I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Alex Martinez ("Martinez") and YYBA Corp d/b/a Wellspring Meds ("Wellspring"), a New York corporation. Martinez is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Martinez alleges, and for purposes of this settlement only, Wellspring does not dispute, that Wellspring employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). Martinez and Wellspring are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 The Allegations. On October 20, 2022, Martinez, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to Proposition 65 against Wellspring. In this action, Martinez alleges that Wellspring's "WELMATE Urinary Pain Relief" (the "Covered Product" or the "Product") contains Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen Martinez alleges that the Covered Product exposes consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Martinez alleges that Wellspring is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Wellspring, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Product.
- 1.4 Notice of Violation. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in Martinez's Notice of Violation dated July 21, 2022 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Wellspring (the "Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and Wellspring; and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against Wellspring with regard to the Covered Product or the alleged violations.
- 1.5 Martinez's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Product by California consumers exposes them to Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride without first receiving clear and reasonable warnings

///

///

///

///

from Wellspring, which is in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Wellspring denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.

- 1.6 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.7 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.8 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which Martinez serves the Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Wellspring as to the acts alleged in the Complaint.
- 2.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, California, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

III.WARNINGS

3.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Beginning on January 1, 2023 or, if later, the Effective Date, (the "Compliance Date") Wellspring agrees to manufacture, import, or purchase for sale in California only Covered Products that are accompanied by the following warning:

WARNING: This product can expose you to Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information, go to https://www.p65warnings.ca.gov/.

The above statement (the "Warning") must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the Product. "Consumer information" includes warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional information. "Consumer information" does not include the brand name, product name, company name, location of manufacture, or product advertising. In no case shall the Warning appear in a type size smaller than six (6) point type. In addition, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline shall be placed to the left of the text of the Warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING." Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white.

3.1.1 Internet Sales. For any Product sold over the Internet, the Warning shall be prominently displayed as follows: (a) on the primary display page for the Product; (b) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters on the Product's primary display page; so long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the Warning without content that detracts from the Warning; (c) on the checkout page or any other page in the checkout process when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Product and with the Warning clearly associated with the Product to indicate that the product is subject to the Warning; or (d) by otherwise prominently displaying the Warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. The Warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.

- 3.1.2 Warning Prominence. The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on the website or on the label and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. Wellspring must display the Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label, or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. The Warning may be accompanied by supplemental information only to the extent that the supplemental information identifies the source of the exposure or provides information on how to avoid or reduce exposure to the identified chemical or chemicals. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "label" means a display of written, printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to a Covered Product or its immediate container or wrapper.
- 3.2 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Wellspring shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by (1) adhering to section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment, or (2) complying with any future warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") after the Effective Date, that are applicable to the product and the chemical at issue.
- 3.3 Entry of Consent Judgment. Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, Martinez shall notice a Motion for Court Approval and comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f).
- 3.4 It is the parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other actions by private enforcers, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against Wellspring and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Product ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Product.

2

4 5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

IV. MONETARY TERMS.

4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorneys' fees, and costs, Wellspring shall make a total payment of \$75,000.00 (the "Total Settlement Amount") to Martinez within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). Wellspring shall make this payment by wire transfer to KJC Law Group, A.P.C., attorneys of record for Martinez, for which KJC Law Group will give Wellspring the necessary wire account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

Civil Penalty

Wellspring shall cause to be paid \$15,000 as a Civil Penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(l), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code Section 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Martinez, as provided by California Health & Safety Code section 25249.12(d).

Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Wellspring shall cause to be issued two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$11,250; and (2) to "KJC Law Group in Trust for Martinez" in the amount of \$3,750. Payment owed to Martinez pursuant to this Section shall be delivered to the following payment address:

KJC Law Group, A.P.C.

9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000

Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68:0284486) pursuant to this Section shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line: "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following addresses:

If by U.S. Postal Service:

Mike Gyurics, Fiscal Operations Branch Chief, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95812-4010.

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics, F Fiscal Operations Branch Chief, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed to KJC Law Group as proof of payment to OEHHA.

Attorneys' Fees

Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Wellspring shall cause to be paid \$60,000 to KJC Law Group, A.P.C. (attorneys of record for Martinez) as complete reimbursement for Martinez's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Wellspring's attention, litigating, negotiating, and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest.

4.2 In the event that Wellspring fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, Wellspring shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. Martinez shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Wellspring via electronic mail, to its counsel of record. If Wellspring fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010.

Additionally, Wellspring agrees to pay Martinez's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

V. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If Wellspring seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then Wellspring must provide written notice to Martinez of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If Martinez seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then Martinez must provide written notice to Wellspring within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If Martinez notifies Wellspring in a timely manner of Martinez's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person

or via telephone within thirty (30) days of Martinez's notification of the intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if Martinez disputes the proposed modification, Martinez shall provide to Wellspring a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

5.3 In the event that Wellspring initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, Wellspring shall reimburse Martinez his costs and reasonable attorneys' fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.

VI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

VII. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, employees, agents, parent companies, representatives, partners, sister companies, affiliates, manufacturers, suppliers, subsidiaries, divisions, subdivisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and that is not used by California consumers.

VIII. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Martinez, on behalf of himself and in the public interest, and Wellspring and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, employees, agents, parent companies, representatives, partners, sister companies, affiliates, manufacturers, suppliers, subsidiaries, divisions, subdivisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain

of any Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties").

- 8.2 Martinez, acting in the public interest, releases the Released Parties from any and all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up to and including the Effective Date based on exposure to Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride from the Product as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.
- 8.3 Martinez on his own behalf only, and Wellspring on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.4 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. Martinez on behalf of himself only, and Wellspring on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. Martinez and Wellspring acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.2 and 8.3 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

8.5 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any of the Released Parties regarding alleged exposures to the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

8.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any other Wellspring products other than the Covered Product.

IX. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

X. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

XI. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or via electronic mail where required.

KJC LAW GROUP, A.P.C.

Kevin J. Cole (SBN 321555) 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (310) 861-7797 e-Mail: kevin@kjclawgroup.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Alex Martinez

ENVIRONMENTAL GENERAL COUNSEL PC

Catherine Johnson (SBN 135070) 1990 N. California Blvd., Suite 20 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 400-9025 e-Mail: cjohnson@egcounsel.com

Attorneys for Defendant YYBA Corp d/b/a Wellspring Meds

///

26

23

24

25

27

28

10

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

XII. COURT APPROVAL

- 12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, Martinez shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible, prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 12.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

XIII. EXECUTED AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

XIV. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

XV. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

///

XVI. ENFORCEMENT

The Parties may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any successful action brought by Martinez to enforce this Consent Judgment, Martinez may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment and Proposition 65.

XVII. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

XVIII.REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and approve this Consent Judgment.

///

///

///

/// ///

///

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2 3 4	DATED: November 28, 2022	Ву:	KJC LAW GROUP, A.P.C. /s/ Kevin J. Cole Kevin J. Cole, Esq.
5 6			Attorneys for Plaintiff Alex Martinez
7 8 9	DATED: November, 2022		Plaintill Alex Martinez
10			
11 12	DATED: November 28, 2022	Ву:	ENVIRONMENTAL GENERAL COUNSEL PC /s/ Catherine Johnson Catherine Johnson, Esq.
13 14			Attorneys for Defendant YYBA Corp d/b/a Wellspring Meds
15			
16	DATED: November <u>28</u> , 2022		YYBA CORP D/B/A WELLSPRING MEDS
17 18			By: Artur Kondon
19			Its: CEO
20			
21			
22			
24			
25			
26			
27	[PROPOSEI	O] STIF	13 PULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
28			



Kevin J. Cole, Esq. e-Mail: kevin@kjclawgroup.com

July 21, 2022

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

YYBA Corp d/b/a Wellspring Meds c/o Ariel Kondov, Founder & CEO 50 Edison Court, Apartment A Monsey, NY 10952

YYBA Corp d/b/a Wellspring Meds c/o Ariel Kondov, Founder & CEO 386 Route 59, Suite 410 Monsey, NY 10952

Re: <u>Proposition 65 Notice of Violation</u>

Dear Mr. Kondov:

We represent Alex Martinez ("Plaintiff"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. This letter serves as Notice that YYBA Corp d/b/a Wellspring Meds ("Wellspring") is in violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, commencing with section 25249.5 of the Health and Safety Code ("Proposition 65"). In particular, the violation alleged by this Notice consists of types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride. This chemical was listed as a carcinogen on January 1, 1988.

The specific type of product that is causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 is Wellspring's "WELMATE Urinary Pain Relief" (the "Product"). The route of exposure for the violations is oral ingestion by consumers. These exposures occur through the reasonably foreseeable use of the Product. The sales of this Product have been occurring since at least October 19, 2020, are continuing to this day and will continue to occur as long as the Product subject to this Notice is sold to and used by consumers.

Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided regarding exposures to Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride caused by ordinary use of the Product. Wellspring is in violation of Proposition 65 by failing to provide such warnings to consumers. As a result of the sales of this Product, exposures to Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride have been occurring without proper warnings for almost two years.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Plaintiff intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against Wellspring unless it agrees in a binding written instrument to: (1) immediately cease causing unwarned exposures to Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride; (2) provide clear and reasonable warnings for past and ongoing exposures to Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride from the Product; and (3) pay appropriate civil penalties based on the factors enumerated in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b). If Wellspring is interested in resolving this dispute without resort to litigation, please feel free to contact me. However, the parties cannot: (1)

¹ "WELMATE" is a trademark owned by Wellspring. *See*, *e.g.*, USPTO Trademark Serial Number 90169263.



finalize any settlement until after the 60-day notice period has expired, nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any District or City Attorney who received the 60-day Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement with Plaintiff will resolve these claims, such agreement may not satisfy the public prosecutors.

This Notice also serves as a demand that Wellspring preserve and maintain all relevant evidence, including all electronic documents and data, pending resolution of this matter. Such relevant evidence includes but is not limited to all documents relating to the use of Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride in the Product; efforts to comply with Proposition 65 with respect to the use of Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride in the Product; communications with any person relating to Phenazopyridine Hydrochloride in the Product; and the length of time at which Wellspring sold the Product into the California marketplace.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss any of the above, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kevin J. Cole, Esq.

KJC Law Group, A Professional Corporation

See attached distribution list

Attachments:

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

Proposition 65 Summary (to the alleged violator only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to the California Attorney General only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

I, Kevin J. Cole, hereby declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice has violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the Plaintiff's case can be established, and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: July 21, 2022

Kevin J. Cole, Esq.

Kin gen

KJC Law Group, A Professional Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Chen Wang, declare that I am over the age of 18 years, and am not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California, where the mailing occurs; and my business address is 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

On July 21, 2022, I served the following documents: (1) 60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(d); (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY; and (4) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT ATTACHMENT (served only on the Attorney General) on the party listed below by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Certified Mail with the postage thereon fully prepaid:

Via Certified Mail

YYBA Corp d/b/a Wellspring Meds c/o Ariel Kondov, Founder & CEO 50 Edison Court, Apartment A Monsey, NY 10952 YYBA Corp d/b/a Wellspring Meds c/o Ariel Kondov, Founder & CEO 386 Route 59, Suite 410 Monsey, NY 10952

On July 21, 2022, I served the California Attorney General (via website Portal) by uploading a true and correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.

On July 21, 2022, I transmitted via electronic mail the above-listed documents to the electronic mail addresses of the City and/or District Attorneys who have specifically authorized email service and the authorization appears on the Attorney General's website.

See Attached Service List

On July 21, 2022, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known address by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope and depositing it at my business address with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and addressed as follows:

See Attached Service List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 21, 2022 in Los Angeles, California.

Chen Wang

Appendix A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA PROTECTION AGENCY THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACTION 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, the lead and Toxic Enforcement Act 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65") A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide law. The reader is directed to the statue and its implementing regulations (See citations below) for further information.

Proposition 65 appears in California law as Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code Regulations, Sections 250000 through 27000.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Governor's List" Proposition 65 requires the Governor to publish a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm. This list must be updated at least once a year. Over 725 chemicals have been listed as of November 16, 2001. Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under this law. Businesses that produce, use, release, or otherwise engage in activities involving those chemicals must comply with the

following:

Clear and Reasonable Warnings. A

business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that is will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed. Exposures are exempt from the warning requirement if they occur less than twelve months after the date of the listing of the chemical.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Discharges are exempt from this requirement if they occur less than twenty months after the date of the listing of chemical.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. The law exempts:

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, State or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed as known to the State to cause cancer ("carcinogens"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70- year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "no significant risk" levels for more than 250 listed carcinogens.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("reproductive toxicants"), a warning is not required if the business can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level (NOEL)," divided by a 1,000- fold safety or uncertainty factor. The "no observable effect level" is the highest dose level which has not been associated with an observable adverse reproductive or developmental effect.

"significant amount" of the listed chemical entering into any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the list chemical has not, does not, or will not enter any drinking water source, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any

Discharge that do not result in a

detectable amount; expect an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" or "no observable effect" test if an individual were exposed to such an amount in drinking water.

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought be the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys (those in cities with a population exceeding 750,000). Lawsuit may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. A notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in regulations (Title 27. California Code of Regulations, Section 25903). A private party may not pursue an enforcement action directly under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an action within sixty days of notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court of law to stop committing the violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION....

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment=s Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916)445-6900

E-Mail Service List

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator LASSEN COUNTY 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Jeannine M. Pacioni, District Attorney MONTEREY COUNTY 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey ,CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney NAPA COUNTY 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Barbara Yook, District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Rd. San Andreas, CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

Alethea M. Sargent, Assistant District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 350 Rhode Island Street San Francisco, CA 94103 alethea.sargent@sfgov.org

Summer Stephan, District Attorney SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org

Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney CITY OF SAN DIEGO 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyCrimProp65@sandiego.gov

Valerie Lopez, Deputy City Attorney CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Valerie.Lopez@sfcityatty.org Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Bud Porter, Supervising Deputy District Attorney SANTA CLARA COUNTY 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110_ EPU@da.sccgov.org

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney TULARE COUNTY 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370_ Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney VENTURA COUNTY 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney YOLO COUNTY 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 Santa Barbara St.
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney ALAMEDA COUNTY 7776 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org

Barbara M. Yook, District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us David Hollister, District Attorney PLUMAS COUNTY 520 Main St. Quincy, CA 95971 davidhollister@countyofplumas.com

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney SANTA CRUZ COUNTY 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Kimberly Lewis, District Attorney MERCED COUNTY 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340 Prop65@countyofmerced.com

Clifford H. Newell, District Attorney NEVADA COUNTY 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959 DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us

Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney INYO COUNTY 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 inyoda@inyocounty.us

Walter W. Wall, District Attorney MARIPOSA COUNTY P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338 mcda@mariposacounty.org

Morgan Briggs Gire, District Attorney PLACER COUNTY 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678 prop65@placer.ca.gov

District Attorney ORANGE COUNTY 700 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701 Prop65Notice@da.ocgov.com

SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Nancy O'Malley	The Honorable Stacey Montgomery	The Honorable Candice Hooper	The Honorable Gregg Cohen
Alameda County District Attorney	Lassen County District Attorney	San Benito County District Attorney	Tehama County District Attorney
1225 Fallon Street, Room 900	220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8	419 4th Street, Second Floor	444 Oak Street, Room L
Oakland, CA 94812	Susanville, CA 96130	Hollister, CA 95203	Red Bluff, CA 96080
The Honorable Terese Drabec	The Honorable Jackie Lacey	The Honorable Michael Ramos	The Honorable Eric Heryford
Alpine County District Attorney	Los Angeles County District Attorney	San Bernardino County District Attorney	Trinity County District Attorney
270 Laramie Street, PO BOX 248	211 West Temple Street, Suite 1200	303 West 3rd Street, 6th Floor	P.O. Box 310
Markieeville, CA 96120	Los Angeles, CA 90012	San Bernardino, CA 92415-0502	Weaverville, CA 96093
The Honorable Todd Riebe	The Honorable David Linn	The Honorable Bonnie Dumanis	The Honorable Tim Ward
Amador County District Attorney	Madera County District Attorney	San Diego County District Attorney	Tulare County District Attorney
708 Court Street	209 West Yosernite Avenue	330 W, Broadway Street	221 South Mooney Boulevard, Rm 224
Jackson, CA 95642	Madera, CA 93637	San Diego, CA 92101	Visalia, CA 93291-4593
The Honorable Michael Ramsay	The Honorable Edward Berberian	The Honorable George Gascon	The Honorable Laura Krieg
Butte County District Attorney	Marin County District Attorney	San Francisco County District Attorney	Tuolumne County District Attorney
25 County Center Drive	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130	850 Bryant Street, Room 322	423 North Washington Street
Oroville, CA 95965	San Rafael, CA 34903	San Francisco, CA 94103	Sonora, CA 95370
The Honorable Barbara Yook	The Honorable Thomas Cooke	The Honorable Tori Verber Salazar	The Honorable Gregory Totten Ventura County District Attorney 800 South Victona Avenue Ventura, CA 93009
Calaveras County District Attorney	Mariposa County District Attorney	San Joaquin County District Attorney	
991 Mountain Ranch Road	5101 Jones Street, P.O. Box 730	222 East Weber Avenue, Room 202	
San Andreas, CA 95249	Mariposa, CA 95338	Stockton, CA 95201	
The Honorable John Poyner	The Honorable C. David Eyster	The Honorable Dan Dow	The Honorable Jeff Reisig
Colusa County District Attorney	Mendocino County District Attornay	San Luis Obispo County District Atty	Yolo County District Attorney
346 Fifth Street	100 North State Street, P.O. Box 1000	1035 Palm Street, 4th Floor	301 Second Street
Colusa, CA 95932	Ukiah, CA 95482	San Luis Obispo, CA 93408	Woodland, CA 95695
The Honorable Mark Peterson Contra Costa County District Attorney 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 The Honorable Dale Trigg	The Honorable Larry Morse II Marced County District Attorney 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340	The Honorable Stephen Wagstalfe San Mateo County District Attorney 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063	The Honorable Patrick McGrath Yuba County District Attorney 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901
Oel Norte County District Attorney 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531	The Honorable Jordan Funk Modoc County District Attorney 204 S. Court Street, Suite 202 Alturas, CA 96101	The Honorable Joyce Dudley Santa Barbara County District Attorney 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101	The Honorable Mike Feuer Office of the City Attorney, Los Angeles 800 City Hall East 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012
The Honorable Vern Pierson El Dorado County District Attorney 778 Pacific Street Placerville, CA 95667	The Honorable Tim Kendall	The Honorable Jeffrey Rosen	The Honorable James Sanchez
	Mono County District Attorney	Santa Clara County District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney, Sacramento
	P.O. Box 617	70 West Hedding Street, West Wing	915 I Street, 4th Floor
	Bridgeport, CA 93517	San Jose, CA 95110	Sacramento, CA 95814
The Honorable Lisa Smittramp Fresno County District Attorney 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721 The Honorable Dwayne Stewart	The Honorable Dean Flippo	The Honorable Jeff Rosell	The Honorable Jan Goldsmith
	Monterey County District Attorney	Santa Cruz County District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney, San Diego
	P.O. Box 1131	701 Ocean Street, Room 200	1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1620
	Salinas, CA 93902	Santa Cruz, CA 95060	San Diego, CA 92101
Glenn County District Attorney P.O. Box 430 Willows, CA 95988	The Honorable Allison Haley Napa County District Attorney 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559	The Honorable Stephen Carlton Shasta County District Attorney 1355 West Street ReddIng, CA 96001	The Honorable Dennis Herrera Office of the City Attorney, San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102
The Honorable Maggie Fleming Humboldt County District Attorney 825 5th Street, Fourth Floor Eureka, CA 95501 The Honorable Gilbert Otero	The Honorable Clifford Newell Nevada County District Attorney 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959	The Honorable Lawrence Allen Sierra County District Attorney 100 Courthouse Square Downieville, CA 95936	The Honorable Richard Doyle Office of the City Altorney, San Jose 200 East Santa Clara Street, 18th Floor San Jose, CA 95113
Imperial County District Attorney 940 West Main Street, Suite 102 El Centro, CA 92243	The Honorable Tony Rackauckas Orange County District Attorney 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701	The Honorable James Kirk Andrus Siskiyou County District Attorney P.O. Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097	Office of the California Attorney General Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting ATTN: Prop 65 Coordinator 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550
The Honorable Thomas Hardy	The Honorable R. Scott Owens	The Honorable Krishna Abrams	
Inyo County District Attorney	Placer County District Attorney	Solano County District Attorney	
P.O. Drawer D	10810 Justice Center Drive, Suite 240	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500	
Independence, CA 93526	Roseville, CA 95678	Fairfield, CA 94533	
The Honorable Lisa Green	The Honorable David Hollister	The Honorable Jill Ravitch	
Kern County District Attorney	Plumas County District Attorney	Sonoma County District Attorney	
1215 Truxtun Avenue	520 Main Street, Room 404	600 Administration Drive, Room 212J	
Bakersfield, CA 93301	Quincy, CA 95971	Santa Rosa, CA 95403	
The Honorable Keilh Fagundas	The Honorable Michael Hestrin	The Honorable Birgit Fladager	
Kings County District Attorney	Riverside County District Attorney	Stanislaus County District Attorney	
1400 West Lacey Boulevard	3960 Orange Street	832 12th Street, Suite 300	
Hanford, CA 93230	Riverside, CA 92501	Modesto, CA 95354	
The Honorable Donald Anderson	The Honorable Anne Marie Schubert	The Honorable Amanda Hopper	
Lake County District Attorney	Sacramento County District Attorney	Sutter County District Attorney	
255 North Forbes Street	901 G Street	463 Second Street, Suite 102	
Lakeport CA 95453	Sacramento CA 95814	Yuba City CA 95991	