1 2	Michael Freund (SBN 99687) Michael Freund & Associates
	1919 Addison Street, Suite 104 Berkeley, CA 94704
3	Telephone: (510) 499-1992
4	Email: freund1@aol.com
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff
6	FRIENDS OF SAFE PLAYING FIELDS
7	G. Scott Emblidge SBN 121613
8	Gianna Geil SBN 339929
	Moscone Emblidge & Rubens LLP
9	423 Washington Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94111
10	Telephone: (415) 362-3599
11	Email: emblidge@mosconelaw.com geil@mosconelaw.com
12	
13	Attorneys for Defendant THE IGNATIAN CORPORATION dba ST. IGNATIUS COLLEGE PREPARATORY
14	IGIVATIOS COLLEGE PREPARATORY
15	James M. Johnson (SBN 229811) Johnson Trial Law, LLC
16	100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700
17	Santa Monica, CA 90401
	Telephone: (424) 272-6680 Email: james@johnsontrial.com
18	Latian. james@jornsorariar.com
19	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants EMPIRE ASSOCIATES, INC. dba SPRINTURF
20	
21	
22	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
23	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
24	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	

Page 1 of 13
[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

26

27

28

FRIENDS OF SAFE PLAYING FIELDS, an unincorporated association

Plaintiff.

VS.

THE IGNATIAN CORPORATION, individually and dba ST. IGNATIUS COLLEGE PREPARATORY; and DOES 1-100

Defendants.

THE IGNATIAN CORPORATION, individually and dba ST. IGNATIUS COLLEGE PREPARATORY,

Cross-Complainant,

VS.

EMPIRE ASSOCIATES, INC. dba SPRINTURF; and ROES 1-10,

Cross Defendants.

CASE NO. 23-CIV-00421

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED JUDGMENT

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Action Filed: January 27, 2022

Trial Date: None set

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On Janury 27, 2022, Plaintiff FRIENDS of Safe Playing Fields. ("FRIENDS"), as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against The Ignatian Corporation dba St. Ignatius College Preparatory ("IGNATIAN") and Does 1-100. In this action, FRIENDS alleges that IGNATIAN possesses, owns or otherwise controls the Fairmont Field in Pacifica, California that has exposed student athletes and other persons to lead at levels that require a warning pursuant to Proposition 65. Lead is a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin. On May 10, 2023, IGNATIAN filed an Amended Cross-Complaint for Indemnity and Declaratory Relief against EMPIRE ASSOCIATES, INC., dba SPRINTURF ("SPRINTURF"). FRIENDS did not assert any

claims—based on alleged violations of Proposition 65 or otherwise—against SPRINTURF.

- 1.2 FRIENDS, IGNATIAN and SPRINTURF are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 FRIENDS is an association of neighbors who are concerned that student athletes and other persons who come into contact with the turf at the Fairmont Field are being exposed to lead, a very dangerous chemical, without prior knowledge. FRIENDS is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing and or eliminating exposure to toxic chemicals, including lead, facilitating a safe environment and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Stipulated Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that IGNATIAN is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in FRIENDS' Notice of Violation dated January 25, 2022, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and IGNATIAN ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereto as *Exhibit A* and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and IGNATIAN and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against IGNATIAN with regard to the violations alleged in the Notice.
- 1.6 FRIENDS' Notice and Complaint allege that student athletes and other persons who have had contact with the turf at Fairmont Field were exposed to lead without first receiving clear and reasonable warnings from IGNATIAN, in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. The turf at issue in this case was replaced with an entirely new field on or about November 7, 2022 which FRIENDS contends has significantly reduced the amount of lead exposure to users of Fairmont Field. IGNATIAN denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint. The Amended Cross-Complaint alleges that SPRINTURF has a contractual duty to indemnify IGNATIAN for acts or omissions that have arisen in the litigation. SPRINTURF denies all material allegations contained in the Amended Cross-

Complaint.

- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Stipulated Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Stipulated Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, of any issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Stipulated Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by the Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Stipulated Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Stipulated Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over IGNATIAN as to the acts alleged in the Complaint and over SPRINTURF as to acts alleged in the Cross-Complaint, that venue is proper in San Mateo County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Stipulated Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. WARNINGS

3.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

On November 7, 2022, IGNATIAN posted the following warning ("Warning") at the public entrance and at several prominent and conspicuous locations in compliance with 27 CCR section s 25604 and 25605 at Fairmont Field:



WARNING:

ENTERING THIS AREA CAN EXPOSE YOU TO CHEMICALS KNOWN TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO CAUSE BIRTH DEFECTS OR OTHER REPRODUCTIVE HARM, INCLUDING LEAD, FROM ARTIFICIAL TURF.

FOR MORE INFORMATION GO TO www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

The word "WARNING" is in all capital and bold letters.

The size of the Warning is at least or larger than 72-point type.

No other supplemental information accompanies the WARNING.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, attorney's fees, and costs, IGNATIAN shall make a total payment of \$115,000.00 and SPRINTURF shall make a total payment of \$105,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to FRIENDS payable to Michel Freund Attorney Client Trust Fund Account within ten (10) days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). The payments shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$60,000.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). FRIENDS via Michael Freund shall remit 75% (\$45,000.00 of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). FRIENDS will retain the remaining 25% (\$15,000.00) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$4,918.28 shall be distributed to Michael Freund as reimbursement of FRIENDS' reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$155,081.72 shall be distributed to Michael Freund as reimbursement of FRIENDS' attorney fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
- 4.5 Michael Freund shall be responsible to distribute the above payments to FRIENDS and OEHHA.
- 4.6 In the event that IGNATIAN and/or SPRINTURF fail to remit the Total Settlement Amount owed under Section 4 of this Stipulated Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date,

IGNATIAN and/or SPRINTURF shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Stipulated Consent Judgment. FRIENDS shall provide written notice of the delinquency to IGNATIAN and/or SPRINTURF via electronic mail. If IGNATIAN and/or SPRINTURF fail to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, IGNATIAN and/or SPRINTURF agree to pay FRIENDS's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Stipulated Judgment.

5. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Stipulated Consent Judgment.
- 5.2 If FRIENDS alleges any violation of this Stipulated Consent Judgment, the Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter, and FRIENDS shall provide IGNATIAN and/or SPRINTURF with a reasonable opportunity to cure, prior to FRIENDS seeking to enforce this Stipulated Consent Judgment or taking any further legal action.

6. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Stipulated Consent Judgment applies to, is binding upon, and benefits the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

7. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Stipulated Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between FRIENDS, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and IGNATIAN and SPRINTURF and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties").
- 7.2 FRIENDS, acting in the public interest, releases the Released Parties from any and all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up to and including the Effective Date relating to

 exposure to lead from the Fairmont Field as set forth in the Notice of Violation. FRIENDS, on behalf of itself only, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, with regard to as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings to persons at Fairmont Field up to and including the Effective Date.

- 7.3 FRIENDS on its own behalf only, and IGNATIAN and SPRINTURF on their own behalves only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in this Section shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Stipulated Consent Judgment.
- 7.4 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Product, will develop or be discovered. FRIENDS on behalf of itself only, and IGNATIAN and SPRINTURF on behalf of themselves only, acknowledge that this Stipulated Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.2 and 8.3 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

FRIENDS on behalf of itself only, IGNATIAN on behalf of itself only, and SPRINTURF on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Stipulated Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

9. GOVERNING LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

18

19

22

23

24

The terms and conditions of this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Stipulated Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or via electronic mail where required. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR FRIENDS OF SAFE PLAYING FIELDS:

13 Michael Freund

Michael Freund & Associates

1919 Addison Street, Suite 104

15 Berkeley, CA 94704

Telephone: (510) 499-1992

16 Email: Freund1@aol.com

FOR IGNATIAN:

20 G. Scott Emblidge

Gianna Geil

21 | Moscone Emblidge & Rubens LLP

423 Washington Street, Suite 700

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone: (415) 362-3599

Email: emblidge@mosconelaw.com; geil@mosconelaw.com

25

26

27

28

Page 8 of 13

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. 23-CIV-00421

11

9

14

15 16

18

17

19 20

22 23

21

24 25

26

27 28

FOR SPRINTURF:

James M. Johnson Johnson Trial Law, LLC 100 Wilshie Blvd., Suite 700 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Telephone: (424) 272-6680 Email: james@johnsontrial.com

11. COURT APPROVAL

- 11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, FRIENDS shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Stipulated Consent Judgment.
- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Stipulated Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 11.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

13. DRAFTING

The terms of this Stipulated Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Stipulated Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Stipulated Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of

this Stipulated Consent Judgment.

14. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this

Stipulated Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by
telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No
action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute
beforehand.

15. ENFORCEMENT

FRIENDS may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Stipulated Consent Judgment. In any action brought by FRIENDS to enforce this Stipulated Consent Judgment, FRIENDS may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Stipulated Consent Judgment.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 16.1 This Stipulated Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 16.2 Each signatory to this Stipulated Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Stipulated Consent Judgment.

17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Stipulated Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Stipulated Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

(1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Stipulated Consent Judgment represent a

1	fair and equitable settlement of all matters ra	aised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the	
2	matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and		
3	(2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section		
4	25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and a	approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment.	
5			
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8			
9	Dated: 7/2/, 2025	FRIENDS OF SAFE PLAYING	
10		FIELDS	
11		Ken Aronovsky	
12		s = 1 mm s mm c mm €	
13	÷		
14	(New Year)		
15	Dated:, 2025	THE IGNATIAN CORPORATION dba St. Ignatius College Preparatory	
16			
17		By: Its:	
18 19			
20	1		
21	Dated:, 2025	EMPIRE ASSOCIATES, INC. dba	
22	The state of the s	Sprinturf Sprinturf	
23			
24		By:	
25		Its:	
26			
27		·.	
28			

Page 11 of 13

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	fair and equitable settlement of all matters rais	ed by the allegations of the Complaint, that the
2	matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that	the public interest is served by such settlement; and
3	(2) Make the findings pursuant to	California Health and Safety Code section
4	25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and app	prove this Stipulated Consent Judgment.
5		
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8		
9	The state of the s	RIENDS OF SAFE PLAYING TELDS
11	·E	ty:
12		Ken Aronovsky
13		
14		
15	Dated: Tuly 14, 2025	THE IGNATIAN CORPORATION
16		dba St. Ignatius College Preparatory
17	II .	By Toodrow
18		its:
19		
20		
21		EMPIRE ASSOCIATES, INC. dba Sprinturf
22	`	sprinturi
23	ll i	Ву:
24		ts:
25		
26 27		
28		
	Page	e 11 of 13
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGME	CNT Case No. 23-CIV-00421

1	fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the		
2	matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and		
3	(2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section		
4	25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment.		
5			
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8			
9	Dated:, 2025 FRIENDS OF SAFE PLAYING FIELDS		
10			
11	By: Ken Aronovsky		
12			
13			
14	D to I		
15	Dated:, 2025 THE IGNATIAN CORPORATION dba St. Ignatius College Preparatory		
16 17			
18	By: Its:		
9			
20			
21	Dated: August 6, 2025 EMPIRE ASSOCIATES, INC. dba		
22	Sprinturf / /		
3	Monda Mant		
24	By: Brenda Grant		
25	Its: General Counsel		
26			
7			
8	A		

Page 11 of 13
[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

	III	
1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2		
3		
4		
5	Dated: 7/14/, 2025	MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES
6	777	1
7		By: Mell ful
8		Michael Freund
9		Attorney for Plaintiff Friends of Safe Playing Fields
10		
11	Dated: July 10, 2025	MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & RUBENS LLP
12		25 5 /2: /
13		By: G. Scott (mblidge) G. Scott Emblidge
14	1	Attorneys for Defendant
15		The Ignatian Corporation dba St. Ignatius College Preparatory
16		Conege reparatory
17	Dated:, 2025	JOHNSON TRIAL LAW, LLC
18		
19		James M. Johnson
20		Attorney for Cross-Defendant Empire Associates, Inc. dba Sprinturf
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	P.	Page 12 of 13
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDG	MENT Case No. 23-CIV-00421

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2		
3		
4		
5	Date 1	
6	Dated:, 2025	MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES
7		
8		By: Michael Freund
9		Attorney for Plaintiff
10		Friends of Safe Playing Fields
11	Dated:, 2025	MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & RUBENS LLP
12		
13		Ву:
14		G. Scott Emblidge Attorneys for Defendant
15		The Ignatian Corporation dba St. Ignatius College Preparatory
16		2000go Fieparatory
17	Dated: August 6 , 2025	JOHNSON TRIAL LAW, LLC
18		
19		James M Johnson Attorney for Cross-Defendant
20		Empire Associates, Inc. dba Sprinturf
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JU	Page 12 of 13 DGMENT Case No. 22 CIV 00421

1		PROPOSEDI	DRDER AND JUDGMENT
2	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Stipulated Consent		
3	Judgment is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
5			
6	Dated:	, 2025	Judge of the Superior Court
7	×		Judge of the Superior Court
8			
9			
10			
11	17		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			,
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			