l			
1	LUCAS WILLIAMS (State Bar No. 264518) JACOB JANZEN (State Bar No. 313474)		
2	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP		
3	503 Divisadero Street		
4	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800		
	Email: lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com		
5	Email: jjanzen@lexlawgroup.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
7	OAKLAND CANNERY COLLECTIVE,		
	ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT and		
8	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	FOR THE COUNTY	OF ALAMEDA	
12	OAKLAND CANNERY COLLECTIVE;	Civil Case No.: 22CV017469	
13	ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT; and CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: Judge	
14	HEALTH,	Karin Schwartz, Department 20	
15	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS	
16	V.	TO DEFENDANT UNITED RENTALS (NORTH AMERICA), INC.	
17	GREEN SAGE MANAGEMENT, LLC;		
10	OAKLAND CANNERY REAL ESTATE, LLC;		
18	OAKLAND TINNERY, LLC; 5601 SLOCA, LLC; 5733 SLOCA, LLC; 5601-A LLC; 5601-B LLC;		
19	MEADOWS IN BLOOM LLC; UNITED		
20	RENTALS (NORTH AMERICA), INC.; YCL		
21	INVESTMENT GROUP LLC; DC CAPITAL HOLDINGS LLC; and DOES 1 through 100,		
21	inclusive,		
22	D.C. L.		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiffs Oakland Cannery Collective, Environmental Democracy Project, and Center for Environmental Health (Plaintiffs), and Defendant United Rentals (North America), Inc. (Settling Defendant) to settle claims asserted by Plaintiffs against Settling Defendant as set forth in the complaint in *Oakland Cannery Collective, et al. v. Green Sage Management, LLC, et al.*, Alameda Superior Court No. 22CV017469 (the "Action"). Plaintiff and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2. On June 27, 2022, Plaintiffs served a 60-day notice of violation pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorney for the County of Alameda, and the City Attorney for the city of Oakland. The Notice asserted that Settling Defendant caused exposures to diesel engine exhaust at or near a warehouse complex located at 5601 and 5733 San Leandro Street, Oakland, California (the Facility) where numerous indoor cannabis cultivation facilities operated. The Notice alleges that Settling Defendant did not provide clear and reasonable warnings to individuals at or near the Facility before exposing them to diesel engine exhaust, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. The Notice alleges that Settling Defendant violated the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. (Proposition 65).
 - **1.3.** Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons.
- **1.4.** On September 8, 2022, Plaintiffs filed the complaint, seeking injunctive relief and civil penalties. The complaint alleges Settling Defendant knowingly and intentionally caused the exposures identified in the notice by leasing or otherwise providing large diesel generators to cannabis cultivators at the Facility without first issuing the clear and reasonable warnings under Proposition 65.
- 1.5. Settling Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations in Plaintiffs' complaint and maintains that it does not expose individuals to diesel engine exhaust in violation of Proposition 65 or any other law. Settling Defendant denies any liability under Proposition 65 and denies that Proposition 65 applies to its conduct.
- **1.6.** Following the initiation of this action, in April 2023, the City of Oakland amended its regulations to prohibit cannabis cultivators from using any internal combustion engine generators to

provide primary or supplemental power to a building, facility, stationary source, or stationary equipment. *See* Admin. Regs. & Performance Standards for City of Oakland Cannabis Operators (April 28, 2023).

- 1.7. Plaintiffs and Settling Defendant have engaged in extensive arms' length settlement negotiations. The Parties enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all disputed claims which were or could have been raised in the complaint arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. Execution and compliance with this Consent Judgment shall not constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the notice and complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or will be construed as an admission by Settling Defendant of wrongdoing of any kind. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Settling Defendant of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Settling Defendant of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, or defense Settling Defendant may have in this or any other legal proceedings.
- 1.9. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- 2.2. "Diesel Generators" means United States Environmental Protection Agency Tier 3 diesel-fueled generators including but not limited to the following generators that were operated for primary or supplemental power at the Facility: (1) Cummins Model C500D6RG; (2) Cummins Model C2000D6R; (3) Cummins Model C1500D6RA; (4) Cummins Model C800D6RG; (5) Cummins Model C500D6RE; (6) Cummins C1000DQFAD; (7) Cummins C1000D6RG; (8) Cummins C2000.
- **2.3.** "Cultivators" means any person or entity in the business of cultivating cannabis at the Facility, including but not limited to the following entities: Green Sage Management, LLC, 5601 SLOCA, LLC; 5733 SLOCA, LLC; 5601-A LLC; 5601-B LLC; Meadows in Bloom LLC, YCL

Investment Group LLC; DC Capital Holdings LLC, XYZ Connections, Inc., Magic Bowl, LLC, RNK Pacific Investments, LLC, Bundle Boiz, Inc., and Dr. Toke, LLC.

2.4. The "Facility" means the properties located at 5601 and 5733 San Leandro St., Oakland, California 94621.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1. Settling Defendant shall not knowingly and intentionally provide Diesel Generators for use as primary or supplemental power to any person or entity operating a business at the Facility including but not limited to the Cultivators.

4. PAYMENTS

- **4.1.** Payments by Settling Defendant. Within thirty (30) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$210,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- **4.2. Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- **4.2.1.** \$31,500 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Plaintiffs and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$23,625 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486 This payment shall be delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

Plaintiffs' portion of the civil penalty payment for \$7,875 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

- 4.2.2. \$31,000 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to Plaintiffs pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204 and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. Plaintiffs will use these funds to support Plaintiffs' programs and activities that seek to educate the public about the harms of diesel generators and diesel engine exhaust, to work with allied organizations to reduce the use of diesel generators and exposures to diesel engine exhaust and other air pollutants (for example, providing air filters to East Oakland communities impacted by diesel pollution), and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to diesel engine exhaust and other air pollutants in East Oakland. Plaintiffs shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and Plaintiffs agree to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- **4.2.3.** \$147,500 as a reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiff's reasonable attorney fees and costs. This payment shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- **4.2.4.** To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$ 7,875	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
	Plaintiffs'		
	Portion of		
	Penalty,		
	ASP, Fees		
Lexington Law Group	and Costs	\$ 202,125	Lexington Law Group

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. Plaintiffs may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiffs shall meet and confer regarding the basis for Plaintiffs' anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least sixty (60) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiffs may file their enforcement motion or application. If actions by Settling Defendant to cure any alleged violation entail Settling Defendant initiating legal action against any person or entity with respect to rental or use of a generator from Settling Defendant then Plaintiffs may not file their enforcement motion or application until at least thirty (30) day from resolution of such legal action. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

6. MODIFICATION

- **6.1.** Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- **6.2. Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall provide notice to and attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties to this Consent Judgment prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1. Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 3, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the public interest, and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership or control, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, members, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to diesel engine exhaust caused by the Diesel Generators at the Facility prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2. Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 3, Plaintiffs, for themselves, their agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, covenants not to sue, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by Plaintiffs regarding Settling Defendant's alleged failure to warn about exposures to diesel engine exhaust caused by the Diesel Generators at the Facility prior to the Effective Date.
 - 7.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to diesel engine exhaust caused by the Diesel Generators at the Facility.

8. NOTICE

8.1. When Plaintiffs are entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Lucas Williams Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com

8.2. When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Ryan S. Landis Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1100 Irvine, CA 92614 rlandis@grsm.com

8.3. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- **9.1.** This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Plaintiff shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- **9.2.** If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1. Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, et seq.
- **11.2.** Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

11.3. Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1. This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Plaintiffs and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

	1			
1	16.	NO EFFECT ON	N OTHER SETTL	LEMENTS
2	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude Plaintiffs from resolving any claim against an entity			
3	other	than Settling Defen	dant on terms that	are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.
4	17.	TERMINATION	OF INJUNCTIV	/E RELIEF
5		17.1. Commenc	ing on the third and	niversary of the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the
6	Court	, and upon provision	n of 30 days advan	ced written notice to Plaintiffs and the Court, Settling
7	Defen	dant may terminate	the injunctive relie	ef in Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. Upon such
8	termin	nation, the provision	ns of Section 7 shal	ll no longer apply to Settling Defendant.
9				
10	IT IS	SO ORDERED:		
11				
12	Date	d:	_, 2024	
13				Judge of the Superior Court
14				
15				
16	IT IS	SO STIPULATEI) :	
17	Dated	: 9/17	, 2024	OAKLAND CANNERY COLLECTIVE
18				
19				
20				
21				Alistair Monroe
22				Executive Director
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1 2	Dated: _	September 25 , 2024	ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT
3			
4			λ
5			27.16
6			Tanya Boyce Executive Director
7			Executive Director
8	Dated: _	, 2024	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
9			
10			
11			
12			Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
13			Chief Executive Officer
14			
15			
16	Dated: _	, 2024	UNITED RENTALS (NORTH AMERICA),
17			INC.
18			
19			Signature
20			D IN
21			Printed Name
22			Title
23			Title
2425			
25 26			
26 27			
28			
_0			11

1	Dated:	, 2024	ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT
2			
3			
4			
5			Tanya Boyce Executive Director
6			Executive Director
7			
8	Dated: A	ugust 21, 2024	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
9			
10			Valar
11			
12			Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
13			
1415			
16	Data di	2024	VALUE DE LA CAMBRELLA CAMB
17	Dated:	, 2024	UNITED RENTALS (NORTH AMERICA), INC.
18			
19			Signature
20			
21			Printed Name
22			
23			Title
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			11

1	Dated:, 2024	ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT
2		
3		
4		
5		Tanya Boyce Executive Director
7		Executive Director
8	D-4-1- 2004	
9	Dated:, 2024	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
10		
11		
12		Kizzy Charles-Guzman
13		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
14		
15		
16	Dated: $9/3$, 2024	UNITED RENTALS (NORTH AMERICA),
17	7	INC.
18		Teta M. Carlo
19		Signature
20		RIMA M. CASTRO
21		Printed Name
22		ASSOCIATE GENERAL CURNER
23		Title
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		