1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY SMITH 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
11	DONATUS MCCOY,	Case No.: CGC-22-601262
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	V	Judge: Richard B. Ulmer
14	ALOE CARE INTERNATIONAL, LLC, WEST MARINE PRODUCTS, INC.,	Dept.: 302 Hearing Date: December 5, 2023
15	Defendants.	Hearing Time: 9:30 AM Complaint Filed: August 15, 2022
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1	

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 **The Parties.** This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Donatus McCoy ("McCoy" or "Plaintiff") and Aloe Care International, LLC ("Aloe Care" or "Defendant") with McCoy and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Plaintiff is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Aloe Care is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 **Allegations and Representations.** McCoy alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to diethanolamine (DEA) from its sales of Aloe Up White Collection SPF 50 Sunscreen Lotion, Lot # 22721 without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DEA is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- 1.3 **Notice of Violation/Complaint.** On or about November 4, 2021, McCoy served Defendant, West Marine Products, Inc., West Marine Products Florida, Inc. (collectively, "West Marine"), and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"). The Notice provided Defendant, West Marine, and such others, including public enforcers, with notice that alleged that Defendant Aloe Care was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, for failing to warn California consumers and customers that use of the Products (defined herein) will expose them to DEA. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On August 15, 2022, McCoy filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts

alleged therein and/or in the Notice.

1.5 Defendants deny the material allegations contained in the Notice and Action and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendants. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendants under this Consent Judgment.

2. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means Aloe Up White Collection SPF 50 Sunscreen Lotion, Lot # 22721, that was distributed, sold or offered for sale in California by Defendant.
- 2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: COMMITMENT NOT TO SELL

3.1 **Commitment not to sell Covered Products.** Commencing within sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Defendant agrees that it shall not manufacture for sale, offer for sale, sell, or distribute Covered Products in or to California.

4. MONETARY TERMS

- 4.1 **Civil Penalty.** Defendant shall pay \$2,000.00 as a Civil Penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Plaintiff, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).
- 4.1.1 Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; and to (b) "Donatus McCoy" in the amount of \$500. Payment owed to McCoy pursuant to this Section shall be delivered to the following payment address:

licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members, ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEA from use of Covered Products, including any Covered Products manufactured or imported by Defendant prior to 30 days after the Effective Date. It is the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other actions by private enforcers, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against Defendant and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products, including West Marine, re exposure to DEA from use of Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims").

5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Plaintiff specifically waives any and all rights and benefits he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 Defendant waives any and all claims against Plaintiff, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken, or statements made (or those that could have been

2

3

taken or made) by Plaintiff and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this Action, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

6. **INTEGRATION**

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. If Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Defendant:

Anne T. Regan Hellmuth & Johnson, PLLC 8050 W 78th St., Minneapolis, MN 55439

For Plaintiff:

Evan Smith Brodsky Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which

all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or electronically (such as by PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT</u> <u>APPROVAL</u>

- 10.1 Plaintiff agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. Defendant agrees it shall not oppose such Motion unless such Motion is materially inconsistent with the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30 days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.
- 10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

11. MODIFICATION AND ENFORCEMENT

- 11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.
- 11.2 If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand, which shall not be less than thirty (30) days. No private enforcer other than Plaintiff may enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

CONSENT JUDGMENT

3

1