1	Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (Bar No. 223381) p65@manninglawoffice.com		
2	MANNING LAW, APC		
	26100 Towne Centre Drive		
3	Foothill Ranch, CA. 92610		
4	Tel: (949) 200-8755		
	Fax: (866) 843-8308		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff		
6	CalSafe Research Center, Inc.		
~	and the state of t		
7	George C. Salmas (Bar. No. 62626)		
8	george.salmas@thefoodlawyers.com		
9	Michael R. Hambly (Bar No. 119834)		
10	michael.hambly@thefoodlawyers.com THE FOOD LAWYERS®		
10	1880 Century Park East, Suite 611		
11	Los Angeles, CA 90067		
12	Tel: (310) 556-0721 Fax: (310) 788-8923		
	(613) 700 0323		
13	Attorney for Defendant		
14	Louisville Vegan Jerky Co., Inc.		
15			
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
17	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - (CENTRAL JUDICIA	L DISTRICT
			D DISTRICT
18		1	
19	CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a	Case No.: 23TRC	V00311
20	California non-profit corporation,	[DDODOCED] CT	IDIII ATEEN
<u>, </u>	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ST CONSENT JUDG	
21		CONSENT JODG	11417214 1
22	v.	(Health & Safety (Code § 24249, et seq.)
23	LOUISVILLE VEGAN JERKY CO., LLC, a	Complaint filed:	February 3, 2023
24	Kentucky Limited Liability Company; and	Trial Date:	None Set
25	DOES 1 to 10,		
	Defendants.		
26			
27			

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between CalSafe Research Center, Inc. ("CalSafe" or "Plaintiff"), a California non-profit corporation, and Louisville Vegan Jerky Co., Inc. ("LVJ" or "Defendant"), a Kentucky limited liability company (collectively, the "Parties").
- 1.2 General Allegations. On February 3, 2023, CalSafe initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 24249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") against LVJ. In this action, CalSafe alleges that LVJ's Maple Bacon (the "Covered Product") contains lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin. CalSafe alleges that the Covered Product exposes consumers to lead at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. CalSafe alleges that LVJ qualifies as a "Person" within the meaning of Proposition 65, and that LVJ manufactures, distributes, and/or offers for sale in the State of California the Covered Product.
- 1.3 Notice of Violation. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in CalSafe's Notice of Violation dated July 29, 2022 (the "Notice"), that was served on the California attorney General, other public enforcers, and LVJ. A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and LVJ; no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against LVJ with regard to the Covered Product or the alleged violations.
- 1.4 CalSafe's Notice and Complaint allege that the use of the Product by California consumers exposes them to lead without first receiving a clear and reasonable warning from LVJ, which is a violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. LVJ denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.5 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the products, including the Covered Product, that it sold and/or distributed

for sale in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Defendant or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such specifically denied by the Defendant. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

- 1.6 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to this proceeding.
- 1.7 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall mean ninety (90) days following the full execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over LVJ as to the acts alleged in the Complaint.
- 2.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, California, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

III. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Lead Reduction, Target Level, Compliance Date. Beginning on the Effective Date, Defendant shall reduce the level of lead in the Covered Product, if necessary, shipped for sale in California to 0.016 parts per million (the "Target Level"), or be subject to the provisions of Paragraphs 3.3 through 3.6.

3.2 Shipped for Sale in California. "Shipped for Sale in California" means the Covered Product that Defendant either directly ships to California for sale in California, or that it sells to a distributor or retailer who Defendant knows will sell the Product to consumers in California. Where a retailer or distributor sells the Covered Product both in California and other states, Defendant shall take commercially reasonable steps to ensure that the only Covered product that is sold in California either (1) is in compliance with Paragraph 3.1, or (2) is in compliance with Paragraphs 3.3 through 3.6.

- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings, When Required. If Defendant does not achieve the Target Level on the Covered Product, Defendant agrees by the Effective Date to only manufacture for sale, purchase for sale, import for sale, or distribute for sale in or into California (in-person or online) the Covered Product that contains a warning as provided for in Paragraphs 3.4 through 3.6.
- 3.4 Warning Requirements. A clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Product shall consist of a warning affixed to the packaging, label, tag, or directly to each Covered Product Shipped for Sale in California by Defendant that contains one of the following statements:

(A)

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

(B)

MARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm-www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The warning shall be offset in a box with a black outline, and must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the Covered Product. "Consumer information" includes warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional information. "Consumer information" does not include the brand name, product name, company

name, location of manufacture, or product advertising. In no case shall the warning appear in a type size smaller than six (6) point type. Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the yellow equilateral triangle consisting of a black exclamation point with a bold black outline may be in black and white.

- where it will be shipped to California, the warning shall be displayed as follows: (A) on the primary display page for the Covered Product; (B) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters on the Covered Product's primary display page, so long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the warning without content that detracts from the warning; (C) on the checkout page or any other page in the checkout process when a California delivery address is indicted for the purchase of the Covered Product and with the warning clearly associated with the Covered Product to indicate that the Covered Product is subject to the warning; or (D) by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase of the Covered Product. The warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.
- 3.6 Warning Prominence. Defendant agrees that each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness, as compared with the other words, statements, designs, or devices, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.
- 3.7 Compliance with Target Level or Clear and Reasonable Warning. LVJ shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment after the Effective Date by (A) adhering to Paragraph 3.1 or Paragraphs 3.3 through 3.6, or (B) by complying with any future warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA), other agency authorized to promulgate one or more regulations requiring or permitting warning text and/or methods of transmission, or legislation enacted by the California legislature, United States Congress or voters with such requirements or permission that are applicable to the Covered Product and chemical at issue. If regulations, legislation, or judicial rulings are enacted or issued providing that a Proposition 65 warning for the Covered

Product is no longer required, a lack of warning or lack of complying with Target Levels set forth in this Consent Judgment will not thereafter be a breach of this Consent Judgment.

- 3.8 Grace Period of Existing Inventory. The injunctive requirements of Section III shall not apply to the Covered Product that is already in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date, which Covered Product is expressly subject to the releases provided in Section V.
- 3.9 Entry of Consent Judgment. Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, CalSafe shall notice a Motion for Court Approval and, within ten (10) days of approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court, comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).
- 3.10 Attorney General Objection. If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible, prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 3.11 Void if Not Approved. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

IV. MONETARY TERMS

- 4.1 Total Settlement Amount. In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney fees, and costs, LVJ shall make a total payment of thirty-five thousand dollars (\$35,000.00) (the "Total Settlement Amount"), apportioned into a Civil Penalty, and Attorney Fees and Costs as set forth in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3, below.
- **4.2 Civil Penalty Payment.** Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2) and in settlement of all claims alleged in the Notice and Complaint, LVJ agrees to pay three-thousand five hundred dollars (\$3,500.00) in Civil Penalties. The Civil Penalty payment will be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249(c)(1), (d), with seventy-five (75) percent of these funds remitted to OEHHA, and the remaining twenty-five (25) percent of the funds retained by CalSafe. Within ten (10) days of the date of approval of this Consent Judgment by the Court, LVJ shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment as follows: (A) to "OEHHA" in the amount of two thousand six hundred twenty-five dollars (\$2,625.00), with "Prop 65 Penalties" written in the Memo Line;

	il			
1	and (B) to "CalSafe Research Center" in the amount of eight hundred seventy five dollar			
2	(\$875.00).			
3	All payments made to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Paragraph shall be			
4	delivered directly to OEHHA at the following address:			
5	For United States Postal Delivery Service:			
6	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
7	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010			
8	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010			
9	For Non-United States Postal Delivery Service:			
10	Mike Gyurics			
11	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
12	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street MS #19B			
13	Sacramento, CA 95814			
14	All payments made to CalSafe (EIN: 84-4419173) pursuant to this Paragraph shall be sent			
15	to:			
16	Manning Law APC Client Trust Account: CalSafe Research Center			
17	26100 Towne Center Drive			
18	Foothill Ranch, CA 92610			
19	4.3 Attorney Fees and Costs. Within ten (10) days of the approval of this Consent			
20	Judgment by the Court, LVJ agrees to pay thirty one thousand five hundred dollars (\$31,500.00)			
21	to CalSafe and its counsel of record for all fees and costs incurred in investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of LVJ, litigating, negotiation, and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest. LVJ shall issue one check for \$31,500.00 payable to "Manning			
22				
23				
24	Law APC" (EIN: 83-0502205).			
25				
26				
27	All payments made pursuant to this Paragraph shall be sent or delivered to:			
28				
	CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Louisville Vegan Jerky Co., Inc., Case No. 23TRCV00311			
- 11	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 6			

Manning Law APC 26100 Towne Center Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610

4.4 In the event that LVJ fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount or any portion thereof owed under Paragraphs 4.1 through 4.3 of this Consent Judgment before the due date, LVJ shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. CalSafe shall provide written notice of delinquency to LVJ via electronic mail to LVJ's counsel of record. If LVJ fails to deliver any portion of or all of the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in California Code of Civil Procedure § 685.010.

Additionally, LVJ agrees to pay CalSafe's reasonable attorney fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

V. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

5.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

VI. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to the injunctive terms by (A) written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment, or (B) by motion of either Party pursuant to Paragraph 5.3 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 6.2 If LVJ seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Paragraph 5.1, then LVJ must provide written notice to CalSafe of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If CalSafe seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then CalSafe shall provide written notice of intent to meet and confer to LVJ within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith in person, via telephone, or via video conference within thirty (30) days of CalSafe's written notice of intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such a meeting, if CalSafe disputes the proposed modification, CalSafe shall provide LVJ a written basis for its opposition. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer

for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

6.3 In the event that LVJ initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Paragraph 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, LVJ shall reimburse CalSafe its costs and reasonable attorney fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion.

VII. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED, CLAIMS RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and/or that is not used by California consumers. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any other LVJ products other than the Covered Product.
- 7.2 Binding Effect. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CalSafe, on behalf of itself and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates and on behalf of the public interest, and LVJ and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Product, including by not limited to Sprouts Farmers Market, LLC, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties").
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any of the Released Parties regarding alleged exposures to the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.
- 7.4 CalSafe Release of Defendant(s). CalSafe, on behalf of itself and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates and on behalf of the public interest fully releases and discharges Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, cause of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties,

fees costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted based on or related to the handling, use, sale, distribution, or consumption of the Covered Product in California, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations, including without limitation any failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Product with respect to exposures to lead set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

- 7.5 CalSafe on its own behalf only, and LVJ on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they, their attorneys, or their representatives may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through ad including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in this Section shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment.
- 7.6 California Civil Code Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Product, will develop or be discovered. CalSafe on behalf of itself only, and LVJ on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all Such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. CalSafe and LVJ acknowledge that the claims released in Section VII above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code § 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR, AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

///

VIII. SEVERABILITY

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

IX. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

X. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or electronic mail. Any Party may modify the person/entity or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested. Said change shall take effect on the date the return receipt is signed by the Party receiving the change.

Notice for CalSafe shall be sent to:

Joseph R. Manning, Jr. 26100 Towne Center Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 Tel: Office (949) 200-8757 Fax: (866) 843-8309 p65@manninglawoffice.com

Notice for LVJ shall be sent to:

George Salmas
The Food Lawyers®
1880 Century Park East
Suite 611
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 556-0721
George.Salmas@TheFoodLawyers.com

XI. EXECUTED IN COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document A facsimile or .PDF signature page shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

XII. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had the opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participate equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

XIII. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, by video conference, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed with the Court in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

XIV. ENFORCEMENT

The Parties may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior court of Los Angeles County, enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. In any successful action brought by CalSafe to enforce this Consent Judgment, CalSafe may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provide by law for failure to comply with this Consent Judgment.

XV. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

15.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

1	15.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized				
2	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.				
3	XVI. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL, AND ENTRY.				
4	This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The				
5	Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed				
6	regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, make the findings pursuant to				
7	California Health and Safety Code § 25249(f)(4) and approve this Consent Judgment.				
8					
9					
10	//				
11	//				
12	//				
13	//				
14	//				
15					
16	//				
17	//				
18	//				
19	$\prime\prime$				
20	$\prime\prime$				
21					
22					
23	//				
24 .	//				
25 ,					
6 /					
7 /	//				
8 /					

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	DATED: March 21, 2023	MANNING LAW, LAPC
4		
5		By: Joseph Manning, Jr.
6		Attorney for Plaintiff
7		CalSafe Research Center, Inc.
8		CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC.
9	DATED: March 21, 202	3 By: \(\)
10		Center, Inc.
11		center, nic.
12		
13	DATED:, 2023	THE FOOD LAWYERS®
14		By:
15		Michael R. Hambly
16		Attorney for Defendant
17		Louisville Vegan Jerky Co., Inc.
18		LOUISVILLE VEGAN JERKY CO., INC.
19 20	DATED: 2023	
21	DATED:, 2023	Fred Gustafson
22		President Louisville Vegan Jerky Co., Inc.
23		,
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CalSafe Research Contar Land I 1 11 11 11	
7	CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Louisville Vegan Jerky [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	Co., Inc., Case No. 23TRCV00311

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2			
3	DATED:, 2023		MANNING LAW, APC
4	,		By:
5			Joseph Manning, Jr.
6 7			Attorney for Plaintiff CalSafe Research Center, Inc.
8			CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC.
9	DATED:	. 2023	By:
10			Eric Fairon, CEO CalSafe Research Center, Inc.
11			
12			
13	DATED: March Zu, 2023		THE FOOD LAWYERS®
14			By:
15			Michael R. Hambly
16			Attorney for Defendant Louisville Vegan Jerky Co., Inc.
17			Bombrine reguliterity Co., The.
18			LOUISVILLE VEGAN JERKY CO., INC.
19 20	DATED: March 24	, 2023	By:
21			Fred Gustafson President
22			Louisville Vegan Jerky Co., Inc.
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			
	CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Louisville Ve	egan Jerky	Co., Inc., Case No. 23TRCV00311

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT