1	Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (Bar No. 223381)				
2	p65@manninglawoffice.com MANNING LAW, APC				
	26100 Towne Center Drive				
3	Foothill Ranch, CA 92610				
4	Tel: (949) 200-8755 Fax:(866) 843-8308				
5	Attorney for Plaintiff				
6	CalSafe Research Center, Inc.				
7	Garth N. Ward (Bar. No. 202965)				
8	Garth. Ward@lewisbrisbois.com				
9	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 550 West C. Street, Suite 1700				
10	San Diego, CA 92101				
	Tel: (619) 233-1006				
11	Fax: (619) 233-8627				
12	Attorney for Defendant				
13	Vifon (USA), Inc.				
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE CTATE OF CALL	IODNY 4		
15	SOI ERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIF	ORNIA		
16	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES			
17	CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a	Case No.: 23TRCV	100 (20		
18		I Lace No. / LIRC	/00638		
10 1	California non-profit corporation,				
19		[PROPOSED] STI	PULATED		
1	California non-profit corporation, Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] STI CONSENT JUDG	PULATED MENT		
20		[PROPOSED] STI CONSENT JUDG	PULATED		
20	Plaintiff, v. VIFON (USA), INC., a Washington Profit	[PROPOSED] STI CONSENT JUDG (Health & Safety C	PULATED MENT Sode § 24249, et seq.) March 3, 2023		
20 21 22	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] STI CONSENT JUDG (Health & Safety C	PULATED MENT Tode § 24249, et seq.)		
20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. VIFON (USA), INC., a Washington Profit	[PROPOSED] STI CONSENT JUDG (Health & Safety C	PULATED MENT Sode § 24249, et seq.) March 3, 2023		
20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. VIFON (USA), INC., a Washington Profit Corporation; and DOES 1 to 10,	[PROPOSED] STI CONSENT JUDG (Health & Safety C	PULATED MENT Sode § 24249, et seq.) March 3, 2023		

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between CalSafe Research Center, Inc. ("CalSafe" or "Plaintiff"), a California non-profit corporation, and Vifon (USA), Inc., ("Vifon" or "Defendant"), a Washington profit corporation (collectively, the "Parties").
- 1.2 General Allegations. On Mach 3, 2023, CalSafe initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 24249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") against Vifon. In this action, CalSafe alleges that Defendant distributes and sells Noodle Products, including but not limited to the exemplar "Vietnamese Style Rice Noodles", referred to herein and throughout as the "Covered Products". CalSafe alleges the Covered Products contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin. CalSafe alleges that the Covered Product exposes consumers to lead at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. CalSafe alleges that Vifon qualifies as a "Person" within the meaning of Proposition 65, and that Vifon manufactures, distributes, and/or offers for sale in the State of California the Covered Products.
- 1.3 Notice of Violation. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in CalSafe's Notice of Violation dated September 30, 2022 (the "Notice"), that was served on the California attorney General, other public enforcers, and Vifon. A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and Vifon; no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against Vifon with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.4 CalSafe's Notice and Complaint allege that the use of the Products by California consumers exposes them to lead without first receiving a clear and reasonable warning from Vifon, which is a violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. Vifon denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.5 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Vifon

- 1.6 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to this proceeding.
- 1.7 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be the date the Consent Judgment has been approved and entered by the Court.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- **2.1** For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Vifon as to the acts alleged in the Complaint.
- 2.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, California, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

III. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Shipped for Sale in California. "Shipped for Sale in California" means the Covered Products that Vifon either directly ships to California for sale in California, or that it sells to a distributor or retailer who Vifon knows will sell the Product to consumers in California.

Where a retailer or distributor sells the Covered Products both in California and other states, Vifon shall take commercially reasonable steps to ensure that the only Covered Products that are sold in California is in compliance with Paragraph 3.2 through 3.5.

- 3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings, When Required. Vifon agrees by the Effective Date to only manufacture for sale, purchase for sale, import for sale, or distribute for sale in or into California (in-person or online) the Covered Products that contains a warning as provided for in Paragraphs 3.4 through 3.5.
- 3.3 Warning Requirements. A clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products shall consist of a warning affixed to the packaging, label, tag, or directly to each Covered Products Shipped for Sale in California by Vifon that contains one of the following statements:

(A)

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

(B)

WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm-www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The warning shall be offset in a box with a black outline and must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the Covered Products. "Consumer information" includes warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional information. "Consumer information" does not include the brand name, product name, company name, location of manufacture, or product advertising. In no case shall the warning appear in a type size smaller than six (6) point type. Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the yellow equilateral triangle consisting of a black exclamation point with a bold black outline may be in black and white.

3.4 Warnings for Internet Sales. For any Covered Products sold over the internet where it will be shipped to California, the warning shall be displayed as follows: (A) on the

primary display page for the Covered Products; (B) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters on the Covered Products' product display page, so long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the warning without content that detracts from the warning; (C) or on the checkout page or any other page in the checkout process when a California delivery address is indicted for the purchase of the Covered Products and with the warning clearly associated with the Covered Product to indicate that the Covered Products are subject to the warning; or (D) by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase of the Covered Products. The warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.

- 3.5 Warning Prominence. Vifon agrees that each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness, as compared with the other words, statements, designs, or devices, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.
- 3.6 Compliance with Clear and Reasonable Warning. Vifon shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment after the Effective Date by (A) adhering to Paragraphs 3.2 through 3.5, or (B) by complying with any future warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA) applicable to the Covered Products and chemical at issue. If regulations or legislation are enacted or issued providing that a Proposition 65 warning for the Covered Products is no longer required, a lack of warning as set forth in this Consent Judgment will not thereafter be a breach of this Consent Judgment.
- 3.7 Grace Period of Existing Inventory. The injunctive requirements of Section III shall not apply to the Covered Products manufactured before June 15th, 2023 or that is already in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date, which Covered Product is expressly subject to the releases provided in Section V.
- 3.8 Entry of Consent Judgment. Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, CalSafe shall notice a Motion for Court Approval and, within ten (10) days of approval

of the Consent Judgment by the Court, comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

- **3.9 Attorney General Objection.** If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible, prior to the hearing on the motion.
- **3.10 Void if Not Approved.** If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

IV. MONETARY TERMS

- **4.1 Total Settlement Amount.** In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney fees, and costs, Vifon shall make a total payment of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) (the "Total Settlement Amount"), apportioned into a Civil Penalty, and Attorney Fees and Costs as set forth in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3, below.
- 4.2 Civil Penalty Payment. Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2) and in settlement of all claims alleged in the Notice and Complaint, Vifon agrees to pay Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) in Civil Penalties. The Civil Penalty payment will be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § \$25249(c)(1), (d), with seventy-five (75) percent of these funds remitted to OEHHA, and the remaining twenty-five (25) percent of the funds retained by CalSafe. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Vifon shall issue a check to "OEHHA" in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred and Seventy-Five Dollars (\$1,875.00), with "Prop 65 Penalties" written in the Memo Line; and Vifon shall, pursuant to the instructions below, wire to CalSafe the amount of Six Hundred and Twenty-Five Dollars (\$625.00).

All payments made to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Paragraph shall be delivered directly to OEHHA at the following address:

For United States Postal Delivery Service:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Vifon shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. CalSafe shall provide written notice of delinquency to Vifon via electronic mail to Vifon's counsel of record. If Vifon fails to deliver any portion of or all of the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in California Code of Civil Procedure § 685.010.

Additionally, Vifon agrees to pay CalSafe's reasonable attorney fees and costs for any formal efforts to enforce the terms of the consent judgment.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

5.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

VI. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to the injunctive terms by (A) written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment, or (B) by motion of either Party pursuant to Paragraph 5.3 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 6.2 If Vifon seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Paragraph 5.1, then Vifon must provide written notice to CalSafe of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If CalSafe seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then CalSafe shall provide written notice of intent to meet and confer to Vifon within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith in person, via telephone, or via video conference within thirty (30) days of CalSafe's written notice of intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such a meeting, if CalSafe disputes the proposed modification, CalSafe shall provide Vifon a written basis for its opposition. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- In the event that Vifon initiates or otherwise requests a modification under 6.3 Paragraph 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a

11

modification of the Consent Judgment, Vifon shall reimburse CalSafe its costs and reasonable attorney fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion.

VII. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED, CLAIMS RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and/or that is not used by California consumers. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any other Vifon products other than the Covered Product.
- 7.2 Binding Effect. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CalSafe, on behalf of itself and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates and on behalf of the public interest, and Vifon and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Product and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties").
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any of the Released Parties regarding alleged exposures to the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.
- 7.4 CalSafe Release of Vifon(s). CalSafe, on behalf of itself and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates and on behalf of the public interest fully releases and discharges Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, cause of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted based on or related to the handling, use, sale, distribution, or consumption of the Covered Products in California, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up through the Effective Date,

based on a failure to provide Proposition 65 warning on the Covered Products with respect to lead as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

- 7.5 CalSafe on its own behalf only, and Vifon on its own behalf only, further waives and releases any and all claims they, their attorneys, or their representatives may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in this Section shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment.
- 7.6 California Civil Code Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Product, will develop or be discovered. CalSafe on behalf of itself only, and VIFON on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all Such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. CalSafe and Vifon acknowledge that the claims released in Section VII above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code § 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR, AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

VIII. SEVERABILITY

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

IX. GOVERNING LAW

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

X. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or electronic mail. Any Party may modify the person/entity or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested. Said change shall take effect on the date the return receipt is signed by the Party receiving the change.

Notice for CalSafe shall be sent to:

Joseph R. Manning, Jr. 26100 Towne Center Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610

Tel: Office (949) 200-8757 Fax: (866) 843-8309 p65@manninglawoffice.com

Notice for Vifon shall be sent to:

Garth N. Ward LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 550 West C. Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101 Garth.Ward@lewisbrisbois.com Tel: (619) 233-1006

Fax: (619) 233-8627

XI. **EXECUTED IN COUNTERPARTS**

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .PDF signature page shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

XII. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had the opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn,

and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participate equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

XIII. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, by video conference, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed with the Court in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

XIV. ENFORCEMENT

The Parties may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Orange County, enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. In any successful action brought by CalSafe to enforce this Consent Judgment, CalSafe may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with this Consent Judgment.

XV. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 15.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 15.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

XVI. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL, AND ENTRY.

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed

California Health and S	afety Code 8 252490	(f)(4) and approve this Consent Judgment.
		(-)(-) a.F.F.
IT IS SO STIP	ULATED.	
DATED: August 25	, 2023	MANNING LAW, APC
		By: Joseph Manning, Jr.
		. 🗸
		Attorney for Plaintiff CalSafe Research Center, Inc.
		CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER,
DATED 8/25	2022	<i>f</i> /\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
DATED: $8/25$, 2023	By: X / Y / Y / Y / Y / Y / Y / Y / Y / Y /
		CalSafe Research Center, Inc.
DATED:	, 2023	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
		By:
		Garth N. Ward
		Attorney for Defendant Vifon (USA), Inc.
		r you (OSH), the.
		VIFON (USA), INC.
DATED:	, 2023	By:
		Vifon (USA), Inc.
		Inc., Case No. 23TRCV00638

2	0.110 1.55			this action, make the findings pursuant to		
-	California Health and Safety Code § 25249(f)(4) and approve this Consent Judgment.					
3 4	IT IS SO STIPLII ATED					
5						
6	DATED:		_, 2023	MANNING LAW, APC		
7				By:		
8				Joseph Manning, Jr.		
9 10				Attorney for Plaintiff CalSafe Research Center, Inc.		
11				CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC.		
12	DATED:		_, 2023	By:		
13				Eric Fairon, CEO CalSafe Research Center, Inc.		
14				Carsare Research Conter, Inc.		
15						
16] 17	DATED:	8/27/23	_, 2023	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
18				By: Garth N. Ward		
19				Garth N. Ward		
20				Attorney for Defendant Vifon (USA), Inc.		
22						
23				VIFON (USA), INC.		
	DATED:		_, 2023	Ву:		
25		45.5		Lien Nguyen Thi Bach		
26				Vifon (USA), Inc.		
27						
8						

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health & Safety			
2	Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered.			
3	Dated:			
4	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
2526				
27				
28				
	CalSafe Passageh Contag Inc. v. Vifer (USA) I. C. N. 22TD GV2042			

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Vifon (USA), Inc., Case No. 23TRCV00638 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT