1	ENTORNO LAW, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)	
2	Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777)	
3	Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900	
4	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527	
5	Email: craig@entornolaw.com Email: noam@entornolaw.com	
6	Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: janani@entornolaw.com	
7	·	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.	
9	John A. Conkla (SD# 117940)	
10	John A. Conkle (SB# 117849) j.conkle@conklelaw.com Vim S. Sandall (SB# 252221)	
11	Kim S. Sandell (SB# 252321) k.sandell@conklelaw.com Leach P. Wilson (SB# 231448)	
12	Jacob P. Wilson (SB# 331448) j.wilson@conklelaw.com	
13	CONKLE, KREMER & ENGEL Professional Law Corporation 3120 Wilebirg Popleyard, Spite 500	
14	3130 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500 Santa Monica, California 90403-2351 Phone: (310) 998-9100 • Fax: (310) 998-9109	
15		
16	Attorneys for Defendant Harmony House Foods, Inc.	
17	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18		
19	IN AND FOR THE	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
20	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.,	Case No. 23CV028565
21	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
22	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
23	HARMONY HOUSE FOODS, INC., a North	
24	Carolina corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
25	Defendants.	
26	2	
27		1
28		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc., ("EHA" or "Plaintiff") and Harmony House Foods, Inc. ("Defendant" or "HHF") with EHA and HHF each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is a corporation organized in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

HHF is a corporation organized in the state of North Carolina, and regularly conducts business in California as it distributes or sells its products to California consumers.

1.4 General Allegations

EHA alleges that HHF manufactures, imports, sells, and/or distributes for sale Harmony House Dehydrated Mushrooms that contain mercury. EHA further alleges that HHF does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. HHF denies these allegations and asserts that its products are safe and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations.

1.5 Notice of Violation

On or around November 11, 2022, EHA served Defendant HHF, Amazon.com Inc. ("Amazon"), the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that HHF had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to Mercury contained in dried mushrooms products, including but not limited to Harmony House Dehydrated Mushrooms manufactured or processed by HHF that allegedly contain Mercury and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1).

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the

1.6 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are dried mushrooms products, including but not limited to Harmony House Dehydrated Mushrooms manufactured or processed by HHF that allegedly contain Mercury and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1) ("Covered Products").

1.7 State of the Pleadings

On or around March 1, 2023, EHA filed a Complaint against HHF for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

HHF denies the material factual and legal allegations of the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect HHF's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over HHF as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is approved and entered as a judgment of the Court, as discussed in Section 5.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Reformulation of the Covered Products

Beginning thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, HHF shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing, distributing, or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Product that exposes a person to a "Mercury Reproductive Limit" of more than 0.3 micrograms of Mercury based on a single serving per day ("Reformulation Standard") unless such Covered Products comply with the warning requirements of Section 2.2. The "Mercury Reproductive Limit" shall be calculated by multiplying the recommended serving size in Covered Product by the concentration of Mercury in Covered Products. As used in this Section 2, "distributed for sale in CA" means to directly ship Covered Products into California or to sell Covered Products to a distributor that Defendants knows will sell Covered Products in California.

In the event OEHHA at any time adopts a safe harbor level for Mercury in Covered Products that is higher than the Reformulation Standard, HHF is permitted to use the established safe harbor level without further notice to EHA. Subject to Section 2.3 below, if EHA alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a reformulated Covered Product (for which EHA alleges that no warning has been provided), then EHA shall inform HHF in writing in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit HHF to identify the Covered Product(s) at issue.

2.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

For Covered Products that contain Mercury in a concentration exceeding the Reformulation Standard set forth in section 2.1 above, and which are distributed or directly sold by HHF in the State of California on or after the Effective Date, HHF shall provide one of the following warning statements.

Option 1:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to [chemicals including] Mercury, which is/are known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information, go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

Option 2:

WARNING: Reproductive Harm – <u>www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</u>.

This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Products, on the packing of the Covered Products, or on a placard, shelf tag, or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered Products' packaging, it must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information (warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional information) on the product. In no case shall a warning statement displayed on the Covered Products' packaging appear in a type size smaller than 6-point type. If the Covered Products' packaging contains consumer information in a foreign language, a warning statement in that language is required. The same warning shall be posted on any websites under the exclusive control of HHF where Covered Products are sold into California. HHF shall instruct any third-party website to which it directly sells its Covered Products to include the same warning as a condition of selling the Covered Products in California.

2.3 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce on or after the Effective Date, shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of HHF, or any Releasees (if applicable), stated in this Section 2 do not apply to Covered Products manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce on or before the Effective Date.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

HHF shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice(s), the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code

1	section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of forty seven thousand dollars	
2	(\$47,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.	
3	3.2 Civil Penalty	
4	The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health	
5	and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid	
6	to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining	
7	twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA individually. The three thousand dollars	
8	(\$3,000.00) in civil penalties shall be paid as follows:	
9	• One payment of \$2,250.00 to OEHHA, due 30 (thirty) days after Plaintiff provides	
10	Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment to HHA.	
11	• One payment of \$750.00 to EHA, due 30 (thirty) days after Plaintiff provides Notice of	
12	Entry of the Consent Judgment to HHA.	
13	All payments owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address:	
14		
15	Isaac Fayman Environmental Health Advocates	
16	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101	
17	All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA	
18	(Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:	
19	For United States Postal Service Delivery:	
20	Mike Gyurics	
21	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	
22	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010	
23	For Federal Express 2-Day Delivery:	
24	Mike Gyurics	
25	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	
26	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814	
27	Sacramento, CA 93014	
28		

HHF agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA, simultaneous with its penalty payment to EHA.

Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required. Relevant information is set out below:

- "Environmental Health Advocates, Inc." (EIN: 84-2322975) at the address provided above.
- "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

All payments referenced in this section shall be paid within fourteen (14) days after Plaintiff provides Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment to HHA.

3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid to EHA's counsel, who are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to HHF's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

HHF shall provide its payment for civil penalty and for attorneys' fees and costs to EHA's counsel by physical check or by electronic means, including wire transfers, at HHF's discretion, as follows: forty seven thousand dollars (\$47,000.00) in Attorney's Fees and Costs shall be paid as follows:

- One payment of \$2,250.00, due thirty (30) days after Plaintiff provides Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment.
- One payment of \$2,250.00, due sixty (60) days after Plaintiff provides Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment.
- One payment of \$2,250.00, due ninety (90) days after Plaintiff provides Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment.
- One payment of \$2,250.00, due one hundred twenty (120) days after Plaintiff provides Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment.
- One payment of \$2,250.00, due one hundred fifty (150) days after Plaintiff provides Notice of Entry of the Consent Judgment.

accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Defendant Entities"), each entity to or from whom

Defendant Entities directly or indirectly distribute, provide, receive, ship, sell, or supply the Covered Products, including but not limited to upstream suppliers or downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers and marketplaces, franchisees, franchisors, cooperative members, suppliers, licensees, and licensors, and all of the foregoing entities' owners, directors, shareholders, managers, members, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively with "Defendant Entities," referred to as the "Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to Mercury from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and/or Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to Mercury from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and/or Complaint. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims under Proposition 65 that were or could have been asserted against HHF and/or Releasees for failure to comply with Proposition 65 for alleged exposure to Mercury from Covered Products. This release does not extend to any third-party retailers selling the product on a website who, after receiving instruction from HHF to include a warning as set forth above in section 2.2, do not include such a warning.

4.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, also provides a release to HHF, Amazon, and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to any chemical regulated by Proposition 65 in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by HHF before the Effective Date.

4.3 HHF's Release of EHA

HHF on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Covered Products.

4.4 Mutual Release of Known and Unknown Claims

The Parties, in their individual capacities, certify that they have read the following provisions of California Civil Code Section 1542:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, AND THAT IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

The Parties, in their individual capacities, expressly waive and relinquish all rights and benefits which they may have under California Civil Code Section 1542 to the fullest extent such provisions may lawfully be waived. The Parties acknowledge that this Section 4.4 applies only as between the Parties and does not extend to the general public (but does extend to and include any claim against the Releasees).

No Other Known Claims or Violations

EHA and EHA's counsel affirm that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 by HHF or for which HHF bears legal responsibility other than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment.

4.5 Public Benefit

It is HHF's understanding that the commitments it has agreed to herein, and actions to be taken by HHF under this Consent Judgment confer a significant benefit to the general public, as set forth in Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Cal. Admin. Code tit. 11, § 3201. As such, it is the intent of HHF that to the extent any other private party serves a notice and/or initiates an action alleging a violation of Proposition 65 with respect to HHF's alleged failure to provide a warning concerning actual or alleged exposure to Mercury from the Covered Products it has distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California, or will distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California, such private party action would not confer a significant benefit on the general public as to those Covered Products addressed in this Consent Judgment, provided that HHF is in material compliance with this Consent Judgment.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then HHF may provide written notice to EHA of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

In the event the California Office of Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation or safe use determination, or issues an interpretive guideline that exempts Covered Products from meeting the requirements of Proposition 65; or if Mercury cases are permanently enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction; or if Proposition 65 is determined to be preempted by federal law or a burden on First Amendment rights with respect to Mercury in Covered Products or Covered Products substantially similar to Covered Products, then HHF shall be relieved of its obligation to comply with Section 2 herein.

8. ENFORCEMENT

In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

9. NOTICE

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified

mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier; and (iv) with a copy by email; to the following addresses:

If to HHF:

If to EHA:

Jacob P. Wilson Conkle, Kremer & Engel, PLC 3130 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 500 Santa Monica, CA j.wilson@conklelaw.com Noam Glick Entorno Law, LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101 noam@entornolaw.com

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their reasonable best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

27

24

25

26

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

14. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. HHF shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide EHA with one or more testing results of the Product from an accredited independent third-party laboratory in the United States, demonstrating compliance with Section 2.1. EHA shall then retest its own sample(s), using an accredited independent third-party laboratory in the United States. In the event that both HHF's and EHA's tests do not demonstrate compliance with Section 2.1, the parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to EHA taking any further legal action.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

AGREED TO:

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

AGREED TO:

Date: 1/24/24	Date:/- 23-29
By: ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC.	By: HARMONY HOUSE FOODS, INC.

IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Date:	
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT