1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar No. 327338 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10		
11 12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. CGC-22-602383
12	a non-profit corporation,	Assigned For All Purposes To The
13	Plaintiff,	Honorable Ethan P. Schulman, Dept. 304
14	v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT
15	DOLLS KILL, INC., et al.,	JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT DSW SHOE WAREHOUSE, INC.
17	Defendants.	AND MAC DESIGN GROUP, LLC
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1- CONSENT JUDGMENT (DSW SHOE WAREHOUSE, INC. & MAC DESIGN GROUP, LLC) – CASE NO. CGC-22-602383	
		110 2251011 GROOT, 220) Child 110. COC-22-002505

1.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment are: the Center for Environmental Health
 ("CEH"); Defendant DSW Shoe Warehouse, Inc. ("Settling Retailer"); and MAC Design Group,
 LLC ("Settling Manufacturer"). Settling Retailer and Settling Manufacturer are referred to
 collectively as the "Settling Parties." CEH, Settling Retailer and Settling Manufacturer are
 referred to collectively as the "Parties" to this Consent Judgment.

7 1.2 CEH alleges that Settling Retailer sells socks made primarily of polyester with
8 spandex that contain Bisphenol A ("BPA") in the State of California ("Covered Products") or has
9 done so in the past.

10 1.3 Settling Manufacturer manufacturers socks, some of which are sold to Settling
11 Retailer.

1.4 On June 23, 2022, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under
 Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health
 & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*) ("Notice") to Settling Retailer, the California Attorney
 General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys
 for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice
 alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of BPA in the Covered Products.
 Settling Retailer denies ever receiving such notice.

19 1.5 On October 13, 2022, CEH filed the operative complaint naming Settling
 20 Retailer as a defendant in this action. Settling Retailer alleges that receipt of the complaint was
 21 its first notice of CEH's allegations.

1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaints
applicable to Settling Retailer and personal jurisdiction over the Settling Parties as to the acts
alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court
has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by
the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance

-2-

with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,
conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any
other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and
is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in
this action.

7

2. **DEFINITIONS**

8 2.1 "Covered Products" means socks made primarily of polyester with spandex
9 that are manufactured, distributed, licensed or sold by Settling Manufacturer to Settling Retailer.

10 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by11 the Court.

12 2.3 "Test Protocol" means a method for measuring total BPA content as set forth
13 in Exhibit A.

14

3.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

15 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Within six months following the 16 Effective Date (the "Reformulation Date"), Settling Manufacturer shall not manufacture, 17 distribute, license, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product in California that contains BPA 18 except as provided in Section 3.3 below. Within six months following the Effective Date (the 19 "Reformulation Date"), Settling Retailer shall not sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product in 20 California that contains BPA except as provided in Section 3.3 or except where Settling 21 Manufacturer elects to provide the warning consistent with Section 3.4 below. For purposes of 22 this Consent Judgment, a product "contains BPA" if BPA is an intentionally added ingredient in 23 either the Covered Product or a component of the Covered Product, or contains in excess of one 24 (1.0) part per million BPA as measured by the Test Protocol. Settling Manufacturer shall not 25 replace the BPA with any other bisphenol (such as Bisphenol S or BPS). In the event that 26 Settling Retailer learns either through Plaintiff or another means that the Covered Products 27 contain BPA or another bisphenol in excess of the requirements set forth herein and Settling

1 Manufacturer has not provided the warning consistent with Section 3.4 below, Settling Retailer 2 shall notify its supplier that it has breached its specification.

3.2 3 **Specification to Suppliers.** No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Retailer shall issue specifications to their suppliers of Covered Products requiring 4 5 that Covered Products not contain BPA or any other phenol (such as Bisphenol S or BPS).

6 3.3 Sell-Through for Existing Inventory. The reformulation requirements of 7 Section 3.1 shall not apply to Covered Products that: a) Settling Retailer had entered into a 8 binding agreement to purchase prior to the Effective Date, including but not limited to Covered 9 Products in distribution centers, in inventory, or at retail locations; and b) to Covered Product that 10 Settling Manufacturer had labelled with a State-approved Proposition 65 "safe harbor warning" 11 (as described in § 3.4.1, below) prior to the Effective Date.

12

3.4

Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

13 3.4.1 Election to Warn. If Settling Manufacturer is unable to comply with the 14 Reformulation provision set forth in Section 3.1 or otherwise elects to permanently avail itself of 15 the warning option provided by this Section 3.4, Settling Manufacturer shall provide written notice to CEH prior to the Reformulation Date, and Settling Manufacturer concurrently shall 16 17 make the additional payment specified in Section 5.2.5 below. Settling Manufacturer shall then 18 provide Clear and Reasonable Warnings for each Covered Product sold in California that contains 19 BPA. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:

20 21 22

23

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Bisphenol A (BPA) which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be 24 25 preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above, provided however, the symbol may be printed in black and white if the Covered Product label is produced without 26 27 using the color yellow. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as 28

compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and
 understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog or any other sale
 where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in
 such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the
 authorization of or actual payment.

6

4.

ENFORCEMENT

7 4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the 8 Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this 9 Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of 10 Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide the appropriate Settling Party with a Notice of Violation 11 and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. CEH and the 12 affected Settling Party(ies) shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated 13 motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling 14 Party(ies) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. 15 Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or 16 application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

4.2 The first time Plaintiff's Notice of Violation involves BPS or any phenol other
than BPA, affected Settling Party(ies) must provide notice to its supplier as set forth in Section
3.2, but shall have no further liability for violating the terms of this Consent Judgment. However,
any subsequent Notice of Violation involving BPS or any phenol other than BPA shall be
governed by Section 4.1

22

5. PAYMENTS

5.1 Tax Information. CEH has provided Settling Parties with appropriate tax
identification information (*e.g.*, Internal Revenue Service "W-9" forms) for all Payees identified
in Section 5.3.4 below.

5.2 Payments by Settling Parties. Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date,
 Settling Parties shall pay the total sum of sixty four thousand dollars (\$64,000.00) as a settlement
 payment covering Settling Parties as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling
 -5-

Parties shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if: (1)
 postmarked (if sent by the United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier
 (*e.g.*, Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set forth in this paragraph.

5.3 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Parties shall 4 5 be paid in five separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. 6 Any failure by Settling Parties to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a joint 7 and several stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Parties in the amount of one hundred dollars 8 (\$100) for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth 9 in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with 10 reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this 11 Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Parties shall be allocated as set forth below between 12 the following categories and made payable as follows:

5.3.1 \$8,900 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §
25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety
Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental
Health Hazard Assessment). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for
\$6,675 shall be made payable to OEHAA and associated with taxpayer identification number 680284486/ This payment shall be delivered as follows:
For United States Postal Service Delivery:

20 Attn: Mike Gyurics **Fiscal Operations Branch Chief** 21 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B 22 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 23 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 24 Attn: Mike Gyurics 25 **Fiscal Operations Branch Chief** Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 26 1001 I Street, MS #19B 27 Sacramento, CA 95814 28

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,225 shall be made payable to the Center for
 Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This
 payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA
 94117.

5 5.3.2 \$6,600 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH
pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §
3204 and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use such funds to continue
its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including BPA, in
textiles and other products. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance
with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Parties' products to confirm
compliance.

5.3.3 \$48,500 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable
attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two
separate checks as follows: (a) \$41,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with
taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$7,500 payable to the Center for
Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of
these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco,
CA 94117.

19 5.3.4 To summarize, Settling Parties shall deliver checks made out to the
20 payees and in the amounts set forth below:

21	P	Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
22	C	DEHHA	Penalty	\$6,675	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
23	C	Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$2,225	LLG
24	C	Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$6,600	LLG
25	L	Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$41,000	LLG
26	C	Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$7,500	LLG
27				I	

5.4 1 If Settling Manufacturer avails itself of the permanent warning option provided 2 for by Section 3.4, Settling Manufacturer shall make an additional payment of \$16,000 and no cents 3 to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth herein, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.4.1. Of the additional payment, \$10,000 shall be a civil penalty, 4 5 apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the 6 State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). 7 Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$7,500 shall be made payable to 8 OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA 9 address set forth in section 5.3.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment 10 of \$2,500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with 11 taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$2,000 of the additional payment shall be made 12 payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and 13 costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$4,000 of the additional payment shall 14 be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification 15 number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.3.2 above. Both payments to CEH 16 shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.5 Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations. Notwithstanding the
provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure §708.160, in the
event that Settling Parties do not comply fully with their respective payment obligations under
Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an
order requiring the applicable Settling Party to submit to a Debtors Exam. In the event that an
affected Settling Party fails to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may
seek an order holding that Settling Party in contempt of Court.

24

28

6.

MODIFICATION

6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to
time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of
this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall -8-

attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

3

7.

1

2

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4 Provided that Settling Parties comply in full with their individual obligations 7.1 5 under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on 6 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Parties and their respective parents, 7 subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, 8 agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities 9 to which Settling Parties distribute or sell Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, 10 customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of 11 any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained 12 in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Parties prior to the Effective Date.

137.2Provided that Settling Parties comply in full with their respective obligations14under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever15discharges any and all claims against that Settling Party, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream16Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or17common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually regarding18the failure to warn about exposure to BPA contained in Covered Products sold by each Settling19Party prior to the Effective Date.

7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Parties and
Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Parties,
Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to
warn about BPA in Covered Products manufactured by Settling Manufacturer and distributed or
sold by Settling Retailer after the Effective Date.

7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Plaintiff's right to commence or prosecute an
action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Parties, Defendant Releasees,
or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

28 **8. NOTICE**

1			
1	8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the	
2	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
3		Mark N. Todzo	
4		Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street	
5		San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
6	8.2	When Settling Parties are entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
7			
8	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
9		Todd O. Maiden Reed Smith LLP	
10		101 Second Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, California 94105	
11		tmaiden@reedsmith.com	
12	8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent		
13	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
14	9. COURT APPROVAL		
15	9.1	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.	
16	Plaintiff shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and the Parties		
17	shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.		
18	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or		
19	effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any		
20	purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.		
21	10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION		
22	10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State		
23	of California.		
24	11. ATTORNEYS' FEES		
25	11.1	Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause,	
26	or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to		
27	its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should		
28	a Settling P	arty prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding,	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-10- SENT JUDGMENT (DSW SHOE WAREHOUSE, INC. & MAC DESIGN GROUP LLC) – CASE NO. CGC-22-602383	
	CON	JEAT JODOMEAT (DOW SHOE WAREHOUSE, INC. & MAC DESIGN GROUP ELC) - CASE NO. CGC-22-002365	

that Settling Party may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, *et seq.*

6 11.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear
7 its own attorneys' fees and costs.

8 11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of
9 sanctions pursuant to law.

10

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11 12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and 12 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior 13 discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby 14 merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between 15 the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or 16 implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any 17 Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or 18 otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements 19 specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind 20 any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No 21 supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding 22 unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions 23 of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other 24 provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

25

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Parties,
and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any
of them.

14.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

2 14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
3 Consent Judgment.

4

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

5 15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully 6 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into 7 and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

8

16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

9 16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
10 against an entity other than Settling Parties on terms that are different than those contained in this
11 Consent Judgment.

12 16.2 If CEH enters into any consent judgment ("Settlement Document") with any 13 other entity with respect to an alleged failure to warn of alleged exposures to BPA in socks made 14 primarily of polyester with spandex in which it agrees to different injunctive terms (including 15 without limitation a different Test Protocol), it shall provide Settling Parties with notice thereof by 16 serving the Settlement Document on the Attorney General for posting on its public website, and 17 one or both Settling Parties may thereafter seek to modify this Consent Judgment to adopt those 18 injunctive terms and comply with them instead of those presently set forth in Section 3. If a Settling 19 Party seeks to adopt different injunctive terms, it shall provide notice to CEH consistent with 20 Section 6 of this Consent Judgment and CEH agrees to meet and confer in accordance with that 21 provision and not to oppose that Settling Party's request for modification provided that the products 22 at issue in the Settlement Document are substantially similar to the Covered Products.

28

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated:_____, 2023

Judge of the Superior Court

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER -12-

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: August <u>10</u> , 2023 CE	NTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		Ruf
7	Reg	gina Jackson erim Chief Executive Officer
8		
9		
10	Dated: August, 2023 DSV	W SHOE WAREHOUSE, INC.
11		
12	Sign	ature
13		
14	Prin	ted Name
15		
16	Title	2
17		
18 19	Dated: August, 2023 MA	C DESIGN GROUP
19 20		
20	Sign	nature
21		
22	Prin	ted Name
24		
25	Title	2
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-13-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT (DSW SHOE WAREHOUSE, INC.	& MAC DESIGN GROUP LLC) – CASE NO. CGC-22-602383

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: August, 2023	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		
7		Regina Jackson Interim Chief Executive Officer
8		
9		
10	Dated: August <u>10</u> , 2023	DSW SHOE WAREHOUSE, INC.
11		Ner
12		Signature
13		Miriam Shoap
14		Printed Name
15		Sr. Manager, Legal Services
16		Sr. Manager, Legal Services Title
17		
18	Dated: August <u>10</u> , 2023	MAC DESIGN GROUP
19		Mr M-Why
20		Signature
21		Mark McWhorter
22		Printed Name
23 24		President
24 25		Title
23 26		
20		
27		
Document Prepared		-13-
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT (DSW SHOE WAREHOU	JSE, INC. & MAC DESIGN GROUP LLC) – CASE NO. CGC-22-602383

1	EXHIBIT A	
2		
3	"Test Protocol" as defined in Section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment means the following test	
4	method:	
5	a. Obtain homogenized 1 gram sample of the sock by shredding the entire sock and taking a representative 1-gram sample of the shreds.	
6 7	7 b. Add the 1-gram sock sample to 10 ml of acetonitrile	
8		
9	d. Analytical method - Isotope dilution LC-Tandem MS (LC-MS)	
10	e. Limit of detection 1 ppm	
11	f. Reporting BPA concentration in mg of BPA per kg of sample	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-14-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT (DSW SHOE WAREHOUSE, INC. & MAC DESIGN GROUP LLC) - CASE NO. CGC-22-602383	