3 50% Sair Tel 4 Fac mtc 5 min 5 min 5 min 6 Co CE 7 8 9 10 11 12 CE a n 13 14 15	eredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 328337 EXINGTON LAW GROUP 3 Divisadero Street n Francisco, CA 94117 lephone: (415) 913-7800 csimile: (415) 759-4112 odzo@lexlawgroup.com nerrow@lexlawgroup.com eunsel for Plaintiff ENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S COUNTY OF SAN ENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, non-profit corporation, Plaintiff, v. ASY SPIRIT LLC, et al., Defendants.	
19		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		1-

INTRODUCTION

3 4

1

2

6

5

8

7

10

9

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendant Gertex Hosiery Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2 Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, licenses, and/or sells socks made primarily of polyester with spandex that contain Bisphenol A ("BPA") in the State of California ("Covered Products") or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On October 13, 2021, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of BPA in socks made primarily of polyester with spandex.
- 1.4 On February 4, 2022 CEH filed the original complaint. On March 21, 2022, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint. Both the original complaint and operative First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") name Settling Defendant as a defendant to the action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and

-2-

3.3 **Sell-Through for Existing Inventory.** The reformulation requirements of Section 3 shall not apply to Covered Products that Settling Defendant has purchased prior to the Effective Date, including but not limited to Covered Products in the process of manufacture, production, distribution, or in inventory or distribution centers, or at retail locations.

3.4 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

3.4.1 **Election to Warn**. Settling Defendant intends to comply with the Reformulation provision set forth in Section 3.1 and test all batches (lots) of Products. In the event, however, that a specific SKU or SKUs exceed the Reformulation Level, Settling Defendant may comply with the terms of this section through use of Clear and Reasonable Warnings, as set forth below. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals, including Bisphenol A (BPA) which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If consumer information on the product is in a foreign language, the warning will be given in the foreign language. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

3.4.2 Reporting Covered Products that Exceed the Reformulation Level to CEH. During the first twelve months following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant is required to report to CEH the percentage of Covered Products for which Settling Defendant

13

14

15

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

provided clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warnings. If Settling Defendant provides clear and reasonable warnings on 1% or more Covered Products sold in California, Settling Defendant will be required to make an additional payment of \$13,000 as set forth in Section 5.4 below.

ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. **PAYMENTS**

- Payments by Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall pay the total 5.1 settlement amount of \$55,000 as a settlement payment in three installments as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set forth in this paragraph.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be allocated between civil penalties, an additional settlement payment and attorneys' fees and costs as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a joint and several stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due dates set forth in Section 5.3.1-5.3.3. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to

1	Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set
2	forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
3	5.2.1 \$7,255 of the total payment is a civil penalty pursuant to Health &
4	Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with
5	Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of
6	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil
7	penalty payment for \$5,441.25 shall be made payable to OEHAA and associated with taxpayer
8	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
9	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
10	Attn: Mike Gyurics
11	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
12	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
13	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
14	
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
16	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B
17	Sacramento, CA 95814
18	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,813.75 shall be made payable to the Center
19	for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This
20	payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco,
21	CA 94117.
22	5.2.2 \$5,440 of the total payment is an Additional Settlement Payment
23	("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of
24	Regulations, Title 11, § 3204 and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use
25	such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic
26	chemicals, including BPA, in textiles and other products. CEH may also use a portion of such
27	funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling
28	

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Defendant's products to confirm compliance.

5.2.3 \$42,305 of the total payment is a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be divided as follows: (a) \$35,805 payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$6,500 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.3 The payments described above shall be payable in three installments of \$30,000, \$15,000 and \$10,000 and shall be payable as set forth below.

5.3.1 The first installment of \$30,000 shall be due within ten (10) days following the Effective Date, and paid in five separate checks made out to the payees as follows:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$ 5,441.25	OEHHA per Section 5.1.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$ 1,813.75	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$ 5,440	LLG
Lexington Law Group, LLP	Fee and Cost	\$ 10,805	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$ 6,500	LLG

5.3.2 The second installment of \$15,000 shall be due within sixty (60) days following the Effective Date, with one check made payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP.

5.3.3 The third installment of \$10,000 shall be due within one hundred twenty (120) days following the Effective Date, with one check made payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP.

5.4 If Settling Defendant avails itself of the warning option provided for by Section 3.3 for more than 1% of the Covered Products sold in California during the first 12 months following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$\$13,000 and no cents to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its

-7-

written notice as provided in Section 3.2. Of the additional payment, \$6,500 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of 4,875 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$1,625 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$2,000 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$4,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.2.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.5 **Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations.** Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a debtor's examination in the San Francisco County Superior Court. Settling Defendant shall be entitled to appear at such a debtor's examination remotely, subject to the approval of the San Francisco County Superior Court; however, CEH shall not object to such a remote appearance. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

6. MODIFICATION

6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of

9

13

14

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest, and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Defendant Releasees distribute or sell Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products that were manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under 7.2 Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding Covered Products purchased by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about BPA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Plaintiff's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant

1	Relea	sees, or D	ownstream Defendant Releasees.
2	8.	NOTIC	\mathbf{E}
3		8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
4	notice	e shall be s	sent by first class and electronic mail to:
5			Mark N. Todzo
6			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
7			San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com
8		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
9	Judgr	nent, the n	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
10			Garth N. Ward
11			Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 550 West C Street, Suite 1700
12 13			San Diego, CA 92101 Garth.Ward@lewisbrisbois.com
13		8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent
15	by se	nding the	other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
16	9.	COURT	ΓAPPROVAL
17		9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.
18	Plain	tiff shall p	repare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling
19	Defer	ndant shall	support entry of this Consent Judgment.
20		9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
21	effect	and shall	never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
22	purpo	se other th	nan to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
23	10.	GOVE	RNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
24		10.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State
25	of Ca	lifornia.	
26	11.	ATTOF	RNEYS' FEES
27		11.1	Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause,
28	or oth	ner proceed	ding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to
PARED			-10-

its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification means a justification that is well grounded in both law and fact.

- 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 101 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

_

1 1

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS/ "MOST FAVORED NATION"

CLAUSE

- 16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 16.2 If CEH enters into any consent judgment ("Settlement Document") with any other entity in this action with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in socks made primarily of polyester with spandex in which it agrees to different injunctive terms, Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to adopt those injunctive terms and comply with them instead of those presently set forth in Section 3. If Settling Defendant seeks to adopt different injunctive terms, it shall provide notice to CEH consistent with Section 6 of this Consent Judgment. If CEH enters into any Settlement Document with any other entity in this action with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in socks made primarily of polyester with spandex that contains a different test protocol for determining the total content of BPA in socks, Settling Defendant may use that test protocol in addition to the one set forth in Exhibit A.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
2		
3	Dated:, 2024	Hon. Ethan P. Schulman
4		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
6	II is so sill chills.	
7 8	Dated: September 4, 2024	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
9		
10		V C
11		
12		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
13		
14		
15	Dated: September 3, 2024	GERTEX HOSIERY INC.
16		Barry Digitally signed by
17		Signature Barry Rotenberg
18		Rotenberg Date: 2024.08.26 12:09:47 -04'00'
19		Printed Name
20		VP Title
21 22		Title
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-13-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - GERTEX HOSIERY INC. – CASE NO. CGC-22-598022

1		EXHIBIT A
2		
3	"Test Protocol" as defined in Section 2.5 of the Consent Judgment means the method:	
4	inetiid	
5	a.	Homogenized sample of minimum 1 gram, cut in a manner to include materials from each region and color of the sock.
6	h	Overtitative colvent extraction by acatemitrile If acatemitrile not available may substitute
7 8	D.	Quantitative solvent extraction by acetonitrile. If acetonitrile not available, may substitute with methanol.
9	c.	Extraction by EPA methods 3540 (Soxhlet), 3546 (microwave), or hot plate for 3 hours at 40 degrees Celsius.
10		
11	d.	Analysis by LC/MS-MS, with isotope dilution; HPLC-DADMS, subject to performance criteria below. GC/MS-MS may be used if other methods not available and no
12		derivatization of BPA is required.
13 14	e.	Reporting limit of 0.5 mg/kg or lower.
	f.	Performance criteria – demonstration of accuracy, precision, and quality control, per EPA
15 16		Method 3500C sections 9, 11, and 13. Include on-going routine quality control testing of method blanks, laboratory control samples/duplicates, and matrix spike
17		samples/duplicates.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
REPARED PAPER		-14-