1	ENTORNO LAW, LLP Noam Glick (SBN 251582)		
2	Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777)		
3	Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770)		
4	225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tal: (610) 620 0527		
5	Tel: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@entornolaw.com Email: iaka@entornolaw.com		
6	Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: craig@entornolaw.com Email: janani@entornolaw.com		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8	Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF ALAMEDA	
11	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES,	Case No. 23CV027276	
12	INC.,		
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
14	VS.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
15	ARMANINO FOODS OF DISTINCTION, INC.,	Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)	
16	a Colorado corporation; RESTAURANT DEPOT, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;	Dept: 22	
17	INSTACART, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	Judge:Honorable Brad SeligmanComplaint filed:February 3, 2023	
18	Defendants.	Trial Date: August 19, 2024	
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23			
24			
26			
20			
27			
20			
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT – Case No. 23CV027276		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.

### **INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment and Release ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. ("EHA"), on the one hand, and Armanino Foods of Distinction, Inc. ("Armanino"), on the other hand, with EHA and Armanino each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

### 1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is a corporation organized in the State of California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemical and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

### 1.3 Defendant

Armanino employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## 1.4 General Allegations

EHA alleges Releasees (as defined in Section 4.1) manufacture, import, sell, or distribute for sale in California pesto products including but not limited to "Armanino Basil Pesto," that contain lead. EHA further alleges that Releasees do so without first providing sufficient health hazard warning required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

24

### **1.5 Product Description**

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are Armanino's pesto products, including, but not limited to, "Armanino Basil Pesto," that EHA alleges contain lead and that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed in California by Releasees ("Covered Products").

- 1 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### 1.6 Notice of Violation and Complaint

On or around October 27, 2022, EHA served Armanino, Restaurant Depot, LLC ("Restaurant Depot"), Instacart, the California Attorney General and other requisite public enforcers with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that Armanino and others violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers of the health hazards associated with exposure to lead contained in the Armanino Basil Pesto.

To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

#### **1.7** State of the Pleadings

On or around February 3, 2023, EHA filed a Complaint against Armanino, Restaurant Depot, and Instacart for the alleged violation of Proposition 65 that is the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

#### 1.8 No Admission

Armanino denies the material factual and legal allegations of the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the Covered Products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Armanino's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Armanino as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

#### 1.10 **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which this Consent Judgment is approved and entered as a judgment of the Court, as discussed in Section 5.

#### 1.11 **Compliance Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Compliance Date" is the date that is six (6) months after the Effective Date, provided that the Compliance Date may be extended up to an additional four (4) months by Armanino by notifying EHA at least thirty (30) days before the Compliance Date that good cause exists for such extension. If EHA believes in good faith that such extension is without basis, EHA shall notify Armanino of such determination and the parties shall meet and confer to resolve the dispute.

#### **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

2.

#### 2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products**

Except as otherwise provided herein, any Covered Products that are manufactured, distributed, or directly sold in California by Armanino on or after the Compliance Date shall not expose a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead based on average daily consumption data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey ("NHANES") unless such Covered Products comply with the warning requirements of Section 2.2. The "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the average daily consumption rate for pesto calculated from the NHANES database), multiplied by servings of the product per day (also calculated from the NHANES database). The "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be calculated by using tests performed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization, and using an appropriate

### [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT - Case No. 23CV027276

Inductively Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS) analytical method with a limit of 1 2 quantitation (LOQ) of at least 0.01 parts per million (ppm). As used in this Section 2, "distributed for 3 sale in CA" means to directly ship Covered Products into California or to sell Covered Products to a 4 distributor Armanino knows will sell Covered Products in California. 5 2.2 **Clear and Reasonable Warnings** 6 As of the Compliance Date, and continuing thereafter, if and only if the Daily Lead Exposure 7 Level for Covered Products that are manufactured and packaged for distribution for authorized sale 8 9 or use in California exceeds 0.5 micrograms of lead pursuant to the formula set forth in Section 2.1 10 above, Armanino shall provide one of the following warning statements: 11 1) WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other 12 reproductive harm. For more information go to 13 www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food. 14 MARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm -2) www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food. 15 This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Products, on the 16 packaging of the Covered Products, or on a placard, shelf tag, or sign, provided that the statement is 17 displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to 18 19 render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning 20 statement is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding 21 information. The same warning shall be posted on any websites under the exclusive control of 22 Armanino where Covered Products are sold into California. 23 2.3 **Sell-Through Period** 24 Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, the Covered Products that are 25 26 manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date shall be subject to release of liability pursuant to 27 this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, 28

#### [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT - Case No. 23CV027276

distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligation of Armanino, or any Releasees (if applicable), do not apply to these Covered Products manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date.

3

3.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

#### 3.1 Settlement Amount

Armanino shall pay one hundred and five thousand dollars (\$105,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of \$10,500.00 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$94,500.00 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

### **3.2** Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty, \$7,875.00, paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty, \$2,625.00, paid to EHA individually. The ten thousand five hundred dollars (\$10,500.00) in civil penalties shall be paid as follows:

One payment of \$7,875.00 to OEHHA, due fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date.

• One payment of \$2,625.00 to EHA, due fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date.

All payments owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address:

Isaac Fayman Environmental Health Advocates 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT – Case No. 23CV027276

1			
2	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
3	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010		
4	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
5	For Federal Express 2-Day Delivery:		
6	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
7	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street		
8	Sacramento, CA 95814		
9	Armanino agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA,		
10	simultaneous with its penalty payments to EHA.		
11	Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required.		
12	Relevant information is set out below:		
13	• "Environmental Health Advocates, Inc." (EIN: 84-2322975) at the address provided		
14	above.		
15	• "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA		
16	95814.		
17			
18	All payments referenced in this section shall be paid within 14 (fourteen) days of the Effective		
19 20	Date.		
20	3.3 Attorneys' Fees and Costs		
21 22	The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid to EHA's		
22 23	counsel, who are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not		
24	limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Armanino's attention, as well as		
25	litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.		
26			
27			
28			
	- 6 -		
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT – Case No. 23CV027276		

1	Armanino shall provide its payment for civil penalty as outlined above in Section 3.2.			
2	Armanino shall provide its payment for attorneys' fees and costs to EHA's counsel by physical check			
3	or by electronic means, including wire transfers, at Armanino's discretion, as follows:			
4	• One payment of \$94,500.00 due within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date. If the			
5 6	deadline is on a Sunday or holiday, it will be extended until the next court day.			
7	• The attorney fee payments shall be made payable to Entorno Law, LLP. The address for			
8	this entity is:			
9	Noam Glick			
10				
11	San Diego, CA 92101			
12	3.4 Tax Documentation			
13	Armanino agrees to provide a completed IRS 1099 for its payments to, and EHA agrees to			
14	provide IRS W-9 forms for, each of the payees under this Consent Judgment. The Parties			
15	acknowledge that Armanino cannot issue any settlement payments pursuant to Section 3.2 and 3.3			
16	above until after Armanino receives the requisite W-9 forms from EHA's counsel.			
17 18	4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>			
19	4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims			
20	For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about			
21	exposures to lead from Covered Products prior to the Compliance Date, EHA, acting for the general			
22	public, releases Armanino and each of its respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under			
23	common ownership, directors, officers, members, employees, contractors, attorneys, each upstream			
24	entity from whom the Product was purchased by Armanino, and each entity to whom Armanino			
25 26	directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Product including, but not limited to, its downstream			
27	distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including, but not limited to: Restaurant Depot and			
28	Instacart, and each of their respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under commo			
	- 7 -			

1

ownership, directors, officers, members, employees, and contractors), franchisees, cooperative members and licensees ("Releasees") of any and all liability arising under Proposition 65. Releasees include all named defendants in the above-captioned action, their parents, and all subsidiaries and affiliates thereof and their respective employees, agents, and assigns that sell Armanino's Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to lead from Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Armanino on or after the Compliance Date. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims under Proposition 65 that were or could have been asserted against Armanino and/or Releasees for failure to provide warnings required under Proposition 65 for alleged exposure to lead contained in Covered Products.

#### 4.2 **EHA's Individual Release of Claims**

EHA, in its individual capacity, also provides a release to Armanino and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to lead in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Armanino prior to the Compliance Date.

#### 4.3 **Armanino's Release of EHA**

Armanino, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Product.

#### 4.4 California Civil Code Section 1542

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with California Civil Code section 1542, which provides as follows:

#### A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE **RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE** MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or nonstatutory 12 law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, 14 those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this 16 Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

///

///

#### 4.5 **Court Approval**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

#### 5. **SEVERABILITY**

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.

#### GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Armanino may provide written notice to EHA of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

In the event the California Office of Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation or safe use determination, or issues an interpretive guideline that exempts Covered Products from meeting the requirements of Proposition 65; or if Proposition 65 is determined to be preempted by federal law or a burden on First Amendment rights with respect to lead in Covered Products or Covered Products substantially similar to Covered Products, Armanino shall be relieved of its obligations to comply with Section 2 herein.

#### 7. <u>ENFORCEMENT</u>

In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be
entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

#### 8. <u>NOTICE</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (a) personal delivery; (b) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (c) a recognized overnight courier on any Party by the other at the following addresses:

> For Armanino: Daniel Herling Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo, P.C. 44 Montgomery Street, 36th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

#### [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT - Case No. 23CV027276

For EHA: 1 Noam Glick 2 Entorno Law, LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 3 San Diego, CA 92101 4 Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other a change of address to which 5 all notices and other communications shall be sent. 6 9. **COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES** 7 8 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable 9 document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when 10 taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. 11 10. **POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES** 12 EHA and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in 13 California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to 14 Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval 15 16 of the settlement, which motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, 17 the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the 18 entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely 19 manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the 20 motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the 21 hearing before the Court if so requested. 22 11. **MODIFICATION** 23 24

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

25

26

27

4

5

7

8

9

10

1

#### 12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Party and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

6

13.

#### GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

14.

#### ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

26

27

28

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
Date: 7/16/2024 By: July ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC. IT IS SO ORDERED.	Date: 7/2/2024 By: ARMANINO FOODS OF DISTINCTION INC.	
Date:, 2024	THE HONORABLE BRAD SELIGMAN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
- 12 -		
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT – Case No. 23CV027276		