- 1								
1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI							
2	An Association of Independent Law Corporations 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W							
3	Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: 310.623.1926 Facsimile: 310.623.1930							
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff,							
5	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.							
6	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
7	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES							
8	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,	CASE NO. 22STCV38483						
9	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]						
	V. V.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.						
10	WALMART, INC., a Delaware Corporation; WALMART.COM USA, LLC, a California							
11	Limited Liability Company; KREASSIVE, INC., a California							
12	Corporation; KREASSIVE, LLC, a California Limited							
13	Liability Company;  JEOLLANAM-DO LOS ANGELES TRADE							
14	OFFICE, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1-20,							
15	Defendants.							
16								
17	1. INTRODUCTION							
18		red into by and between plaintiff, CONSUMER						
19	ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest							
20	of the public, and defendants, KREASSIVE, I	of the public, and defendants, KREASSIVE, INC. and KREASSIVE, LLC, (hereinafter referred						
21	1							
	CONSENT JUDG	MENT [PROPOSED]						

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

("Notice 2") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Seaweed sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.3 On or about July 22, 2022, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-01661) ("Notice 3") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Dried Aster and Dried Thistle sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.4 On or about July 22, 2022, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-01662) ("Notice 4") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Dried Edible Greens sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.5 On or about September 1, 2022, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-02029) ("Notice 5") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Korean Veggie Mix sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.6 On or about September 20, 2022, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-02229) ("Notice 6") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in

Dried Thistle sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.7 On or about June 16, 2021, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2021-01465) ("Notice 7") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Squid sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.8 On or about July 2, 2021, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2021-01622) ("Notice 8") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Fish & Chips Snacks sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.9 On or about August 2, 2021, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2021-01867) ("Notice 9") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Seaweed sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.10 On or about August 20, 2021, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2021-02087) ("Notice 10") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Dried Shrimp sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

	1.4.11	On o	r about Nov	emb	per 19, 2021,	CAC	serve	d "60 <b>-</b> I	Day Notic	e of
Intent to Sue fo	or Violation o	of the Sa	fe Drinking	Wa	ter and Toxic	Enfo	rcemei	nt Act o	f 1986" (A	AG#
2021-02896) ('	'Notice 11")	that pro	ovided Defe	ndaı	nts with notic	e of	alleged	l violati	ons of He	ealth
& Safety Code	§ 25249.6 f	or failin	g to warn i	ndiv	iduals in Cal	iforni	a of ex	posures	to Cadm	ium
contained in	Squid sold	and/or	distributed	by	Defendants.	No	other	public	enforcer	has
commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.										

- 1.4.12 On or about December 23, 2021, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2021-03155) ("Notice 12") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Fried Baby Crab sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.13 On or about January 12, 2022, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-00093) ("Notice 13") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Dried Edible Green Fennel sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.14 On or about November 3, 2022, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2022-02650) ("Notice 14") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium contained in Squid sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- 1.4.15 On or about February 10, 2022, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG#

2022-00276) ("Notice 15") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Dried Thistle sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.16 On or about June 13, 2023, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2023-01686) ("Notice 16") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Dried Thistle sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.17. On or about March 14, 2024, CAG served "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG# 2024-01110 ("Notice 17") that provided Defendants with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Dried Thistle sold and/or distributed by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4.18 Notice 1 through Notice 17 are collectively referred to as the "Notices".

## 1.5 Complaints

1.5.1 On December 9, 2023, CAG filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 1") in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. 22STCV38483 against Defendants, Walmart.com USA, LLC. and Walmart Inc. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendants, Walmart.com USA, and Walmart Inc., violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products.

1.5.2 On December 23, 2022, CAG filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 2") in Alameda Superior Court, Case No. 22CV024552 against Defendants and Amazon.com Services, LLC. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that

Defendants and Amazon.com Services, LLC violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products.

- 1.5.3 On July 26, 2023, CAG filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint 3") in Alameda Superior Court, Case No. 23CV039473 against Defendants, Amazon.com Services, LLC, and Amazon.com Services, Inc. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendants, Amazon.com Services, LLC and Amazon.com Services, Inc., violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products.
- 1.5.4 Complaint 1, Complaint 2, and Complaint 3 are referred to collectively as the "Complaints".

#### 1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

1.6.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaints and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

### 1.7 No Admission

1.7.1 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaints (each and every allegation of which Defendants deny), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine,

or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendants, their officers, directors, members, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means products as defined in Paragraph 1.2 that are sold, offered for sale, marketed, distributed, and/or supplied by Defendants.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
  - 2.3 "Lead" means Lead and Lead Compounds.
  - 2.4 "Cadmium" means Cadmium and Cadmium Compounds.
  - 2.5 "Listed Chemicals" means:
    - Lead and Cadmium in Squid, Seaweed, Dried Aster, Dried Thistle, and Dried Edible Green, Dried Edible Fennel.
    - Lead in Fish & Chips Snacks, Dried Shrimp, Fried Baby Crab, and Korean Veggie
       Mix.
  - 2.6 "Notices" means Notices of Violation as defined in Paragraph 1.4.18.
- 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.
- 3.1 After the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell in California, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any Covered Products unless the level of Lead and the

21

level of Cadmium do not exceed the levels ("reformulation level(s)") specified below unless Proposition 65 compliant warnings are displayed as set forth in the following paragraphs.

- 3.1.1 **Lead**: an exposure of no more than 0.5 micrograms of Lead based on a single serving per day. For purposes of assessing compliance with this reformulation level, the exposure shall be calculated by multiplying the recommended serving size of the Covered Products by the concentration of Lead in the Covered Products.
- 3.1.2 **Cadmium**: an exposure of no more than 4.1 micrograms of Cadmium based on a single serving per day. For purposes of assessing compliance with this reformulation level, the exposure shall be calculated by multiplying the recommended serving size of the Covered Products by the concentration of Cadmium in the Covered Products.
- 3.2 For any Covered Products that exceeds their respective reformulation levels of Listed Chemicals that are manufactured for distribution and/or sale into California after the Effective Date, Defendants must provide a Proposition 65 compliant warning for the Covered Products as permitted by Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations or as set forth below. This obligation shall not apply for any Covered Products for which labels were ordered or placed prior to the Effective Date. For determination of the reformulation level, the detections shall not be averaged. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The warning must be set off from other surrounding information, enclosed in a box. Where the packaging of the Covered Product or a sign referring to the Covered Product includes consumer information as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27 §25600.1(c) in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English. Should Defendant sell or distribute any Covered Product through the internet, the warning will be posted in the manner provided for with respect to internet sales, as provided for in 27 CCR sections 25601 and 25602, as they may be subsequently amended, for sales to consumers in California. The Parties agree that the following warning language shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with

respect to the alleged Lead and Cadmium in the Covered Products placed into the steam of

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to Cadmium, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food

- For any Covered Products still existing in the Defendants' physical custody as of the Effective Date, Defendants shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning on them, unless the Covered Products do not exceed the reformulation level. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall comply with the warning requirements under Section 3.2 above.
- Changes in the law and regulations applicable to Proposition 65, including changes resulting from federal and/or state court rulings, occurring after this date may be incorporated into the terms of this Consent Judgment, pursuant to the modification provisions set forth in Section 7.
- Payment and Due Date: By December 15, 2024, or within five (5) days of the Effective date, whichever is later after receipt of a current W-9 from Plaintiff and its counsel, Defendant Kreassive LLC shall pay a total of one hundred and eighty thousand dollars (\$180,000) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendant Kreassive LLC shall issue separate checks totaling eleven thousand four hundred and forty dollars (\$11,440.00) as penalties pursuant to
- (a) Defendant Kreassive LLC will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of

19

20

21

eight thousand five hundred and eighty dollars (\$8,580.00) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendant will issue a separate check to CAG in the amount of two thousand eight hundred and sixty dollars (\$2,860.00) representing 25% of the total penalty; and

- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendant Kreassive LLC will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 (EIN: 68-0284486). Defendant Kreassive LLC will also issue a 1099 to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendant Kreassive LLC shall make a separate payment, in the amount of eight thousand five hundred and sixty dollars (\$8,560.00) as an additional settlement payment to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). Defendant Kreassive LLC will issue a separate check to CAG for the Additional Settlement Payment. CAG will use this payment as follows, eighty percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's

time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

- 4.1.3 **Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs:** Defendant Kreassive LLC shall pay one hundred and sixty thousand dollars (\$160,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Concurrently with payment to OEHHA, Defendant shall provide CAG with written confirmation that the payment to OEHHA was delivered.

#### 5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendants for alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warning of exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices, and Complaints, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted against Defendants in this action up through the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding Listed Chemicals. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendants, and their respective past, present, and future owners, officers, directors, members, managers, directors, insurers, beneficiaries, employees, parents, shareholders, customers, distributors, wholesalers, licensees, divisions, subdivisions,

20

21

subsidiaries, affiliates (including Bo & Sam Holders, Inc.), agents, attorneys, representatives, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all distributors, customers, retailers, owners and operators of online marketplaces and e-commerce platforms, and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Products to whom Defendants directly or indirectly provide, distribute, list, sell, or offer to sell, market, and/or have sold Covered Products, including but not limited to Wal-Mart.com USA, LLC; Walmart Inc.; Wal-Mart Stores East, Inc.; Wal-Mart Stores East, L.P. Walmart Apollo, LLC; Amazon.com, Inc.; Amazon.com Services, LLC and their respective owners, parents, direct and indirect subsidiaries, affiliates, sister and related companies, as well as their past, present, and future owners, employees, agents, representatives, shareholders, members, managers, officers, directors, insurers, beneficiaries, attorneys, predecessors, successors, assigns, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees, only as to Covered Products sold, distributed, or marketed by the Defendants (collectively, "Downstream Releasees"), for all Covered Products placed into the stream of commerce up through the Effective Date for alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. Defendant Releasees and Downstream Releasees are sometimes collectively referred to herein as the "Released Parties." Defendants' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date. The Settlement Agreement shall inure to the benefit of Downstream Releasees identified in this section, but is not binding on them.

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages,

costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant Releasees and/or Downstream Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the alleged exposure of persons to the Listed Chemicals contained in the Covered Products or any failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure and any alleged exposure of persons to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not suspect to exist, and which, if known, would materially affect

its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5.3 Within five (5) days of receipt of payment outlined in Section 4.1 of the Consent Judgment, CAG shall serve and file in the superior court an abandonment of First District Court of Appeal Case No. A170460 (the "Appeal"), if the record has not yet been filed in the Appeal at that time. However, if the record has been filed in the Appeal at that time, then within five (5) days of the Effective Date of the Consent Judgment entered in Case No. 22CV024552, CAG shall file serve and file in the First District Court of Appeal a request to dismiss the appeal.

#### 6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaints. Upon entry of an order approving this Consent Judgment, the Complaint in this action shall be deemed amended to include all the claims raised in the Notices.
- 6.2 The Parties shall make all reasonable efforts possible to have the Consent Judgment approved by the Court.
- 6.3. In exchange for payment, as described in Section 4, CAG shall dismiss all of the Complaints against all defendants without prejudice within five (5) business days after the payments identified in Section 4 have cleared.
- 6.4 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action,

67

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

2021

or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

#### 7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 7.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 8. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 8.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6. The parties also agree that Downstream Releases are intended third-party beneficiaries of this Consent Judgment and may enforce its terms.
- 8.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

### 10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendant outside the State of California.

### 10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by the Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its approval by the Court. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, may the Court approve this Consent Judgment.

#### 11. ATTORNEY FEES

11.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3 and 8.2, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

# 12. GOVERNING LAW

- 12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 12.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is amended, repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such amendment, repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then any Defendant subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.
- 12.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

### 13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

1 13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one 2 document and have the same force and effect as original signatures. 3 14. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT** 4 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and all related prior discussions, 5 negotiations, commitments, and understandings. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, exist to 6 bind any of the Parties. 7 8 15. **NOTICES** 15.1 Any notice under this Consent Judgment shall be by First-Class Mail or E-mail. 9 10 If to CAG: Reuben Yeroushalmi 11 YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 12 (310) 623-1926 Email: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com 13 If to Defendants: 14 HO-EL PARK (State Bar No. 235473) LAW OFFICE OF HO-EL PARK, P.C. 15 3230 E. Imperial Hwy. Suite 300 Brea, CA 92821 16 Telephone: 714-523-2466 Facsimile: 714-503-0788 17 E-mail: hpark@hparklaw.com 18 16. **AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE** Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized 19 by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of 20 the party represented and legally to bind that party. 21 20 CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

	a a g	
1		
2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
	Date: 10-03, 2024	Date: 17 - 02 , 2024
3 4	Willed Bay	Manzo
5	Name: Willard Bayer	Name: Sean Chang
	Name: Willard Bayer Title: President	Title: <u>CEO</u>
6	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	KREASSIVE INC., AND KRESSIVE LLC
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	II IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
10	Date:	
	JUI	DGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
11	Mark 1	
12		
13		
14		
15		
13		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		21
	CONSENT JUDGN	MENT [PROPOSED]