| 1 2 3 4 | VINEET DUBEY, STATE BAR NO. 243208 CUSTODIO & DUBEY LLP 445 S. Figueroa St., Suite 2520 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 593-9095 | 248744 |
|------------------|--|---|
| 5 | and the state of t | |
| 6 7 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST | ΓΑΤΕ OF CALIFORNIA |
| 8 | COUNTY OF LOS | ANGELES |
| 9 | (Unlimited Jurisdiction) | |
| 10 | GREEN INITIATIVE, LLC, a California limited liability company, | Case No.: 23STCV13335 |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT |
| 12 | | 1(6) |
| 13 | V. | |
| 14 15 | PROFUSION COSMETICS CORP., a California corporation, | |
| 16 | Defendant. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | × * | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |

 Plaintiff Green Initiative, LLC ("Plaintiff"), and Profusion Cosmetics Corp. ("Defendant") hereby enter into this Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") as follows:

WHEREAS: On or about December 15, 2022, Plaintiff, through Plaintiff's counsel, served a 60 Day Notice (the "Notice") to Defendant, Burlington Coat Factory of Texas, Inc., the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000 (collectively, "Public Prosecutor(s)") alleging that Defendant violated California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq., and its implementing regulations (collectively, "Proposition 65") and that Plaintiff intended to file an enforcement action in the public interest; and

WHEREAS: On or about December 21, 2022, Plaintiff, through Plaintiff's counsel, served a 60 Day Notice (the "Notice") to Defendant, Burlington Coat Factory of Texas, Inc., the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000 (collectively, "Public Prosecutor(s)") alleging that Defendant violated California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq., and its implementing regulations (collectively, "Proposition 65") and that Plaintiff intended to file an enforcement action in the public interest; and

WHEREAS: Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactured and/or distributed cases and bags, including brow kits and brush sets with cases or bags, containing Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), (collectively the "Covered Products") that were sold or distributed for sale in California and further alleges that those Covered Products expose consumers in the State of California to DEHP, which is listed by the State of California pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.8; and

WHEREAS: Plaintiff further alleges that persons in the State of California were exposed to DEHP in Covered Products without being provided the Proposition 65 warning set out at California Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 and its implementing regulations ("Proposition 65").

4

12

17

23

28

Warning");

WHEREAS: Defendant denies the allegations of the Notice, and denies that it has violated Proposition 65 and expressly denies that it has engaged in any wrongdoing whatsoever,

WHEREAS: Plaintiff seeks to provide the public with Proposition 65 warnings and believes that this objective is achieved by the actions described in this Consent Judgment; and

WHEREAS: Plaintiff and Defendant wish to resolve their differences without the delay and expense of litigation.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED AND AGREED UPON AS BETWEEN PLAINTIFF ACTING IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DEFENDANT AS FOLLOWS:

1. Introduction

- 1.1. On December 15, 2022 and on December 21, 2022, Plaintiff served the Notice upon Defendant, upon Burlington Coat Factory of Texas, Inc., and on Public Prosecutors. No Public Prosecutors commenced an enforcement action. No Public Prosecutor having commenced an enforcement action, Plaintiff proceeded to file its Complaint against Defendant in the present action.
 - 1.2. Defendant employs ten (10) or more persons.
- 1.3. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Plaintiff and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that: 1) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violation contained in the Complaint, and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; 2) venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles; and 3) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to the Covered Products, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged in the Notice, in the present action, or arising therefrom or related thereto, with respect to Covered Products, including any Proposition 65 claim arising out of an exposure to Covered Products (collectively, "Proposition 65 Claims").

1.4. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of the Proposition 65 Claims, for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation and of resolving the issues raised therein both as to past and future conduct. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall Defendant's compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and the Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever.

2. DEFINITIONS

2.1. "Effective Date" shall mean, with respect to this Consent Judgment, the date the Consent Judgment has been approved and entered by the Court.

3. Injunctive Relief

- 3.1. Commencing 90 days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell or distribute for sale to consumers in California, or sell directly to consumers in California any Covered Product unless, either (a) the Covered Product complies with the Proposition 65 exemption identified in Section 3.2 below, or (b) the Covered Product is labeled with a warning as prescribed in Sections 3.3-3.4 below. Compliance with this Section 3.1 will constitute compliance by Defendant with all requirements of Proposition 65 relating to DEHP exposure in the Covered Products.
- 3.2. <u>Proposition 65 Exemption for the Covered Products.</u> Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements with respect to DEHP if such Covered Product contains no more than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) of DEHP.
- 3.3. <u>Warning Option.</u> Covered Products that do not meet the warning exemption standard set forth in Section 3.2 above shall be accompanied by a warning as described in Section 3.4 below.

| 3.4. | Warning Language. Where required to meet the criteria set forth in Section | |
|--|--|--|
| 3.3, Defendant shall provide one of the following warning statements on or within the unit | | |
| packaging of the Covered Products, or affixed to the Covered Products, displayed in a reasonably | | |
| conspicuous ma | nner: | |

- (1) WARNING: This product can expose you to [chemicals including] DEHP, which is [are] known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- (2) WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- 3.5. The warnings set forth in (1) or (2) above shall also include a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the sign, label or shelf tag for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING".

4. MONETARY RELIEF

- 4.1. Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$25,000 which includes \$7,000 in civil penalties and \$18,000 in payment of Plaintiff's costs and reasonable attorney's fees. The \$7,000 civil penalty shall be apportioned pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12 (d), with 75%, or \$5,250, paid to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and 25%, or \$1,750, payable to Plaintiff.
- 4.2. The payments specified in Section 4.1. shall be made by wire transfer to Plaintiff's counsel Custodio & Dubey LLP as set forth below. Plaintiffs' counsel will remit the portions due to the State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and to Plaintiff.
 - 4.3. Bank: Bank of America, N.A.

Routing Transit No.: 026009593

Account No.: 325149324377

8

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

23

25

262728

Beneficiary: Custodio & Dubey LLP

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 5.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiff, on behalf of itself, and acting on behalf of the public interest, and Defendant, and all of Defendant's officers, directors, members, shareholders, employees, representatives, attorneys, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively the "Defendant Releasees"), as well as all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain for the Covered Products, including but not limited to Burlington Coat Factory of Texas, Inc., manufacturers, retailers, suppliers, distributors, marketplace hosts, wholesalers, customers, private label customers, franchisees, licensees, licensors, and cooperative members, and all of their officers, directors, members, shareholders, employees, representatives, attorneys, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively, the "Released Parties"), for any alleged violation of Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations, for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products with respect to DEHP, and fully resolves all claims that have been brought, or which could have been brought in this action up to and including the Effective Date. Plaintiff on behalf of itself, and in the public interest, hereby discharges the Defendant Releasees and Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, obligations, debts, losses, fees, costs and expenses asserted with respect to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings about exposures to DEHP for any or all of the Covered Products sold through ninety (90) days after the Effective Date of the Consent Judgment. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant with respect to any alleged failure to warn about DEHP in Covered Products sold or distributed by Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 5.2. Plaintiff, acting in its individual capacity only, and in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendant Releasees and Released Parties from any alleged claim of failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24 25

23

26 27

28

Covered Products that Defendant has sold or caused to be sold in California up to and including the Effective Date.

5.3. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts contained in the Notice or alleged in the Complaint relating to the Covered Products will hereafter be discovered or developed. Plaintiff on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action thereon. Plaintiff and Defendant acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless intend to release such claims, and in doing so waive California Civil Code § 1542 which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

- 5.4. Plaintiff understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 is that even if Plaintiff suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, chemicals in or from the Covered Products, Plaintiff will not be able to make any claim for those damages against any of the Defendant Releasees or the Released Parties.
- 5.5. Compliance by Defendant with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to DEHP in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and/or the Complaint. If the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment promulgates regulations affecting the warning provisions set forth in Section 3 herein, Defendant may comply with those regulations without being deemed in breach of this Consent Judgment.

6. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(F)

6.1. Plaintiff and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

7. Provision Of Notice

7.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice or writing under this Consent Judgment, the notice or writing shall be sent by first class certified mail with return receipt requested, or by electronic mail, as follows:

To Defendant:
Greg Sperla, Esq.
DLA Piper LLP (US)
1415 L Street Suite 270
Sacramento, CA 95814-3976
Greg.Sperla@us.dlapiper.com

To Plaintiff: Vineet Dubey, Esq. Custodio & Dubey LLP 445 S. Figueroa St., Ste 2520 Los Angeles, CA 90071 dubey@cd-lawyers.com

7.2. Any party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice that is transmitted in the manner set forth in section 7.1.

8. COURT APPROVAL

8.1. Upon execution of his Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall prepare and file, at its sole cost and expense, a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment that Defendant shall not oppose. This Consent Judgment shall not become effective until approved and entered by the Court. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, and shall apply only to Covered Products sold in California.

10. Entire Agreement

- 10.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 10.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 10.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- 10.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby, and approved and ordered by the Court.
- 10.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

12. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

12.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude Plaintiff from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0 10 Π 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

13. Execution In Counterparts

13.1. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, and all of which, taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution of the Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means, shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the original.

14. AUTHORIZATION

[14.1.] The undersigned are authorized to stipulate to, enter into, and execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties, and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

15. SEVERABILITY

15.1. If subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any part or provision is declared by a Court to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining portions or provisions shall continue in full force and effect to the extent they implement the Parties¹ intent.

AGREED TO:

Green Initiative LLC

August 31 Date: July

By.

Hailey Olsen, Managing Member

AGREED TO:

Profusion Cosmeties Corp.

Date: July 2023

By:

27

28

Eton Wang.

| 1 | | |
|------------|--|--|
| 2 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health & | |
| 3 | Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Dated: | |
| 6 | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | î . | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 24 | | |
| 24 25 | | |
| - 1 | | |
| 26 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| 20 | | |