1 2 3 4	WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER P.O. Box 1128 Arcata, CA 95518 Telephone: (707) 630-5061 Facsimile: (707) 630-5064 Email: wverick@igc.org			
8	DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 1700 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 202 Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Telephone: (510) 847-2356 Facsimile: (925) 332-0352 Email: <u>dhwill7@gmail.com</u> Email: brian@brianacree.com			
	Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION			
12 13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
15 16 17	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION, Plaintiff, No. CGC-23-605530 CONSENT JUDGMENT			
18 19	MACY'S, RETAIL HOLDINGS, LLC, et al.,			
20 21				
22 23 24	 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into to resolve plaintiff Mateel Environmental Justi Foundation's ("MEJF" or "Plaintiff") allegations that defendant Macy's Retail Holdings, LL 			
25	("Macy's" or "Defendant") violated Proposition 65 (Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6, <i>et seq.</i> or "the			

26

27

28

Act") by marketing in California, lead-containing crystal glass drinkware and serveware without providing clear and reasonable warnings to its customers that this drink and serveware would expose them to lead, a chemical known to cause birth defects. Plaintiff and Macy's are hereafter sometimes

referred to collectively as the "Parties." Plaintiff and Macy's entered into this stipulation for consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") to resolve the above-referenced allegations that Macy's violated Proposition 65.

1.2 On May 8, 2001, the Court entered a consent judgment in *Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation v. T.J. Maxx of California, LLC, et al.*, San Francisco Super. Court no. 313596 (the "*TJ Maxx* Consent Judgment"), to which Macy's West, Inc., Macy's Department Stores, Inc., and Federated Department Stores were parties. The *TJ Maxx* Consent Judgment required the settling defendants to provide Proposition 65 warnings for leaded crystal products, as defined therein.

1.3 On May 8, 2001, the Court entered a consent judgment in *Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation v. Bloomingdale's Inc., et al.*, San Francisco Super. Court no. 317604 (the "*Bloomingdale's* Consent Judgment"), to which Bloomingdale's, Inc. was a party. The *Bloomingdale's* Consent Judgment required the settling defendants to provide Proposition 65 warnings for leaded crystal products, as defined therein.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.4 As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), defendant Macy's Retail Holdings, LLC, operates Macy's and Bloomingdale's stores and online retail sales in California and is the successor to Macy's West, Inc., Macy's Department Stores, Inc., and Federated Department Stores for purposes of the *TJ Maxx* Consent Judgment and to Bloomingdales, Inc., for purposes of the *Bloomingdale's* Consent Judgment. It is the intent of the Parties that this Consent Judgment shall supersede the *TJ Maxx* Consent Judgment as to Macy's West, Inc., Macy's Department Stores, Inc., and Federated Department Stores and the *Bloomingdale's* Consent Judgments as to Bloomingdale's, Inc.

1.5 On or about March 16, 2022, MEJF served a 60-day notice of violation ("Notice") on the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and defendant Macy's. The Notice alleged that Macy's through sales in Macy's and Bloomingdale's stores in California of leaded crystal drinking vessels, including, but not limited to, leaded crystal tumblers, decanters, wine glasses, champagne flutes, and cocktail glasses ("Covered Products"), violated Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to lead without first providing a clear and reasonable warning.

1.6 On or about March 30, 2023, MEJF, acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), filed a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-23-605530 against Macy's (the "Complaint") based on the allegations contained in the Notice.

1.7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Macy's as to the acts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom.

1.8 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of disputed claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment and compliance with its terms shall not constitute an admission by Macy's with respect to any allegation made in the Notice or the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Macy's denies. Nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used in any proceeding as an admission or evidence of any fact, wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Macy's. Macy's expressly contends that all products it sells and/or has sold comply with all laws and are completely safe for their intended use.

2.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF-CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

2.1 The date notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the court is filed and served upon Macy's shall be deemed the "Effective Date." Except as set forth below, within thirty (30) business days of the Effective Date, Macy's shall provide Proposition 65 warnings by one of the methods described in Sections 2.2 through 2.6 for all Leaded Crystal Products. "Leaded Crystal Products" for purposes of this Consent Judgment shall be defined as Covered Products that are intended for use in storing or serving food or drink sold in California, except for Covered Products that are made from crystal glass as defined by categories 3 and/or 4 of Annex I of the European Union's Council Directive 69/493 EEC and that contains no intentionally added lead as any ingredient in the product. Such no intentionally added lead Covered Product shall be referred to in this Consent Judgment as Crystalline.¹ No warning shall be required for Crystalline

2.2 <u>Leaded Crystal Products sold in California Retail Stores.</u> Unless the manufacturer or supplier of a Leaded Crystal Product has provided a Proposition 65 warning on the product or its packaging, Macy's shall provide either an on-product warning pursuant to Section 2.2.1 or a point-of-sale warning under Paragraph 2.2.2.

2.2.1 <u>On-Product Warnings</u>. A warning may be affixed to the Leaded Crystal Product or its packaging (if the product is sold in packaging). The product or package warning shall consist of the following: a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the product or its packaging is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING." The language of the warning shall be one of the two alternatives below:

> [California Proposition 65] WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which are known to the State of California to cause [cancer, and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Or:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

California Proposition 65] WARNING: Consuming foods or beverages that have been kept or served in leaded crystal products will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Language in brackets is optional.

¹ Crystalline is intended to have the same meaning and definition as provided in the consent judgments in San Francisco Superior Court Case Nos and CGC 21-592209 and CGC 22-599086.

2.2.2 <u>Point-of-Sale Warnings</u>: Macy's may provide a warning by posting signs at each retail outlet it owns or operates in California at which Leaded Crystal Products are sold. Warning signs shall be provided in such a way that an ordinary consumer will understand the individual product or products to which the warning pertains. The warning sign shall contain the warning language required in Section 2.2.1 and shall be displayed in a sufficiently conspicuous manner to be seen and read by ordinary consumers before completing the purchase.

2.2.3 <u>Alternate In-Store Warnings</u>. In lieu of on-product warnings pursuant to Section 2.2.1 or point-of-sale warnings pursuant to Section 2.2.2, Macy's may provide a warning to consumers through a consumer-facing electronic display or process that automatically provides the warning to the purchaser prior to or during the purchase of the Leaded Crystal Product, without requiring the consumer to seek out the warning. The warning shall be displayed in a sufficiently conspicuous manner, and for a sufficient period of time, such that it can be seen and read by ordinary consumers before completing the purchase. Any such alternative in-store warning must also be provided in such a way that the an ordinary consumer will understand the individual product or products to which the warning pertains.

2.3 <u>Internet Warnings</u>. Warnings for internet sales of Leaded Crystal Products to California customers can be provided by utilizing the same warning language above in Section 2.2.1. The warning or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" shall be displayed on the product details page or shall otherwise be prominently displayed to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. If used, the hyperlink shall state "[California Proposition 65] Warning." Language in brackets is optional. Clicking on the hyperlink shall link to a page that provides one of the warnings set forth in Section 2.2.1 above.

2.4 Macy's compliance with the requirements of this Section 2 shall be deemed compliance with the warning requirements of Proposition 65 as to Covered Products sold to California customers at: (1) the stores owned or operated by Macy's and its affiliates or (2) through Macy's websites.

2.5 Other than adding or deleting brands and/or styles based on the Leaded Crystal Products offered for sale by Macy's, any changes to the language, format, size, or posting location of the warnings required by this Consent Judgment shall only be made following receipt of written approval

from either MEJF or the California Attorney General's office. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Macy's may revise warnings consistent with any amendments to the Proposition 65 safe harbor warning regulations (currently codified at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, §§ 25600 *et. seq.*) adopted after the Effective Date, without seeking approval from MEJF, the Attorney General, or the Court, provided that Macy's first provides MEJF with notice of its intent to do so.

2.6 The warning requirements contained in this Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products sold to or shipped by Macy's to customers outside the State of California.

3. <u>MONETARY RELIEF</u>

3.1 In settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, Macy's shall pay a total of \$90,000 in complete resolution of any claim for civil penalties, payments in lieu of penalties, or other monetary relief of any kind except for MEJF's attorney's fees as set forth in Section 3.1 below.

3.2 Macy's shall take reasonable commercial efforts to cease selling Leaded Crystal Products in Macy's stores in California and to California customers from macys.com by the Effective Date.

3.3 Macy's shall make an initial civil penalty payment of \$40,000. This initial civil penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with \$30,000 paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and \$10,000 paid to MEJF. Macy's will deliver the initial penalty payment to MEJF no later than 5 business days after the Effective Date. MEJF's counsel shall be solely responsible for delivering to OEHHA its portion of the penalties paid under this Consent Judgment.

3.4 \$50,000 of the civil penalty shall be held in abeyance by Macy's. If within nine (9) months after this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court, Macy's certifies to Plaintiff in writing that it has completely stopped selling Leaded Crystal Products in Bloomingdale's stores in California and to California customers from bloomingdales.com, then the remaining \$50,000 of the amount above held in abeyance shall no longer be due or payable. If Macy's does not so certify within the nine (9) month period as to Leaded Crystal Products sold at Bloomingdales, then the retained

\$50,000 shall be paid within 5 days of the end of the nine (9) month period. This payment shall be divided as follows: 75% of any payment shall be paid to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment as the State of California's share of the civil penalties assessed in this case; and 25% shall be paid to plaintiff, Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation as Mateel's share of the civil penalties assessed in this case.

3.5 The penalty payment(s) above shall be mailed to the attention of William Verick, Klamath Environmental Law Center, 799 H Street, P.O. Box 1128, Arcata, CA 95518.

4. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES</u>

No later than five (5) business days after the Effective Date, for all work performed through the Court's entry of this Consent Judgment, Macy's shall pay the sum of \$190,000 to the "Klamath Environmental Law Center" as reimbursement for any and all expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by MEJF in this matter. The reimbursement shall cover all attorney's fees, investigative fees, and all other fees and expenses of any kind incurred by MEJF investigating, bringing this matter to Macy's' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement of the matter in the public interest, and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment. Macy's shall deliver the payment required hereunder to the attention of William Verick, Klamath Environmental Law Center, 799 H Street, P.O. Box 1128, Arcata, CA 95518.

5.

10

11

ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT/STIPULATED REMEDIES

The terms of this Consent Judgment are enforceable only by and among the Parties hereto. Plaintiff agrees that before initiating any enforcement action under this Consent Judgment, it will provide written notice of the alleged violation to Settling Defendant. The notice of alleged violation shall include an identification of all Settling Defendant's California stores that sold or are selling Leaded Crystal Products without a compliant warning under this Consent Judgment, and all other alleged sales of Leaded Crystal Products without a warning as of the date of such notice, including any alleged brands or styles and SKU numbers or Web IDs of such Covered Products sold without a warning and the alleged dates of such sales. Provided that after the first notice of alleged violation of this Consent Judgment Settling Defendant corrects such alleged violation and provides evidence

to MEJF within 30 days of that first notice, Settling Defendant shall have no monetary liability of any kind for such alleged violation. All previous notices of alleged violation of the Consent Judgment shall be deemed resolved by this Consent Judgment and any new notice of alleged violation served after June 1, 2024 shall be deemed the first. Thereafter, for any subsequent notices of violation, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith to determine if an appropriate resolution can be reached. If no resolution can be reached concerning any subsequent notice of violation, MEJF may bring a motion to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. No party shall bring a motion to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment without first providing written notice to the other party and meeting and conferring about the alleged violation for a period of at least 30 days. MEJF shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in connection with any successful motion to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

6.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

As to Covered Products, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), on the one hand, and Macy's, on the other hand, of any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65, up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Complaint and Notices, as well as all claims made or which could have been made in the Notice and/or the Complaint, of any claim that Macy's or its predecessors failed to comply with the terms of the *TJ Maxx* Consent Judgment and/or *Bloomingdale's* Consent Judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Macy's and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, assigns, suppliers, distributors, licensors, licensees, retailers, and/or customers (collectively "Releasees") with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to lead exposures alleged to have been caused by Covered Products. The releases provided by this Consent Judgment pertain only to causes action that arose or may have arisen or that are alleged to have arisen up to and including the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment. 7.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

COMPREHENSIVE AND GLOBAL RELEASE

7.1 As to Covered Products, MEJF, for itself, and its agents and attorneys (but not on behalf of the Public Interest) releases and forever discharges any and all claims against Macy's and Releasees arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 any claim that Macy's or its predecessors failed to comply with the terms of the *TJ Maxx* Consent Judgment and/or *Bloomingdale's* Consent Judgment, or any other statutory, common law or other claim, that was or could have been asserted against Macy's or Releasees based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, or facts similar to those alleged. As to Covered Products, MEJF, for itself, and its agents and attorneys, releases and forever discharges any and all claims against Macy's and Releasees arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or other claim, that was or could have been asserted against such entities based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, or facts similar to those alleged.

7.2 In furtherance of the Parties' intention that this Consent Judgment shall be effective as a full and final accord, satisfaction and release as to Macy's and Releasees of and from any and all matters hereby released, MEJF, on its own, and on behalf of its agents and attorneys, acknowledges familiarity with and understanding of California Civil Code § 1542, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

To the extent that Section 1542 or any similar law or statute may otherwise apply to this 21 Consent Judgment or the claims released, MEJF hereby waives and relinquishes as to all matters 22 released hereunder all rights and benefits it has, or may have, under Section 1542 or under the 23 laws or common law of any other jurisdiction to the same or similar effect. MEJF further 24 acknowledges that, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, it may discover claims 25 that were unsuspected at the time this Consent Judgment was executed, and which might have 26 materially affected its decision to execute this Consent Judgment, but nevertheless MEJF releases 27 Macy's and Releasees from any and all such claims whether known or unknown, suspected or 28

unsuspected, at the time of the execution of this Consent Judgment.

7.3 The releases provided in this Consent Judgment pertain only to causes action that arose or may have arisen or that are alleged to have arisen up to and including the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment.

7.4 Within 30 days of receipt of the initial penalty payment and attorney's fees payment by Macy's pursuant to Sections 3 and 3.1, MEJF shall file an application or motion to terminate the (i) injunctive provisions of the *TJ Maxx* Consent Judgment as to defendants Macy's West, Inc., Macy's Department Stores, Inc., and Federated Department Stores, Inc.; and (ii) the injunctive provisions of the *Bloomingdale's* Consent Judgment as to defendant Bloomingdale's, Inc. Macy's shall provide reasonable cooperation to effectuate the termination of injunctive relief, including but not limited to stipulating to such termination as successors-in-interest to Macy's in those prior matters, if required.

7.5 Notwithstanding any other provision in this Consent Judgment, no release is given to Baccarat, Inc. or Hermes USA.

8. <u>APPLICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon MEJF and all plaintiffs acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 and Macy's, and its successors or assigns. The terms of this Consent Judgment were submitted to the California Attorney General's office prior to the entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.

9.

10

11

12

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, or the refusal to consent thereto by MEJF, the warning provisions of Section 2 may be modified upon a showing that the Attorney General's office consents in writing to such modification. Any request to the Attorney General to modify this Consent Judgment must be simultaneously served on MEJF with an opportunity for MEJF to provide its views on any proposed modification to the Attorney General and to Macy's.

10. TERMINATION OF JUDGMENT

Commencing on the fifth anniversary of the Effective Date, Macy's may terminate the injunctive relief in Section 2 of this Consent Judgment by filing a notice of voluntary termination and serving the notice on Plaintiff and the Attorney General.

11. <u>NOTICE</u>

28

11.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice or report under this Consent Judgment, the notice or report shall be sent by certified mail or overnight courier service and email to the following persons:

1	For MEJF:		
10	William Verick		
11	Klamath Environmental Law Center		
11	1125 Sixteenth Street, Suite 204,		
12	Arcata, CA 95521		
10	wverick@igc.org		
13	For Macy's:		
14	Chief Legal Officer		
1.5	Macy's Retail Holdings, LLC		
15	151 W. 34 th Street		
16	New York, NY 10001		
	serviceofprocess@macy's.com		
17	Jeffrey Margulies		
18	Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP		
	555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor		
19	Los Angeles, CA 90071		
20	jeff.margulies@nortonrosefulbright.com		
21	11.2 Any Party to this Consent Judgment may modify the person and address to whom notice		
22	is to be sent by sending each other Party notice in accordance with this Section.		
23	12. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>		
23	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the		
24	Each signatory to this consent judgment certifies that he of she is fully autionized by the		
25	Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the Party		
26	represented and legally to hind that Party		
27	13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>		
- 11			

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent Judgment.

14. JOINT PREPARATION

The Parties have jointly participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

15. <u>ENTIRE AGREEMENT</u>

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto are merged herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof.

16. <u>GOVERNING LAW</u>

The laws of the State of California shall govern the validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment.

17. <u>EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and/or by facsimile or pdf, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one original document.

18. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

If the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

1			
2	DATED:	KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER	
5 4 5 6 7		By: William Verick Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION	
8 9		MACY'S RETAIL HOLDINGS, LLC	
10	DATED:	By:	
11			
12			
13			
14 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:			
15			
16	Dated:	IUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
16 17	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Dated:	DUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	



