, \$2,000 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$1,500 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.4 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available

27

28

to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit a debtor's examination in the Alameda County Superior Court. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

5.5 Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure §708.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a Debtors Exam. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

#### 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

\_\_

DOCUMENT PREPARED

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.

Plaintiff shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling

Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.

9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

#### 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

#### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby

-10-

merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

#### 13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

#### 14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

# 16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS/ "MOST FAVORED NATION" CLAUSE

16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	16.2 If CEH enters into any consent judgment ("Settlement Document") with any		
2	other entity in this action with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in		
3	socks made primarily of polyester with spandex in which it agrees to different injunctive terms,		
4	Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to adopt those injunctive terms and		
5	comply with them instead of those presently set forth in Section 3. If Settling Defendant seeks to		
6	adopt different injunctive terms, it shall provide notice to CEH consistent with Section 6 of this		
7	Consent Judgment.		
8			
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
11			
12	Dated:, 2022 Judge of the Superior Court		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-12-		

CONSENT JUDGMENT (MAD ENGINE, LLC) – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Mov 45, 2022	
3	May 15, 2022 Dated: April, 2022	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		Michael (
7		Michael Green
8		Chief Executive Officer
9		
10	Dated: April <u>13</u> , 2022	MAD ENGINE LLC
11		.) / 5/ .
12		Noah Steinsapir Signature
13		
14		Noah Steinsapir Printed Name
15		Timed Name
16		General Counsel & EVP of Legal Title
17		Title
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-13-

CONSENT JUDGMENT (MAD ENGINE, LLC) – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

#### **EXHIBIT A**

## "Test Protocol" as defined in Section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment means the following test method:

- a. Obtain homogenized 1 gram sample of the sock by shredding the entire sock and taking a representative 1-gram sample of the shreds.
- b. Add the 1-gram sock sample to 10 ml of acetonitrile
- c. Heat the solution using a hot plate for 3 hours at 40 degrees Celsius
- d. Analytical method Isotope dilution LC-Tandem MS (LC-MS)
- e. Limit of detection 1 ppm
- f. Reporting -- BPA concentration in mg of BPA per kg of sample