1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ENTORNO LAW, LLP Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777) Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: craig@entornolaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11 12	corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Case No.: 22CV006660 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)	
13 14			
15 16 17			
18	Defendants.		
19		•	
2021			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. ("EHA" or "Plaintiff") and Edgewell Personal Care Brands, LLC ("Defendant" or "EPCB"), with EHA and EPCB each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is a corporation organized in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

EPCB employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

EHA alleges that EPCB manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale Covered Products that contain benzene. EHA further alleges that EPCB does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, benzene is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Notices of Violation

On or around November 5, 2021, EHA issued a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice") to EPCB, Bed Bath & Beyond Inc., the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies. The Notice alleged that EPCB violated Proposition 65 by failing to provide Proposition 65 warnings to consumers in California associated with exposures to benzene contained in the Covered Products.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.6 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are Banana Boat® and Hawaiian Tropic® aerosol sunscreens manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by EPCB that allegedly contain benzene and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California ("Covered Products").

1.7 State of the Pleadings

On or around February 7, 2022, EHA filed a Complaint against EPCB for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

EPCB denies the material factual and legal allegations of the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the Covered Products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, including Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect EPCB's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over EPCB as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court signs this Consent Judgment and all Parties have been provided with a copy.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Reformulation of the Covered Products

Any Covered Products that are manufactured by or for EPCB after the Effective Date that are thereafter sold or distributed for sale in California by EPCB without a warning shall not contain more than 2 parts per million ("ppm") of benzene from each lot, unless such Covered Products comply with the warning requirements of Section 2.3. As used in this Section 2, "distributed for sale in California" means to directly ship Covered Products into California or to sell Covered Products to a distributor EPCB knows will sell Covered Products in California.

2.2 Testing

Compliance with Section 2.1 shall be determined by GC-MS testing on Covered Product after it has been dispensed from the bottle. This Consent Judgment does not mandate testing, but to the extent EPCB conducts more than one test of units from a particular lot, the highest scientifically reliable benzene result shall be used to determine compliance with Section 2.1. Any testing shall be performed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a recognized accrediting organization. If a new test method is developed to test for benzene in aerosol sunscreen products that more accurately measures consumer exposure, EPCB may request a modification to this Section of the Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 12, which EHA will not unreasonably oppose.

2.3 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, the Covered Products that are manufactured on or prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to consumers. As a result, the obligation of EPCB, or any Releasees (if applicable), does not apply to these Covered Products manufactured on or prior to the Effective Date.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

EPCB shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section

1	25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.0	
2	pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.	
3	3.2 Civil Penalty	
4	The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health	
5	and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty pa	
6	to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining	
7	twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA individually.	
8	All payments owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address:	
9 10	Environmental Health Advocates 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101	
11	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHH	
12	(Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:	
13	For United States Postal Service Delivery:	
14	Mike Gyurics Figual Operations Brough Chief	
15	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010	
16	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010	
17	For Federal Express 2-Day Delivery:	
18	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
19	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street	
20	Sacramento, CA 95814	
21	EPCB agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA	
22	simultaneous with its penalty payments to EHA.	
23	Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as require	
24	Relevant information is set out below:	
25	• "Environmental Health Advocates, Inc." (EIN: 84-2322975) at the address provided in	
26	Section 3.2(a)(i);	
27	"Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.	
28		

3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs, forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.00), shall be paid to Entorno Law, LLP ("EHA's Counsel"), who are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to EPCB's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

EPCB shall provide their payment to EHA's counsel in one check for forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.00) payable to Entorno Law, LLP. The payment shall be delivered to the following address:

Noam Glick Entorno Law LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

3.4 Timing

The above-mentioned checks will be issued within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date.

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Plaintiff acting on its own behalf and in the public interest releases EPCB and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities, its directors, officers, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Defendant Entities"), each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes, ships, or sells the Covered Products including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, and retailers (including but not limited to Bed Bath & Beyond Inc.), franchisees, franchisors, cooperative members, suppliers, licensees, and licensors, and all of the foregoing entities' owners, directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively, including Defendant Entities, referred to as the "Releasees") from all claims of Proposition 65 violations for exposure to benzene for Covered Products manufactured prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to benzene from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice. This Consent

Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims under Proposition 65 that were or could have been asserted against EPCB and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings required under Proposition 65 for alleged exposure to benzene through reasonably foreseeable use of the Covered Products.

4.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, also hereby provides a release to Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to benzene in Covered Products manufactured by EPCB or Releasees before the Effective Date. The release in this Section 4.2 is effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected as to Defendant Entities. EHA acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO THE CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

EHA understands and acknowledges the significance and consequences of California Civil Code section 1542 and nevertheless specifically waives its rights thereunder.

4.3 EPCB's Release of EHA

EPCB on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Covered Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then EPCB may provide written notice to EHA of any asserted change and is entitled to move to modify this Consent Judgment, which EHA agrees not to oppose except for good cause shown. In the event the California Office of Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation or issues an interpretive guideline that exempts Covered Products from meeting the requirements of Proposition 65; or if benzene cases are permanently enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction; or if Proposition 65 is determined to be preempted by federal law or a burden on First Amendment rights with respect to benzene in Covered Products or products substantially similar to Covered Products, then EPCB is entitled to move to modify this Consent Judgment, which EHA agrees not to oppose except for good cause shown n.

ENFORCEMENT

Only EHA may enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. Should an exceedance of the level in Section 2.1 be alleged, EPCB must be provided written notice and data supporting such an allegation and thirty (30) days to address the allegations before an enforcement motion may be filed. No violation of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to occur if EPCB demonstrates that testing in its possession of the lot of the Covered Product at issue shows less than 2 ppm of benzene in accordance with Section 2.2. In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

9. **NOTICE**

28

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

If to EPCB:

If to EHA:

Will Wagner Arnold & Porter Three Embarcadero Center | 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Noam Glick Entorno Law LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. <u>COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

12. MODIFICATION

12.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

- 12.2 **Other EHA Settlements**. EPCB may move to modify this Consent Judgment to substitute a higher benzene reformulation level that EHA agrees to in a future consent judgment applicable to products substantially similar to the Covered Products, and EHA agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.
- 12.3 Court Decision Regarding Similar Products. If a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final judgment that one or more products that are substantially similar to the Covered Products do not require a warning for benzene under Proposition 65, then Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling, and EHA agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.
- 12.4 **Federal Agency Action and Preemption**. If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth herein to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law, and EHA agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.

13. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

14. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

15. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or

1	implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral	
2		
3	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
4		
5	Date:10/11/23	Date: $10/11/23$
6	By: Trul Dz	CM 4 f
7	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	By: May Tolo EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE
8	ADVOCATES, INC.	BRANDS, LLC
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Data	
11	Date:	
12		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		