1 2 3	LAW OFFICES OF JEREMY FIETZ Jeremy Fietz, State Bar No. 200396 1510 Fourth Street Santa Rosa, CA 95404 Telephone: (707) 236-0088	
4	LAW OFFICE OF DAVID R. BUSH David R. Bush, State Bar No. 154511 321 South Main Street #502 Sebastopol, CA 95472 Telephone (707) 321 5028	
5		
6 7	Telephone: (707) 321-5028 drbush@drbushlaw.com	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
12	UNLIVITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
13		
14	A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	L
15	MICHAEL DIPIRRO,	Case No. 23-CV-027488
16	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
17	V.	
18	THE BOEING COMPANY; and DOES 1-150,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
19	Defendants.	
20		I
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Michael DiPirro ("DiPirro"), and THE BOEING COMPANY. ("Defendant" or "BOEING"), with DiPirro and Defendant individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

DiPirro is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

DiPirro alleges that Defendant sells or distributes for sale in the State of California Aviation Chart Wallets that expose users to Diethylhexyl phthalate ("DEHP"), a toxic chemical, without first providing the clear and reasonable exposure warnings required by Proposition 65. DEHP was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer on January 1, 1988, and has been subject to the warning requirements since January 1, 1989.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are Aviation Chart Wallets that are sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendant, including, but not limited to the *Jeppesen-Chart Wallet, Item #10009530, AM621162* (the "Products").

1.6 Notices of Violation

On or about October 14, 2022, DiPirro served Defendant and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), a document that informed the recipients of DiPirro's allegation that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP. To the best of the

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

3 1.7 Complaint

> On or about February 8, 2023, DiPirro filed the instant action against Defendant for the alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the October 14, 2022 Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and contends that it sells Products to California residents in accordance with applicable state laws and requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Defendant. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 **Consent to Jurisdiction**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which the Court approves this Consent Judgment and makes the order available on-line, in-court, or through the USPS.

Compliance Date 1.11

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Compliance Date" shall mean thirty (30) days after the Court enters the Consent Judgment.

27 28

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

2.1 As of the Compliance Date, Products that BOEING manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either: (a) be Reformulated Products pursuant to § 2.2; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to § 2.3.

- 2.2 "Reformulated Products" shall mean Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DEHP (the "Reformulation Level"). The warning requirement set forth in § 2.3 does not apply to any Reformulated Product.
- 2.3 As of the Compliance Date, all Products that contain DEHP above the Reformulation Level and which BOEING sells, or ships to a California address for sale, must be labeled with a clear and reasonable warning on each Product as set forth below in Sections 2.3.1 2.3.2. Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion. BOEING's compliance with the warning requirements set forth in Section 2.3 or the warning requirements of Proposition 65 and related regulations, including Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600.2, as may be amended from time to time, shall be deemed compliance with this Consent Judgment, provided that if any changes are made to the warning which deviate both from the requirements of this Consent Judgment and Proposition 65 and its related regulations, such changes must be agreed to by Michael DiPirro through his counsel in writing.
 - 2.3.1 Internet Warnings. In addition, for all Products that BOEING offers for sale directly to consumers in California via websites under the exclusive control of BOEING, Settling Defendant shall provide a warning for such Products by including the warning set forth below in Section 2.3.2 on one or more of the following: (a) on the same web page on which a Covered Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for a Covered Product; (c) on the same web page as the price for any Covered Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The 2

internet warning described above can also be delivered through a hyperlink using the word "[California Prop 65] WARNING".

2.3.2 Text of the Warning. The text of the warning shall be printed in black ink on a light background, in a font that is easy to read and legible. BOEING shall use the warning language as set forth below in 2.3.2 (a) or 2.3.2(b) for Products containing DEHP, which shall include a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline as shown below (the symbol may be black or white if the color yellow is otherwise not used on the Product's packaging).

a. Full Warning.



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

b. Short-Form Warning.



WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The Noticed Parties shall make a civil penalty payment of \$ 4,000.00, in accordance with this section, on or before the Effective Date. The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to DiPirro in accordance with Section 3.2 below. The penalty payment shall be remitted in accordance with the procedure set out in Section 3.2.
- **3.2 Payments**. All payments shall be delivered within twenty-one (21) calendar days of the Effective Date by ACH payment or wire transfer to the account of Jeremy Fietz (bank information given upon settlement) or in the alternative parcel delivery to Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at

Law, 1510 Fourth Street, Santa Rosa CA 95404, and shall be in the form of three checks for the following amounts made payable to:

- (a) "Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at Law" in the amount of \$ 3,000.00 for payment of 75% of the civil penalty to OEHHA. Counsel for DiPirro agree to forward such funds to OEHHA in a timely manner. Alternatively, at Defendant's option, it can choose to deliver a certified or cashier's check made payable to "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment."
- (b) "Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at Law" in the amount of \$ 1,000.00, as payment of 25% of the civil penalty to Michael DiPirro. Counsel for DiPirro agree to forward such funds in a timely manner. Alternatively, at Defendant's option, it can choose to deliver a certified or cashier's check made payable to "Michael DiPirro."
- (c) "Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at Law" in the amount of \$ 74,500.00 as payment for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Section 4 below.
- **3.3 Issuance of 1099 Forms**. The Noticed Parties shall provide DiPirro's counsel with a separate 1099 form for each of its payments under this Agreement to:
 - (a) "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment", P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486) for civil penalties paid;
 - (b) "Michael DiPirro," whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request after this Agreement has been fully executed by the Parties for his portion of the civil penalties paid; and
 - (c) "Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at Law" whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request after this Agreement has been fully executed by the Parties, for fees and costs reimbursed pursuant to Section 4.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties acknowledge that DiPirro and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendant then

expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to OEHHA, DiPirro and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Defendant shall pay \$ 74,500.00 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendant shall deliver payments as described in Section 3, above.

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 DiPirro's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

DiPirro, acting on his own behalf and *in the public interest*, releases Defendant, its officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, sister companies, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees, retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members ("Downstream Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposures to DEHP from the use of the Products, as set forth in the Notice and the Complaint, with respect to any Products manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant Releasees prior to the Compliance Date.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from the use of the Products sold by Defendant Releasees after the Compliance Date, as set forth in the Notice. Plaintiff agrees that any and all claims in the Complaint are resolved with prejudice by this Consent Judgment.

5.2 DiPirro's Individual Release of Claims DiPirro, in his individual capacity only and not in his representative capacity, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of DiPirro of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP from the use of the Products sold or distributed for sale by Defendant in 6

the State of California before the Compliance Date. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, DiPirro hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 Defendant's Release of DiPirro

Defendant, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendant may provide

1 written notice to DiPirro of any asserted change in the law, and have no further obligations pursuant 2 to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. 3 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to 4 comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control laws. 5 9. **NOTICES** 6 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to 7 this Consent Judgment shall be both by email and in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) 8 first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier 9 on any party by the other party at the following addresses: 10 For Defendant BOEING: 11 Jasmine W. Wetherell, Esq. 12 Perkins Coie LLP 1888 Century Park East Suite 1700 13 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 D. +1.310.788.3294 14 F. +1.310.843.1269 E. JWetherell@perkinscoie.com 15 For Plaintiff DiPirro: 16 Jeremy Fietz, Attorney-at-Law 17 1510 Fourth Street Santa Rosa CA 95404 18 With courtesy copy by email to: Jeremy@superawesomelawyer.com 19 Any party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which 20 all notices and other communications shall be sent. 21 **10.** COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES 22 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable 23 document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when 24 taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. 25 **POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES** 11. 26 DiPirro agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety 27 Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code 28

§ 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. DiPirro's counsel shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, DiPirro and Defendant agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and that of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of the settlement in a timely manner.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
AUKEED IV.	AUREED 10.

Date: April 24, 2023 Date: April 28, 2023

By: SDD3AB2511D94CA...

Michael DiPirro, Citizen Enforcer

Kimberly Valentine
, Print name

BGS-C Senior Contracts, Planager
THE BOEING COMPANY