1	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484)	
2	LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90069	
4	Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com	
5		
	Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC	
6	SUBERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES
9		
10	APS&EE, LLC, a limited liability company,	CASE NO. 22STCV17979
11	Plaintiff, )	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	v. )	Judge: Hon. Maurice A. Leiter
13	MSKS IP INC., a corporation, METAL	Dept.: 54
14	SUPERMARKETS FRANCHISING AMERICA INC., a corporation, and DOES 1	Compl. Filed: June 1, 2022
15	through 100, inclusive,	Unlimited Jurisdiction
16	Defendants. )	
17	///	
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27		
27		
28	///	
28		

1

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

#### 1. RECITALS

#### 1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between APS&EE, LLC ("Plaintiff") and Metal Supermarkets Franchising America Inc. ("MSFA"). Plaintiff and MSFA shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."
- 1.1.2 Plaintiff is a limited liability company organized in the State of California, acting in the interest of the general public in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- 1.1.3 Plaintiff alleges that MSFA is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.2 **Allegations**

- **1.2.1** Lead is listed as a chemical known to the state of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Plaintiff alleges that MSFA manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in the state of California: brass bars including, but not limited to, square brass bars 0.5", of all shapes and lengths, including plates and sheets, containing Lead. Plaintiff further alleges that the Covered Products expose consumers in California to Lead without having first provided them a clear and reasonable warning for the exposure as Plaintiff alleges is required by Proposition 65. MSFA denies Plaintiff's allegations that any of its products require Proposition 65 warnings.
- 1.2.2 The products covered by this Consent Judgment are brass bars including, but not limited to, square brass bars 0.5", of all shapes and lengths, including plates and sheets, that (1) are or were imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by MSFA, and (2) were imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by MSFA's franchisees prior to the Effective Date. All such products are collectively referred to as ("Covered Products").

1.2.3 On March 1, 2022, Plaintiff served a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") to MSFA and MSKS IP INC., and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Covered Products. The Notice alleged that MSFA had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to Lead contained in the Covered Products including, but not limited to, brass bars 0.5".

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

On June 1, 2022, Plaintiff, acting in the public interest, filed the instant action (the "Complaint") in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violations of Proposition 65.

#### 1.3 No Admissions

MSFA denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all the Covered Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect MSFA's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter this Consent Judgment to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 settlements and consent judgments that were entered in the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

### 1.5 Jurisdiction and Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over MSFA as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of

this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and Proposition 65. 1.6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall be the date on which Plaintiff serves notice to MSFA or its counsel that the Court has approved and entered this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

#### 2.1 **Reformulation Standard**

After the Effective Date, MSFA shall not sell, ship, deliver, or distribute for sale Covered Products in California unless (a) the Covered Products sold, shipped, delivered or distributed for sale by MSFA contain no more than 100 parts per million (0.01%) of Lead ("Reformulated Product"), or (b) the Covered Products sold, shipped, delivered or distributed for sale by MSFA are sold, shipped, delivered or distributed for sale with a clear and reasonable warning as described below in Section 2.2.

#### 2.2 **Proposition 65 Warnings**

**2.2.1** Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Section 2.1, MSFA shall use a warning with the capitalized and emboldened wording substantially similar to the following:

> **WARNING:** This product can expose you to lead which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Or, if the warning is printed on or affixed to a Covered Product or its immediate container, box or wrapper:

**WARNING:** Cancer and Reproductive Harm - <u>www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</u>.

The symbol depicted above shall consist of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the label for the product is not printed using ///

the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING".

2.2.2 Internet Sales. A Covered Product that is sold by MSFA on its website to persons located in California shall provide the warning message by a clearly marked hyperlink on the product display page or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Covered Product from MSFA. MSFA shall have no responsibility for providing Proposition 65 warnings to consumers that: (1) visit MSFA's website and do not purchase a Covered Product from MSFA and/or (2) visit MSFA's website and subsequently purchase brass bars from one or more of MSFA's franchisee(s), provided however, that MSFA shall provide, within 30 days of the Effective Date, a one-time written communication to each of its California franchisees recommending that each of them comply with the warning requirements of this section to the extent applicable to any such entity under Proposition 65. This requirement shall not be interpreted to modify the rights and responsibilities of MSFA as set forth in any agreement between MSFA and its California franchisees.

In lieu of the preceding warning content and methods set forth above in Section 2.2, MSFA may use any warning content and method that is consistent with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25600 et seq., as amended August 30, 2016 and subsequently thereafter. In the event that Proposition 65 warnings for Lead should no longer be required by law with respect to the Covered Products, then MSFA shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, but any such modification shall have no effect on MSFA's financial obligations set forth herein.

### 2.3 Sell-Through Period

The injunctive requirements of Section 2 shall not apply to Covered Products that MSFA has distributed to California customers as of the Effective Date, or those Covered Products currently in the inventory of MSFA's California franchisees, which Products are subject to the releases provided in Section 4.1.

## 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

#### 3.1 Settlement Amount

MSFA shall pay nineteen thousand dollars (\$19,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of seventeen thousand dollars (\$17,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

### 3.2 Civil Penalty Pursuant to Proposition 65

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, MSFA shall pay a total civil penalty of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health* and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$1,500.00) for State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$500.00) for Plaintiff.

MSFA shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of \$500.00. MSFA shall remit the payments within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

After Plaintiff's counsel receives the check made payable to OEHHA, Plaintiff's counsel shall be responsible for forwarding said check to OEHHA.

#### 3.3 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs

MSFA shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution and approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest. Accordingly, MSFA shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of seventeen thousand dollars (\$17,000.00). MSFA shall remit the payment within five (5) business days of

the Effective Date, to:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

### 4. CLAMS COVERED AND RELEASED

### 4.1 Plaintiff's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Plaintiff, acting in its individual capacity, and in the public interest, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases MSFA and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, its directors, officers, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, assigns, franchisees and MSKS IP INC. ("Defendant Entities"), and all entities to whom Defendant Entities directly or indirectly distribute, ship, or sell the Covered Products including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, franchisors, cooperative members, and all of the foregoing entities' owners, directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively referred to as the "Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to Lead from Covered Products that have been marketed, supplied or distributed by MSFA or its franchisees prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all Proposition 65 claims that were or could have been asserted against MSFA, Defendant Entities and Releasees, or any of them, for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposures to Lead in Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to Lead from Covered Products.

#### 4.2 Defendant's Release of Plaintiff

MSFA and Defendant Entities, by this Consent Judgment, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against

MSFA and/or any Defendant Entities in this matter.

#### 4.3 Plaintiff's Individual Release of Claims

Plaintiff, in its individual capacity only, and on behalf of itself and its successors, heirs, assigns, agents, and attorneys, also provides a release herein to Defendant, Defendant Entities and Releasees from all claims as to all chemicals listed under Proposition 65 in all Covered Products supplied or distributed by MSFA or its franchisees prior to the Effective Date. In addition, Plaintiff, in its individual capacity only, and on behalf of itself and its successors, heirs, assigns, agents, and attorneys, also provides a release herein to Defendant, Defendant Entities and Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, with respect to any other issue concerning the Covered Products that have been supplied or distributed by MSFA or its franchisees prior to the Effective Date. In this regard, Plaintiff has had the benefit of counsel, and has been advised of, understands, and knowingly and specifically waives its rights under Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

## 5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties unless the Parties mutually agree to extend that time period due to what they mutually agree are reasonably unforeseen circumstances.

## 6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue

in full force and effect.

## 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

### 8. NOTICES

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail; or (iii) a recognized overnight or two-day courier on any Party by the other Party to the following addresses:

TO MSFA:	TO PLAINTIFF:
Metal Supermarkets Family of Companies 5399 Eglinton Ave W Ste 210 Etobicoke, ON M9C 5K6, Canada	Lucas T. Novak, Esq. Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069
With a copy to:  Rohit Sabnis, Esq.  Keller and Heckman LLP  3 Embarcadero Ctr, Ste 1420  San Francisco, CA 94111	

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices, and other communications shall be sent.

# 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent

Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

## 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Plaintiff shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

In addition, Plaintiff agrees to execute and file with the Court a dismissal without prejudice of MSKS IP INC. within five (5) business days of receipt and clearance of the payments set forth above in Section 3.

### 11. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.

### 12. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

## 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

- 1	roprosedutions, oran or output to suppress of surprise specifications of the surprise specification of the surprise specificat	
6	have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically	
7	referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.	
8		
9		
10	AGREED TO:	
11	Date: 8/9/2022	
12	By: Julygu	
13	Authorized Representative of APS&EE, LLC	
14		
15	AGREED TO:	
16	Date: August 8, 2022	
17	By: He tylolin	
18	Authorized Representative of METAL SUPERMARKETS	
19	FRANCHISING AMERICA INC.	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated:	
23	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
	II.	

4895-9344-9517, v. 1