Vineet Dubey (SBN 243208) Custodio & Dubey LLP 445 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2520 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 593-9095 Facsimile: (213) 785-2899 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 Ecological Alliance LLC 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 ECOLOGICAL ALLIANCE LLC, a Case No. 11 California limited liability company, 12 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JÜDGMENT 13 VS. BAREBELLS FUNCTIONAL FOODS LLC 14 OF CALIFORNIA, a Delaware corporation; 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u> 19 WHEREAS, Plaintiff the Ecological Alliance ("EA") seeks to protect the general 20 public of the State of California from exposure to lead and other toxic substances. 21 WHEREAS, as a material component of the settlement of this matter, EA 22 individually and on behalf of the public interest, shall promptly file a complaint for 23 injunctive relief and civil penalties in Los Angeles County Superior Court ("Court") in an 24 action to be styled as Ecological Alliance LLC v. Barebells Functional Foods LLC of 25 California, et al. The complaint EA will file in this case is referred to herein as the 26 "Complaint." 27 28

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

WHEREAS, Defendant Barebells Functional Foods LLC of California ("BFF") (hereinafter, "Settling Defendant") manufactures, packages, distributes, markets, and/or sells protein products ("Protein Products" as defined below) to persons in the State of California.

WHEREAS, analysis of this general category of products, including but not limited to these Protein Products using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry reveals that there can be detectable lead in some production lots of such products, there can be variations in lead concentrations within a single lot of any particular product, there can be variation among different lots of the same product and, finally, there can be variation among protein supplement products made by the same Defendant.

WHEREAS, analysis of the general category of products, including but not limited to the subject Protein Products also reveals that there can be variations in lead concentrations from flavor to flavor within a single protein supplement product line.

WHEREAS, even with use of good manufacturing practices, Protein Products can still have detectable concentrations of lead.

WHEREAS, EA and Settling Defendant dispute how exposure to the Protein Products is to be calculated, including the amount of consumption per eating occasion, whether the frequency of consumption should be considered, and the frequency of consumption by the average users of the Protein Products.

WHEREAS, Settling Defendant contends that the lead, if any is detectable, contained in the Protein Products is "naturally occurring" within the meaning of California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501.

WHEREAS, EA disputes that contention, contending that the lead contained in these Protein Products is not naturally occurring for purposed of Proposition 65.

WHEREAS, EA and Settling Defendant recognize and acknowledge that proving or disproving that any particular quantity of lead that may be contained in the Protein Products is naturally occurring would be extremely expensive and time-consuming requiring the expenditure of resources out of proportion with any benefits to be derived from that process.

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in Edgerton v. Conopco (dba Slim Fast Foods Co.), Atkins Nutritionals, Inc., Metabolife International, Kashi Company, and Rexall Sundown, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC26906 (dated 12/19/03) allows, inter alia, similar protein products to be sold in California without a warning, regardless of the concentration of lead in those products, provided that each covered defendant uses its "Best Practices" in manufacturing its products, and keeps the lead levels in the water at its manufacturing facilities under ten (10) parts per billion ("ppb").

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in *As You Sow v. Nature's Way Products Inc.*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-03-422848 (filed 5/24/05) allows, *inter alia*, similar protein products containing a concentration of lead in the products of up to four (4) micrograms per day, assuming the product is used or consumed according to the defendant's consumer use instructions, to be sold in California without a warning, provided that each covered defendant uses Good Manufacturing Practices, uses ingredients grown using Good Agricultural Practices when possible, and uses Quality Control measures to reduce contaminants to the "lowest level currently feasible," as that phrase is defined by California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501(a)(4).

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in *As You Sow v. Irving Naturals, et al.*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 429279 (filed 6/30/05) allows, *inter alia*, similar products containing a concentration of lead in the products of up to four (4) micrograms per day, assuming the product is used or consumed according to the defendant's consumer use instructions, to be sold in California without a warning, provided that each covered defendant use Good Manufacturing Practices, use ingredients grown using Good Agricultural Practices when possible, and use Quality Control measures to reduce contaminants to the "lowest level currently feasible," as that phrase is defined by California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501(a)(4).

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in *As You Sow, Threshold Enterprises, Ltd., et al.*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 422847 (filed 9/8/05) allows, *inter alia*, similar products containing a concentration of lead in the products of up to four (4) micrograms per

day, assuming the product is used or consumed according to the defendant's consumer use instructions, to be sold in California without a warning, provided that each covered defendant use Good Manufacturing Practices, use ingredients grown using Good Agricultural Practices when possible, and use Quality Control measures to reduce contaminants to the "lowest level currently feasible," as that phrase is defined by California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501(a)(4).

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in *As You Sow v. Botanical Laboratories, Inc.*, *er al.*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-04-429563 (filed 5/23/05) allows, *inter alia*, similar products containing a concentration of lead in the products of up to four (4) micrograms per day, assuming the product is used or consumed according to the defendant's consumer use instructions, to be sold in California without a warning, provided that each covered defendant use Good Manufacturing Practices, use ingredients grown using Good Agricultural Practices when possible, and use Quality Control measures to reduce contaminants to the "lowest level currently feasible," as that phrase is defined by California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Section 25501(a)(4).

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in the case styled *Nasseri v. CytoSport, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC439181, allows lead in products which are competitor products to the Protein Products of up to 4.5 micrograms per day for chocolate products and up to 3.5 micrograms per day for non-chocolate products.

WHEREAS, the Consent Judgment in *Environmental Law Foundation v. Abbots Laboratories, et al.*, As You Sow Botanical Laboratories, Inc., et al., San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-10-503002 (filed 8/26/10 and 12/17/10) allows, inter alia, similar products up to three (3) micrograms of lead in a daily serving for protein products other than chocolate protein products, and allows four (4) micrograms of lead in a daily serving of chocolate protein products to be sold in California without a warning.

WHEREAS, Settling Defendant contends that it should be provided a naturally occurring allowance of up to one (1) part per million (1000 ppb) of lead for any coca

powder found in Products, pursuant to the letter dated September 28, 2001 from the California Office of the Attorney General to Roger Lane Carrick and Michele Corash.

WHEREAS, Settling Defendant contends that it should be provided a naturally occurring allowance for lead that may be present in ingredients encompassed by the Consent Judgment in *People v. Warner-Lambert Co. et al.*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 984503 (filed 11/13/1998) and modified in April 2011), which allows, *inter alia*, a naturally occurring allowance of 0.8 micrograms of lead per 1000 milligrams of calcium, and naturally occurring allowances of 0.4 mcg/g for ferrous fumarate, 8.0 mcg/g for zinc oxide, 0.4 mcg/g for magnesium oxide, 0.332 mcg/g for magnesium carbonate, 0.4 mcg/g magnesium hydroxide, 0.8 mcg/g zinc gluconate, and 1.1 mcg/g potassium chloride. In 2012 the People afforded the same naturally occurring allowances to dozens of defendants in a series of consent judgments resolving a case styled *People v. 21st Century Healthcare, Inc., et al.*, Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG08426937.

WHEREAS, Settling Defendant contends that it is unfairly prejudicial to subject different businesses within the same competitive marketplace to different lead warning thresholds pursuant to Proposition 65.

WHEREAS, all Parties desire to achieve the lowest level of lead in these Protein Products that is reasonably feasible.

# NOW THEREFORE, THE PARTIES AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1.1 In its Complaint, EA alleges that Defendant manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed and/or sold protein products for human consumption containing lead in an amount that resulted in an exposure to consumers in violation of the provisions of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity and cancer, namely lead, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. The protein supplement products that EA alleges contain lead, and which are covered by this Consent Judgment, are those described in the Attachment A (the "Protein Products"). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, the Complaint shall be deemed

amended such that the term "PROTEIN PRODUCTS" in the Complaint is defined, as to Settling Defendant, as the Protein Products identified in Attachment A.

- 1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, EA and Settling Defendant (hereafter referred to as the "Parties"), stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a resolution of all claims which could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein. For the sake of clarity, the term "Settling Defendant" shall mean and include those entities set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto. The term "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which Settling Defendant's counsel receives from EA's counsel the written Notice of Entry of Judgment regarding this Consent Judgment's entry and approval by the Court.
- 1.3 For the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation, the Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full settlement of all claims that were raised in the Notice and/or Complaint based on the facts alleged therein, or which could have been raised in the Notice and/or Complaint arising out of the facts alleged therein.
- 1.4 Settling Defendant denies all material, factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all Protein Products that it manufactured, packaged, imported, distributed, offered for sale, and sold have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law or violation of law; not shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as a admission by Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or affect the responsibilities and duties of the Parties under this Consent Judgment.

or

- 2.1 Pursuant to this Consent Judgment, warnings are required under Proposition 65 only with respect to Protein Products Settling Defendant sells to California consumers that expose users to more than 1.5 micrograms of lead in a Daily Serving.
- 2.2 A "Daily Serving" for purposes of determining Proposition 65 compliance for chemicals present in the Protein Products shall be defined as one of the following, as applicable:
- (a) if the Protein Product label recommends a single serving, then the single recommended serving size;
- (b) if the Protein Product label includes no recommended number of servings, then the serving size set forth on the "Nutritional Facts" or "Supplement Facts" portion of the label;
- 2.3 <u>Warning Standard.</u> No later than six month after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not sell in the State of California any Protein Product the ingestion of which results in an exposure greater than the applicable warning threshold set forth in Section 2.1, as calculated in accordance with Section 2.3, unless a warning is placed on the packaging, labeling or directly to or on the Protein Supplement Product that states:
  - 1) "[CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65] **WARNING:**This production contains lead, a chemical known [to the State of California] to cause [cancer,] birth defect[,] or other reproductive harm." For more information go to <a href="www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food</a>
  - 2) "[CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65] **WARNING:** [Cancer and] Reproductive harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The text contained in the brackets is optional per Settling Defendant's sole discretion. Product Warnings shall be placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs and/or devices on the labeling or packaging as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. If the Product Warning is displayed on the product container or labeling,

the warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the container or labeling, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the labeling itself, the Product Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Protein Product.

## 2.3.1 Mail Order Sales

For any mail order sales by Settling Defendant to a consumer in California, the warning language required under this Consent Judgment shall also be included in the mail order catalogue, either on the same pages as any order form, or on the same page upon which the Protein Product's price is listed, in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text. If necessary, the Product Warning shall be added in the first print run of the mail order catalogue which occurs following one yar after entry of this Consent Judgment.

## 2.3.2 Internet Sales

For internet sales by Settling Defendant to a California consumer of Protein Products subject to the warning requirements of Section 3.3, the warning language required under this Consent Judgment shall be displayed in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text, either: (a) on the same page upon which the Protein Product is displayed or referenced; (b) on the same page as the order form for the Protein Product; (c) on the same page as the price for the Protein Product is displayed; or (d) in a dialogue box which appears when a California address for delivery is provided by the consumer, so long as the dialogue box appears prior to the completion of the internet sale and requires the consumer to affirmatively accept receipt of the warning set forth in the dialogue box (which shall be displayed in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text on the screen at the time of the appearance of the dialogue box), as a condition precedent to completing the sale. If necessary, the Product Warning shall be added following one year after entry of this Consent Judgment.

- 2.4 Any changes to the language or format of the warnings required under Section 2.3 shall be made only after written agreement between the parties. However, after the Effective Date, if the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment changes the safe harbor food warning content set forth at Cal. Code Regs Tit. 27, section 25607.2 and/or methods of transmission safe harbor for food exposures set forth at Cal. Code Regs Tit. 27, section 25607.1, and if Settling Defendant, at its sole discretion, chooses to comply with the changed food content and/or methods of transmission safe harbor regulations, compliance with those regulations shall be deemed compliance with Section 2.3 of this Consent Judgment, and the parties do not need to enter into a written agreement to change the language or format of warnings required under Section 2.3.
- 2.5 So long as Settling Defendant complies and remains in compliance with the requirements of Section 2.1 through 2.4 for each of its Protein Products the Parties agree that such Protein Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 with respect to lead beginning immediately after the Effective Date, and that compliance with this Consent Judgment shall fully and completely satisfy Settling Defendant's obligations under Proposition 65 to provide warnings for such Protein Products with respect to the presence lead, regardless of when manufactured, packaged, distributed, offered for sale, or sold.
- 2.6 Should EA reach a settlement or be subject to a binding disposition (judicial, contractual or otherwise) with or concerning any other defendant, person or entity in any threatened, pending or future lawsuit involving claims of Proposition 65 violations and protein products, or with terms that set forth less stringent lead standards than those herein defining when Proposition 65 warnings will not be required ("Alternative Standards"), then EA shall provide Settling Defendant with a copy of the settlement or binding disposition (only in the case of a settlement or binding disposition entered into by, or binding upon, EA), and EA agrees to join Settling Defendant's motion to modify this Consent Judgment so that the Alternative Standards apply to any protein products that Settling Defendant manufactures for sale in California, distributes into California, or sells to California consumers, if Settling Defendant so moves.

2.7 Should EA reach a settlement or be subject to a binding disposition (judicial, contractual or otherwise) with or concerning any other defendant, person or entity in any threatened, pending or future lawsuits involving claims of Proposition 65 violations and protein products that permit warnings that are different in content, method or appearance than is specified in Section 2.3 of this Consent Judgment, then EA shall provide Settling Defendant with a copy of the settlement, or binding disposition (only in the case of a settlement or binding disposition entered into by, or binding upon, EA), and EA agrees to join Settling Defendant's motion to modify this Consent Judgment to allow Settling Defendant to warn in the manner specified in such settlement or binding disposition, as to any protein products that Settling Defendant sells, distributes for sale, in California, if Settling Defendant so moves.

# III. RIGHT TO CURE

- 3.1 EA shall have the exclusive right to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment. EA represents and warrants neither EA nor its agents or attorneys have assigned or otherwise transferred, or attempted to assign, or transfer, any claims or claims against Settling Defendant to a third-party. EA further warrants that neither EA nor its agents or attorneys are aware of any other potential private enforcer or attorney who intends to bring litigation based on the subject matter of the Consent Judgment.
- Attachment A after the Effective Date which it believes is not in compliance with this Consent Judgment, EA agrees to advise Settling Defendant of such alleged breach in the manner set forth in Section XIV, and provide Settling Defendant with the opportunity to either substantiate the Protein Product(s) comply with the terms of this Consent Judgment, or cure any alleged violation of this Consent Judgment, in accordance with the provisions set forth below (the "Notice to Cure"). Such Notice to Cure to Settling Defendant must contain information sufficient for Settling Defendant to identify the Protein Product at issue in the Notice to Cure, including, at minimum, the UPC (Universal Product Code) Number, a photograph of the front and back of the labeling of Protein Product, and purchase receipt

reflecting that the Protein Product tested by EA was purchased by an agent or employee of EA no later than ninety (90) days prior to service of the Notice to Cure.

- 3.3 Such Notice to Cure must also include a laboratory report for at least three (3) individual units from three (3) separate lots of each Protein Product at issue in the Notice to Cure (nine (9) individual units in total) reflecting that at least one unit from each lot contains lead in excess of the levels set forth in Section 2.1, and the results must have been reported by the laboratory no later than 30-days prior to service of the Notice to Cure. The testing performed by EA must comply with the provisions of Section 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3, below.
  - 3.3.1 EA must perform testing with an independent third-party laboratory certified by the State of California; or accredited by the State of California, a United States federal agency, or the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program; or is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration; or is certified or accredited by NSF International; American Association for Laboratory Accreditation for Chemical Testing; International Standards Organization/IEC via ANSI-ASQ.
  - 3.3.2 The testing must be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection and limit of quantification, qualification, sensitivity, accuracy and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg, or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the Parties. The foregoing notwithstanding, lead concentrations may be measured using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry ("ICP-MS") utilizing scientifically appropriate adherence to the protocols set forth in EPA Methods 6020, 6020a, isotope dilution or AOAC 2011.19, 993.14 and 2015.01 (modified).
- 3.4 EA reserves the right to seek additional civil penalties, reimbursement of reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and any other available remedies arising from or related to Notices to Cure associated with Protein Products covered by the Consent

27

28

Judgment. However, EA shall not be entitled to seek or recover any civil penalties, and EA and its counsel shall not be entitled to recovery or reimbursement of attorney's fees and/or costs, or any other available remedies arising from or related to Notice to Cure associated with Protein Products covered by the Consent Judgment or the alleged breach or violation of the Consent Judgment, provided Settling Defendant (1) substantiates within thirty (30) business days of service of the Notice to Cure that the Protein Product at issue in the Notice to Cure complies with Sections 2.1-2.3 by producing to EA a laboratory report for at least three (3) individual units from three (3) separate lots of each Protein Product at issue in the Notice to Cure (nine (9) individual units in total) reflecting that each unit does not contain lead in excess of the levels set forth in Section 2.1 by following the laboratory procedures in Section 3.3, or (2) submits proof within thirty (30) business days of service of the Notice to Cure that the Protein Product at issue in the Notice to Cure (a) displayed warnings in accordance with Section 2.3 or 2.4 prior to or at the time EA served the Notice to Cure, or (b) will display warnings in accordance with Section 2.3 or 2.4 within ninety (90) business days of service of the Notice to Cure for any Protein Product at issue in the Notice to Cure that exceeds the lead levels in Section 2. If Settling Defendant substantiates the Protein Products comply with Sections 2.1 within thirty (30) business days after service of the Notice to Cure in accordance with the procedures in this Section, or cures the alleged violation in accordance with this Section by displaying warnings within ninety (90) business days of service of the Notice to Cure for any Protein Product that exceeds the levels for lead in Section 2.1, then Settling Defendant shall not be deemed in breach or violation of this Consent Judgment in any respect, and Settling Defendant shall not be liable (whether for civil penalties or attorneys' fees or costs) for sales of any such Protein Product in California referenced in the Notice to Cure occurring prior to the expiration of the applicable substantiation or cure period.

#### IV. MONETARY RELIEF

4.1 Settling Defendant shall pay ninety-five thousand dollars (\$95,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice(s), the Complaint,

and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of \$30,000 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$65,000 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

4.2 The payment made pursuant to Section 4.1 shall be the only monetary obligation of Settling Defendant with respect to this Consent Judgment, including as to any fees, costs, or expenses EA has incurred in relation to this action.

# V. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(f)</u>

EA agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). Pursuant to the regulations promulgated under that section, EA shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receipt of all necessary signatures. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion must be filed to obtain judicial approval of the Consent Judgment. Accordingly, a motion for approval of the Consent Judgment shall be prepared and filed by EA within a reasonable period of time after the date this Consent Judgment is signed by all Parties.

## VI. MODIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (1) written agreement among the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of EA or of Settling Defendant as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. All Parties and the California Attorney General's Office shall be served with notice of any proposed modification of this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

# VII. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Parties that he or she represents to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party.

6

11 12

10

13 14

15

8.2

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

7.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon EA Settling Defendant, and each of their officers, directors, and shareholders and the predecessors, successors or assigns of each of them.

## VIII. CLAIMS COVERED

This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between EA, on the 8.1 behalf and in the public interest, and Settling Defendant of any violation of Proposition 65 up through the date of entry of this order by the Court that could have been asserted against any Settling Defendant for failure to provide clear, reasonable and lawful warnings of exposures to lead that result from ingestion of Protein Products as defined herein. No claim is reserved as between EA on its own behalf and Settling Defendant, and EA on its behalf and Settling Defendant expressly waive any and all rights which they may have under the provisions of Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

**EA Release of Settling Defendant**. In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payment to be made pursuant to Section 4.1, EA, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action addressing all claims occurring on or before the entry of this Consent Judgment, and releases all claims occurring on or before the Effective Date, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses, including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent against Settling Defendants and their past, present and future owners, direct and indirect parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, upstream and downstream suppliers, distributors, manufacturers or customers, direct and indirect retailers (including, but not limited to, Trader Joe's), clients, and each of their respective officers, directors, attorneys,

representatives, shareholders, agents, insurers, employees successors and assigns arising under Proposition 65 related to the alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of lead contained in the Protein Products as set forth in Attachment A manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed, offered for sale, or sold by Settling Defendant.

EA, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the public interest, and Settling Defendant further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violations occurring on or before the Effective Date by Settling Defendant and its past, present and future owners, direct and indirect parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, upstream and downstream suppliers, distributors, manufacturers or customers, direct and indirect retailers (including, but not limited to, Trader Joe's), clients and each of their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, insurers, employees, successors and assigns arising under Proposition 65 related to the alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of lead contained in the Protein Products as set forth in Attachment A manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed, offered for sale, or sold by Settling Defendant.

In addition, EA, on behalf of itself, its attorneys and its agents, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action addressing all claims occurring on or before the Effective Date, and releases all claims occurring on or before the Effective Date against Settling Defendant arising under Proposition 65 related to Settling Defendant's alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of lead contained in the Protein Products and for all actions or statements regarding the alleged failures to warn about exposure to or identification of lead contained in the Protein Products made by Settling Defendant or its attorneys or representatives in the course of responding to those alleged violations of Proposition 65 as alleged in the Complaint.

8.3 <u>Release of EA</u>. Settling Defendant waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against EA or its officers, employees, agents, attorneys or representatives, for all

1 2 3

4

5

8

7

10 11

13 14

1516

16 17

18

1920

2122

2425

23

2627

28

actions taken or statements made or undertaken by EA and its officers, employees, agents, attorneys or representatives, in the court of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 in this action.

# IX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent Judgment.

## X. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by this Court, it shall be of no force or effect and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

# XI. ENFORCEMENT

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provisions of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer with thirty (30) days of receiving written notice of the alleged violation from another party. In the event that the Parties are unable to resolve their dispute through the meet and confer process, this Consent Judgment may be enforced using any available provision of law. This Consent Judgment shall be enforceable by the Parties hereto.

## XII. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Protein Products specifically, then Settling Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to those Protein Products that are affected.

# XIII. EXCHANGE IN COUNTERPARTS

Stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall be deemed to constitute one document.

## XIV. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

28

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (a) first-class, registered, certified return receipt requested, or (b) by overnight courier on EA or Settling Defendant by the other at the addresses set forth below. Either EA or Settling Defendant may specify in writing to the other Parties a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to EA, it shall be sent to:

Vineet Dubey, Esq. Custodio & Dubey LLP 444 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2520 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to Settling Defendant, it shall

be sent to:

Steven R. Tekosky, Esq. Tatro Tekosky Sadwick LLP 6600 W. Sunset Blvd., Suite 304 Los Angeles, CA 90028

Nicolas Norlin, Esq. Vitamin Well Box 5140, 102 43 Stockholm, Sweden

With a copy to: legal@vitaminwell.se

## XV. SEVERABILITY

If subsequent to court approval to this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

# XVI. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

1	
2	AGREED TO:
3	Ecological Alliance LLC
4	Date: December 102024
5	By: Harmory Wolsh Managing Mombar
6	Harmony Welsh, Managing Member
7	AGREED TO:
8	Barebells Functional Foods LLC
9	Date: December 2024
10	By: The state of t
11 12	Mikarel Lorsson Group (70
13	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health
14	& Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby
15	entered.
16	
17	Dated:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

# **ATTACHMENT A**

The "Settling Defendant" is Barebells Functional Foods LLC of California ("BFF") on behalf of BFF and all of BFF's past, present, future, direct and indirect (a) owners, (b) parent companies, (c) corporate affiliates, (d) related companies, (e) subsidiaries and each of their respective successors and assigns; without limitation, "Settling Defendant" includes [shall we list Vitamin Well and/or any others?]

- 1. The "Protein Products" covered by this Consent Judgment are: all sizes, flavors, packaging, forms, and potencies of foods supplying at least 5 grams of protein according to the "Nutrition Facts" or "Supplement Facts" panel on the product label previously or currently manufactured by, sold by, or distributed directly or indirectly in or into California by, or on behalf of, the Settling Defendant, and include those protein products and foods otherwise meeting the definition of Protein Products in this paragraph 1 which are first introduced into California subsequent to the effective date of this Consent Judgment and manufactured by, sold by, or distributed directly and indirectly in or into California by, or on behalf of, the Settling Defendant or under Settling Defendant's brand.
- 2. The Protein Products of the Settling Defendant that are covered by this Consent Judgment include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - 1) Barebells Salty Peanut Protein Bar;
  - 2) Barebells Rasberry Cream Protein Bar;
  - 3) Barebells Pumpkin Spice Protein Bar;
  - 4) Barebells Caramel Cashew Protein Bar;
  - 5) Barebells Cookies & Cream Protein Bar:
  - 6) Barebells Hazelnut & Nougat Protein Bar
  - 7) Barebells Chocolate Dough Protein Bar;
  - 8) Barebells Salted Peanut Caramel Protein Bar;
  - 9) Barebells White Chocolate Almond Protein Bar;
  - 10) Barebells Creamy Crisp Protein Bar;
  - 11) Barebells Crunchy Fudge Protein Bar;
  - 12) Barebells Choco Hazelnut Protein Bar;
  - 13) Barebells Caramel Choco Protein Bar;
  - 14) Barebells Plant Based Salty Peanut Protein Bar;
  - 15) Barebells Plant Based Hazelnut Nougat Protein Bar;
  - 16) Barebells Cookies and Caramel Protein Bar;
  - 17) Barebells Birthday Cake Protein Bar;
  - 18) Barebells Peppermint Bark Protein Bar;

1

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	19) Barebells Key Lime Pie Protein Bar;
2	<ul><li>20) Barebells Choco Hazelnut Protein Bar;</li><li>21) Barebells Peanut Butter Protein Bar;</li></ul>
3	<ul><li>22) Barebells Minty Chocolate Protein Bar;</li><li>23) Barebells Banana Caramel Protein Bar;</li></ul>
4	24) Barebells Plant Based Chocolate Dough Protein Bar.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	