1	Aida Poulsen (SBN: 333117) Peter T. Sato (SBN: 238486) POULSEN LAW P.C.		
2			
3	15303 Ventura Blvd., 9 th Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Tel: +1 (646) 776 5999		
4			
5	Email: contact@poulsenlaw.org		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff The Chemical Toxin Working Group Inc. doing		
7	business as Healthy Living Foundation Inc.		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
11			
12	THE CHEMICAL TOXIN WORKING GROUP INC., a California non-profit	CASE NO. 23STCV19516	
13	corporation, doing business as HEALTHY [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
14	LIVING FOUNDATION INC.		
15	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon. Bradley S. Philips, Dept. 24]	
16	v.		
17	SAMUELS AND SON SEAFOOD CO., INC. a Complaint: August 15, 2023 Pennsylvania corporation; et al.,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	1. INTRODUCTION		
21	1.1. The Parties to this Consent Judgment are The Chemical Toxin Working Group Inc.		
22	doing business as Healthy Living Foundation Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Samuels and Son		
23	Seafood Co., Inc. ("Defendant"). Plaintiff and Defendant (collectively, the "Parties" and		
24	individually, a "Party") enter into this Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") to settle claims		
25	asserted by Plaintiff against Defendant as set forth in the Complaint.		
26	1.2. It is alleged that Defendant has distributed and/or sold the Covered Products.		
27	1.3. On November 11, 2022, Plaintiff's	erved a 60-day notice of violation of Proposition 65	
28	on the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City		
	{00132163,DOCX-1 }	1	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant, alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons in California to the Listed Chemicals in (1) Blue Point Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com (2) Emerald Cove Oysters from Canada by GourmetFoodStore.com, (3) Holy Grail Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com, and (4) James River Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning (the "Notice"). The Notice is designated with Attorney General number 2022-02729. No designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Defendant with regard to the (1) Blue Point Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com (2) Emerald Cove Oysters from Canada by GourmetFoodStore.com, (3) Holy Grail Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com, and/or (4) James River Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com for the alleged violations.

- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by or on behalf of Defendant. Additionally, for purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendant is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.5. Defendant denies the allegations in the Notice and Complaint, and nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This

Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The "Complaint" means the complaint filed on August 15, 2023 in the above-captioned matter.
- 2.2 The "Covered Product(s)" means the following products sold or supplied by the Defendant:
 - 2.2.1 Blue Point Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com;
 - 2.2.2 Emerald Cove Oysters from Canada by GourmetFoodStore.com;
 - 2.2.3 Holy Grail Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com; and
 - 2.2.4 James River Oysters from United States by GourmetFoodStore.com.
 - 2.3 "Listed Chemicals" means lead, lead compounds and cadmium.
- 2.4 The "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court signs and enters this Consent Judgment.
 - 2.5 "Compliance Date" refers to the date that is thirty (30) days after the Effective Date.
- 2.6 "Distributor" is any entity or individual that sells Covered Products into the State of California.
- 2.7 "Reasonably Foreseeable" means that a reasonable inquiry would have revealed to the Defendant that a Distributor could sell Covered Products to California. Some, but not all examples of such circumstances include: where the Distributor sells products online/over the internet, telephone, telephone applications, or mail-order; maintains or intends to maintain storage, warehouse(s), brick-and-mortar retail establishment(s) located in California.
- 2.8 The term "Distributing into the State of California" or "Distributes into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a Distributor that Defendant knows sells the Covered Product into California, or for whom it is Reasonably Foreseeable that Distributor may sell Covered Product in California.

provided in that language in addition to English.

- 3.2.3.6 For any Covered Product sold by Defendant over the internet, a warning shall be prominently displayed as follows: (a) on the primary display page for the Covered Product; (b) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters on the Covered Product's primary display page; (c) on the checkout page or any other page in the checkout process when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product and with the warning clearly associated with the Covered Product to indicate that the product is subject to the warning; or (d) by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. If the warning is provided using the short-form warning label content pursuant to Section 3.2.2.B above, the warning provided on the website may use the same content. For Defendant's internet/online sales, in addition to the online warning described above, Defendant must also ensure a warning meeting the requirements of Section 3.2.2(A) appears on the label or packaging of the Covered Product.
- 3.2.3.7 Where a label contains a foreign language, the warning must also be provided in that language.

3.3 Reformulated Covered Products; Testing

- 3.3.1 Beginning as of the Compliance Date, Defendant shall not sell in the State of California, or "Distribute into the State of California," any Covered Product that exposes a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day or a "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" of more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day, unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2 above.
- 3.3.2 Daily Lead or Cadmium Exposure Level: For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" and "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of each of the Listed Chemicals per gram of product, multiplied by 110 grams of product per serving of the product, multiplied by servings of the product per day, which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

¹ U.S. Food and Drug Administration, *Reference Amounts Customarily Consumed: List of Products* (2016), at 21. Available at https://www.fda.gov/media/102587/download,. {00132163,DOCX-1 }

- 3.3.3 For purposes of determining if a warning is required pursuant to Section 3.2, the average Listed Chemicals concentration of three (3) samples of the Covered Products randomly selected from different lot numbers by Defendant (or from as many lots as are available for testing if there are fewer than three (3)) will be determined. HLF reserves the right to test reformulated products and, if the results are violative of Section 3.3.1, assert any new claims that may arise, subject to the provisions of Section 5, for any Covered Products that are not properly labeled under Proposition 65.
- 3.3.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, limit of quantification, accuracy, and precision and meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg, or any other testing method subsequently agreed upon in writing by the Parties.
- 3.3.5 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third-party laboratory accredited to perform testing for the Listed Chemicals using the methodology in Section 3.3.4. Testing shall be performed prior to Defendant's first distribution into California or sale in California of any Covered Product produced or purchased by Defendant after the Compliance Date, and testing shall continue at least once per year for two consecutive years after the Compliance Date.
- 3.3.6 The requirements of Section 3.3 do not apply to any of the Covered Products for which Defendant has provided a warning as specified in Section 3.2.
- 3.3.7 For any Covered Products that Defendant has currently in its possession and control as of the Effective Date that do not meet the requirements of Section 3.3.1, Defendant shall not Distribute into the State of California these Covered Products, unless they contain a warning pursuant to Section 3.2.
- 3.4 Compliance. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties agree that Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either providing the warning of § 3.2 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") after the Effective Date or otherwise. If OEHHA regulations require or permit specific safe harbor warning text and/or

methods of transmission different than those set forth above, Defendant shall be entitled to use, at its discretion, such other specific safe harbor warning text and/or methods of transmission without being deemed in breach of this Consent Judgment. In the event that OEHHA promulgates one or more regulations requiring, permitting or establishing warning text and/or methods of transmission applicable to the chemicals at issue and product type at issue here, different than those set forth above, Defendant shall be entitled to use, at its discretion, such other warning text, method of transmission, without being deemed in breach of this Agreement. If regulations, legislation, or controlling judicial rulings are enacted or issued providing that a Proposition 65 warning for the product is no longer required, a lack of warning will not thereafter be a breach of this Agreement. Plaintiff retains the right to enforce this Agreement as relates to such new requirements.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Total Settlement Amount: Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date,
 Defendant shall make a total payment of \$135,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") in full
 satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees and costs
 (including, but not limited to, fees and costs incurred by attorneys, experts, and investigators),
 pursuant to Section 4.3 as indicated below.
- 4.2 **Allocation:** The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned and paid by Defendant as follows:
- 4.2.1 Civil Penalty. \$25,850 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1), of which Plaintiff shall remit seventy-five percent (75%), to the "Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund" managed by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. Plaintiff shall retain twenty-five percent (25%) of the civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(d).
- 4.2.2 Additional Settlement Payment. \$19,380 shall be distributed to Plaintiff as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. Plaintiff will use this payment as follows: Eighty percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer

product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; for publishing periodicals and other medias regarding public awareness about the issue of environmental pollution and presence of Proposition 65 chemicals in the environment and consumer products; donations and supplies, including but not limited to, water filters and air filters for public schools and communities, to regions impoverished by industrial pollution. And twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, Plaintiff's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies, service, and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, Plaintiff shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

4.2.3 Attorneys' Fees. Defendant shall pay \$89,770 to "Poulsen Law P.C." (herein "Poulsen Law") as reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs) incurred in bringing this action.

4.3 Delivery of Payment

- 4.3.1 Defendant shall pay the Total Settlement Amount by wire transfer to Plaintiff counsel's escrow account, for which Plaintiff's counsel will give Defendant the necessary account information.
- 4.3.2 Plaintiff shall be solely responsible for allocating the Total Settlement Amount pursuant to Section 4. Upon request, Plaintiff or its legal counsel shall supply Defendant with a completed W-9 form.

27

5.1 The Parties agree that any legal action to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be brought in Los Angeles County Superior Court. The Parties agree that Los Angeles County Superior Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the enforcement of this Consent Judgment and personal jurisdiction over Defendant, and that venue is proper in Los Angeles County. The Plaintiff and Defendant have the exclusive right to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment. They may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides thirty (30) days notice identifying a material noncompliance with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in a good faith manner.

Notwithstanding the immediately preceding sentence, Plaintiff may bring a motion or an action to enforce any breach of the settlement payment terms in Section 4 upon five (5) business days written notice by Plaintiff to the Defendant.

- 5.2 Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment other than for failure to make payment, Plaintiff shall serve a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to the Defendant via electronic mail to the Parties identified in Section 10. The NOV shall include for the Covered Product(s): the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by Plaintiff regarding the Covered Products, and any other evidence or support for the allegations in the NOV.
- 5.3 Defendant shall, within thirty (30) days following service of such NOV, provide Plaintiff with documentation that meets one of the following conditions:
- 5.3.1 The Covered Products were shipped by Defendant for sale in California before the Compliance Date or are otherwise exempt, or
- 5.3.2 Since receiving the NOV, Defendant has taken corrective action by either (i) requesting, in writing, with receipt confirmation, that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the identified

Covered Products to Defendant or vendor, as applicable, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products identified in the NOV pursuant to Section 3 above.

- 5.3.3 Plaintiff shall take no further action to enforce the alleged violation(s) of this Consent Judgment if the documentation called for in this section satisfies the requirements of Sections 5.3.1 or 5.3.2 above.
- 5.4 After thirty (30) days pass from the date Plaintiff serves an NOV, if a dispute remains as to compliance with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer pursuant to Section 13 below to resolve the matter for a period of no less than an additional thirty (30) days during which time Defendant may cure any purported deficiency and if completed within that time then Plaintiff may not proceed with any further action and Plaintiff shall not claim or request any additional fees, costs or penalties. Should the purported deficiency not be cured, then after the additional thirty (30) days, Plaintiff may take any further legal action to enforce this Consent Judgment.
- 5.5 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

6. BINDING EFFECT; CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

behalf of itself and in the public interest, and its respective principals, officers, directors, employees, parents, subsidiaries, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, on the one hand, and Defendant, on behalf of itself, and its respective owners, principals, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, parent companies, subsidiaries, heirs, executors, divisions, administrators, predecessors, successors and assigns, on the other, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings up through the Effective Date for exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the import, manufacturing, marketing, distribution, sale or offering for sale, handling, use or consumption of the Covered Products, and fully resolves all claims that have been asserted or could have been asserted based on the Notice and in the Complaint, for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings. Plaintiff hereby releases, waives all claims against, and discharges Defendant, its respective owners, principals, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, parent

companies, subsidiaries, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and any of Defendant's suppliers only for ingredients or components used by Defendant to make the Covered Products, downstream entities in the distribution chain for the Covered Products and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), for any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses related to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from any failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Listed Chemicals for the Covered Products up through the Effective Date.

- 6.2 Plaintiff, on its own behalf only, on the one hand, and Defendant, on its own behalf only, on the other hand, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint.
- 6.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. Plaintiff on behalf of itself only, and Defendant on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. Plaintiff and Defendant acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 6.1 and 6.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 and any federal or state law of similar effect as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

- 6.4 Plaintiff on behalf of itself only, and Defendant on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.
- 6.5 The Parties agree that compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to the {00132163,DOCX-1}

Listed Chemicals in the Covered Products manufactured, purchased, distributed, or sold by Plaintiff after the Compliance Date. This release shall not apply to any Distributor who fails to provide an internet warning as required pursuant to paragraph 3.2.3.6.

7. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

7.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

8.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

9. MODIFICATION

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court may be modified by stipulation of the Parties with the approval of the Court or by an order of this Court on noticed motion by a Party in accordance with law. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment must notify the other Party in writing, and the Parties shall thereafter attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment. If the Parties are unable to resolve their dispute informally within sixty (60) days after the date of the written notification, the Party that issued the written notification to seek the modification may bring a motion or proceeding to seek judicial relief as to the requested modification.
- 9.2 In any stipulated modification to the Consent Judgment, the Party requesting the modification shall prepare the draft motion or application to modify the Consent Judgment.
- 9.3 Modification of Injunctive Relief If regulations, legislation, or controlling judicial rulings are enacted or issued, which affect the injunctive relief provisions of this Consent Judgment at section 3.3, Defendant may thereafter seek to modify this Consent Judgment as to adopt those injunctive terms and comply with them instead of those presently set forth in Section 3.3. If Defendant seeks to adopt different injunctive terms, it shall provide notice to Plaintiff consistent with Section 9 of this Consent Judgment, and Plaintiff agrees to meet and confer in accordance with that provision.

{00132163,DOCX-1 }

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

10.1 All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via both email and first-class mail.

For Plaintiff: Aida Poulsen Poulsen Law P.C. 15303 Ventura Blvd., 9th Floor Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Email: contact@poulsenlaw.org

For Defendant:
Ladd Cahoon
Edgcomb Law Group, LLP
591 Redwood Highway, Suite 2320
Mill Valley, CA 94941
Tel: +1 (415) 399 1560
Email: lcahoon@edgcomb-law.com

11. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

12. DRAFTING

12.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

13. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

13.1 If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing, and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

{00132163.DOCX-1 }

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 14.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 14.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

15. COURT APPROVAL

- 15.1 Plaintiff shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Plaintiff and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 15.2 The Parties shall make all reasonable efforts possible to have the Consent Judgment approved by the Court. For purposes of this Section, "reasonable efforts" shall include, at minimum, cooperating with the drafting and filing of the necessary moving papers, and supporting the motion for judicial approval.
- Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

16. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

16.1 Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by the parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to

{00132163,DOCX-1 }

1	its approval b	by the Court. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received	
2	the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the		
3	Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, may the Court approve this Consent		
4	Judgment.		
5	17.	ATTORNEY FEES	
6	17.1	Except as specifically provided in section 4.2.3 and 5.5, each party shall bear its own	
7	costs and atto	orney fees in connection with this action.	
8	18.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION	
9	18.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce, or modify the	
10	Consent Judg	gment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.	
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
12	Dated: Jan	uary 31_, 2025	
13		SAMUELS AND SON SEAFOOD CO., INC.	
14		Signature Signature	
15		SAMURI D'ANGIELO	
16		Printed Name	
17	 	PRISSIDENT	
18	Dated: Jan	Title Title 1207 1207 1207 1207 1207 1207 1207 1207	
19	Datod, but	THE CHEMICAL TOXIN WORKING GROUP INC., doing business as HEALTHY	
20		LIVING FOUNDATION INC.	
21			
22		Javid Feinman	
23		Signature	
24		Signature	
25		<u>David Steinman</u> Printed Name	
26 27		rimen iname	
2 <i>1</i> 28		Chief Officer Title	
40		1 IIIC	
	(00132163,DOCX	-l }	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1			
2	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
3	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is		
4	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.		
6			
7			
8	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court		
9	Juage of the Superior Court		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	{00132163,DOCX-1 }		
	16 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		