

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC (“**CCPG**”) and Tacony Corporation (“**Tacony**”) enter into this Settlement Agreement and Release (this “**Agreement**”). This Agreement is effective on the date on which it is fully executed by all Parties (“**Effective Date**”). CCPG and Tacony are referred to individually as a “**Party**” and collectively as the “**Parties**.” The Parties agree as follows:

1. The “**Matter**” arises out of a Sixty-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for alleged Violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified under California Health & Safety Code (“**HSC**”) sections 25249.5, *et seq.* (referred to as “**Proposition 65**”), that CCPG served on January 20, 2023 filed as AG No. 2023-00203, on Tacony, Sew Group International, SSS Pty Ltd., and Jo-ann Stores, LLC (the “**Notice**”), with respect to a fat quarter bag product (as defined below) sold by Tacony and/or the other noticed parties. The Notice is attached to this Agreement as Exhibit 1. The Notice alleges that Proposition 65 warnings are required for alleged consumer exposure to Di(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (“**DEHP**”) from use of the product. The following product manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Tacony is referred to as the “**Covered Product**” under this Agreement:

- **Sew Easy, Fat Quarter Bag, Item# MR4697/NAVY, UPC: 9317385147239)**

2. The Parties enter into this Agreement to fully resolve all claims, demands, and allegations regarding the Matter and for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law including but not limited to Proposition 65. Nothing in this Agreement or any document referred to herein shall be construed as giving rise to any presumption or inference of admission or concession by the Parties as to any fault, wrongdoing, or liability. This Section 2 shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the Parties under this Agreement.

3. **DEHP Standards; Warnings.**

Tacony hereby represents that it has ceased its distribution of the Covered Product. If Tacony distributes the Covered Product after the Effective Date, Tacony will abide by the provisions set forth in Section 3 below.

3.1 On and after the date that is ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, Tacony shall not distribute or sell in the State of California a Covered Product which does not qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product under Section 3.3, unless such Covered Product complies with the warning requirements of Section 3.2.


3.2 On and after ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, Tacony shall provide on the Covered Product (to the extent it does not constitute a Reformulated Covered Product) a warning that complies with the requirements of Section 3.2. The warning shall be displayed on the product or product packaging of the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary

individual purchasing or using the Covered Product.

Respecting the warnings defined in Sections 3.2.1 or 3.2.2, the warning must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the product. In no case shall the warning appear in a type size smaller than 6-point type.

3.2.1 Option 1.

For the Covered Product, the warning may state:

 **WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals, including Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate (DEHP), which are known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information, go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.**

3.2.2 Option 2.

For the Covered Product, the warning may state:

 **WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.**

The pictogram specified in Section 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 shall be in yellow with a black exclamation mark; *provided however*, the pictogram may be in white instead of yellow if the Covered Product label or packaging does not contain the color commonly referred to as yellow.

3.2.3 Option 3.

In lieu of Option 1 or Option 2 set forth in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, the Covered Product(s) may be labeled with any warning authorized by any Proposition 65 law or regulation in effect on or after the Effective Date.

3.2.4 Internet Sales. In addition to affixing the warning or alternative warning as provided for above to the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, the warning or alternative warning shall be posted on websites where Tacony or other noticed parties offer the Covered Product for sale to consumers in California. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the warning or alternative warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "**WARNING**," appears on the product display page, or by otherwise "prominently displaying" the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. For purposes of this subsection, a warning is not "prominently displayed" if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.

3.2.5 Language Other than English. Although Tacony contends, this subsection is not applicable to the Covered Product sold by Tacony, in the future, if it is applicable, where the label or packaging of the Covered Product used to provide a warning includes consumer information about the Covered Product in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English.

3.3 Reformulated Covered Product. A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the concentration of DEHP is less than 0.1% by weight (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) as tested by an approved laboratory and methods in compliance with Section 3.4 below. For a Covered Product that contains (any part of the product/packaging) 0.1% by weight (1,000 ppm), or more, DEHP, Tacony shall provide the warnings set forth in Section 3.2.

3.4. Formula, Testing and Quality Control Methodology.

3.4.1 For purposes of this Agreement, exposure levels shall be measured in parts per million (milligrams/kilogram or mg/kg) by generally accepted scientific standards. The testing requirements do not apply to any Covered Product for which Tacony has provided a warning as specified in Section 3.2.

3.4.2 Tacony shall not be required to engage in testing pursuant to this Agreement unless Tacony sells into California the Covered Product without a warning. All testing pursuant to this Agreement shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with any United States Environmental Protection Agency (“USEPA”) or California Environmental Protection Agency (“CAEPA”) approved testing method.

3.4.3 Unless warnings are affixed consistent with the requirements of Section 3.2, all testing pursuant to this Agreement shall be performed by an independent third-party laboratory approved by, accredited by, or registered with the CAEPA or USEPA. Testing shall be performed prior to the Tacony’s “first sale” in California of the Covered Product ordered for production after the Effective Date, and testing shall continue thereafter at least once per year for three (3) consecutive years after the Effective Date (the “**Testing Period**”), after which time, no further testing shall be required under this Agreement, unless, after the Testing Period, Tacony changes suppliers for the Covered Product, then Tacony shall test the Covered Product at least once after such change is made.

3.5 Tacony and the “Releasees” (as defined in Section 5.1 below) shall have no obligation or liability with respect to Covered Product that is sold or distributed in California prior to or after the date of this Agreement and the Notice, except as otherwise set forth in this Agreement.

4. Tacony shall pay the total settlement amount of Nineteen Thousand Dollars (\$19,000) (the “**Settlement Amount**”) within ten (10) days of the Effective Date. Tacony shall pay the Settlement Amount by wire transfer (via account wire instructions provided by CCPG’s counsel upon request), or checks made payable to “*Khansari Law Corporation – Client Trust Account*” and sent via overnight with tracking (FedEx, UPS, or Express mail) to the mailing address below on behalf of CCPG:

Khansari Law Corporation
16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200
Encino, CA 91436

CCPG shall be solely responsible for allocating the payment pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2. As a condition to Tacony’s payment obligations arising under this Agreement, CCPG shall supply Tacony with a completed W9 form on behalf of itself or its counsel, as applicable. The Settlement Amount shall be allocated as follows:

4.1. \$500 shall be considered a “civil penalty”, of which CCPG shall remit seventy-five percent (75%) to the “Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund” managed by the State of California’s Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. The twenty-five percent (25%) balance shall be remitted to CCPG.

4.2 \$18,500 shall be considered reimbursement of CCPG's attorneys' fees and costs related to the Matter.

4.3 Except as expressly set forth in Section 4, the Parties shall bear their own costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees related to this Matter.

5. Binding Effect; Claims Covered and Released.

5.1. CCPG, on behalf of itself and its respective owners, principals, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, parents, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, and legal representatives (collectively referred to as "**CCPG Releasors**") fully releases and waives any right to participate (directly or indirectly) in any litigation against (a) Tacony, and its respective equity owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, sister and related companies, (b) each of their upstream suppliers and all downstream entities in the stream of commerce including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees (including but not limited to Sew Group International, SSS Pty Ltd., and Jo-ann Stores, LLC), and (c) the employees, shareholders, officers, directors, members, managers, equity owners, insurers, attorneys, predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of the entities identified in subsections (a) and (b), above (the entities identified in subsections (a), (b) and (c), above, are collectively referred to as "**Releasees**") from all claims, actions, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to attorneys' fees, investigator fees, and expert fees), costs, and expenses (collectively referred to as "**Claims**") that were asserted, or that could have been asserted, for any alleged violations of Proposition 65, or any other alleged violation of statutory or common law, arising from alleged exposures to DEHP in the Covered Product.

5.2. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and relating to the Covered Product will develop or be discovered. CCPG on behalf of itself and the CCPG Releasors, acknowledges that this Agreement is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims, including all rights of action therefore, and further acknowledges that the Claims released in this Section 5 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

CCPG acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542.

5.3. The Parties agree that compliance with the terms of this Agreement shall constitute compliance by any Releasee with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to DEHP in the Covered Product.

5.4 It is the Parties' position that the commitments they have agreed to herein, and actions taken and to be taken by Tacony under this Agreement, confer a significant benefit to the general public, as set forth in California Civil Procedure Code section 1021.5 and Cal. Admin. Code Title 11, section 3201. As such, to the extent any other private party initiates an action alleging a violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Tacony or any Releasee's failure to provide a warning concerning exposures to DEHP with respect to the Covered Product they have respectively manufactured, distributed, sold, licensed, or offered for sale in California, or will manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California; such private party action would not confer a significant benefit on the general public as to the Covered Product addressed in this Agreement, provided that Tacony is in compliance with this Agreement. This subsection 5.4 does not constitute a release by CCPG under this Agreement.

6. Resolution of Disputes.

6.1 If CCPG alleges that Tacony has failed to comply with this Agreement, prior to filing an action or motion relating to enforcement, CCPG shall first provide to Tacony thirty (30) days' advance written notice of the alleged violation(s). CCPG shall provide testing results, lot numbers, photographs of the Covered Product, and purchase receipts for the Covered Product at issue in the alleged violation, as applicable. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation without the need for litigation.

6.2 Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 3, CCPG may not issue any notice under Section 6.1 if the packaging of a Covered Product is marked or labeled with the statement "Not for Sale in California" or substantially similar language, such statement is prominently placed upon such Covered Product's label or other labeling as compared with other words or statements on the label or labeling as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. If Tacony marks or labels the Covered Product with such a statement, Tacony shall additionally notify its customers/distributors by correspondence that the Covered Product shall not be sold in California.

6.3 In the event any litigation, arbitration, mediation, or other proceeding is initiated by any party to enforce this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover from the non-prevailing Party all costs, expenses, reasonable attorneys' fees, and expert witness fees, relating to or arising out of (i) such proceeding, and (ii) any post judgment or post-award proceeding including without limitation to enforce any judgment or award resulting from any such proceeding.

7. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Parties with regard to settlement of this Matter, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements or understandings, written or oral, with regard to the matters set forth in this Agreement. This Agreement may be amended or modified in whole or in part at any time only by an agreement in writing executed by the Parties. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Tacony shall be entitled, at their option, to modify any warning that it provides under Section 3.2 to conform with any change in the Proposition 65 warning regulations currently set forth in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations that may be adopted after the Effective Date. CCPG shall cause this Agreement to be reported to the State of California Attorney General as required and applicable under Proposition 65 statutes, including specifically HSC § 25249.7.

8. This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective owners, principals, shareholders, members, managers, officers, directors, employees, agents, successors, assigns and Releasees.

9. No inference, assumption or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Agreement shall be construed against any of the Parties, based upon the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' attorneys prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of this Agreement. It is conclusively presumed that the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Agreement.

10. This Agreement shall be deemed to have been entered into in the State of California and governed and interpreted by the laws of the State of California, regardless of the place of incorporation, place of business, domicile of any of the Parties or physical locations of the individuals executing this Agreement at the time of execution.

11. The Parties acknowledge that they have a right to consult an attorney and they have consulted their attorneys with respect to the terms and conditions of this Agreement or by signing this Agreement hereby acknowledge they have made the decision not to consult with an attorney in this Matter. The Parties further acknowledge that they fully understand this Agreement and the effect of signing and executing this Agreement.

12. Any legal action to enforce this Agreement or related to this Matter shall be brought in either the County of Alameda or the County of Los Angeles, of the State of California.

13. This Agreement may be signed in counterparts, and each counterpart, as well as any facsimile, e-mail (.pdf), DocuSign, copy of this Agreement, or any other counterpart, shall be deemed to be an original.

14. All notices required to be given to either Party under this Agreement shall be in writing and sent to the following recipients by (a) first-class mail or (b) overnight delivery, with a courtesy copy via email, as indicated below.

For CCPG:

CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC
c/o Khansari Law Corporation
16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200
Encino, California 91436
Fax: (818) 650-6445
Tel.: (818) 650-6444
Email: andre@khansarilaw.com

For Tacony:

Matthew J. Reh
Armstrong Teasdale LLP
7700 Forsyth, Suite 1800
St. Louis, Missouri 63105
Fax: 314-621-5065
Tel.: 314-621-5070
Email: mrehab@atlpl.com

15. Each of the individuals who executes this Agreement represents and warrants he/she has the authority to execute this document and bind the respective Parties to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, and has read, understood, and agreed to all the terms and conditions in this Agreement.

DATED: April 11, 2024

CA CITIZEN PROTECTION GROUP, LLC

By: Tal Ohana

Name: Tal Ohana

Title: Manager

DATED: April 9, 2024

TACONY CORPORATION

By: Kristen Tacony Humes

Name: Kristin Tacony Humes

Title: CEO

EXHIBIT 1

Notice of Violations (AG 2023-00303)

January 20, 2023**VIA CERTIFIED MAIL**

Kristin Tacony Humes, President or CEO
Tacony Corporation
c/o Shannon Wilson (Registered agent)
1760 Gilsinn Lane
Fenton, Missouri 63055

Kristin Tacony Humes, President or CEO
Tacony Corporation
c/o Registered Agents Inc. (Registered agent)
1401 21st Street, Suite R
Sacramento, California 95811

Wade Miquelon, Manager or Managing Member
Jo-ann Stores, LLC
5555 Darrow Road
Hudson, Ohio 44236

Wade Miquelon, Manager or Managing Member
Jo-ann Stores, LLC
c/o Ryan Wamper (Registered agent)
818 West 7th Street
Los Angeles, California 90017

VIA U.S. MAIL and EMAIL

District Attorney's Office for all Counties in California
and applicable City Attorneys
(See Attached - Certificate of Service)

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Wade Miquelon, Manager or Managing Member
Jo-ann Stores, LLC
c/o CT Corporation System (Agent)
4400 Easton Commons Way, Suite 125
Columbus, Ohio 43219

VIA REGISTERED INTERNATIONAL MAIL

Current President or CEO
SSS Pty Ltd.
16 Valediction Road
Kings Park
New South Wales, 2148 Australia

Current President or CEO
SSS Pty Ltd.
P.O. BOX 4189
Marayong NSW 2148 Australia

Current President or CEO
Sew Group International
12/F, D.J. Building
173 Hoi Bun Road
Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

State of California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting
Filing link: oag.ca.gov/prop65

**Sixty-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Safe Drinking Water
and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986**

(California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.)

Dear Alleged Violators and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

We represent CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC ("CCPG"), an organization dedicated to reducing the amount of chemical toxins in consumer products, the promotion of human health, environmental safety, and improvement of worker and consumer safety.

Through this Notice of Violations (this “**Notice**”), CCPG is acting “in the public interest” pursuant to “Proposition 65” (as defined below), and seeks to reduce and/or eliminate exposures to toxic chemicals, including Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (“**DEHP**”), by consumers and workers from exposure to DEHP in household goods, and other consumer goods manufactured, produced, distributed and/or sold by, Tacony Corporation, Sew Group International, SSS Pty Ltd., and Jo-ann Stores, LLC (collectively, the “**Noticed Parties**”), among other retailers, distributors and/or sellers.

This Notice constitutes written notification that the Noticed Parties have violated the warning requirements of The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act (codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5, *et seq*) (“**Proposition 65**”). The product subject to this Notice (the “**specified product**”) and the chemical in the specified product identified as exceeding allowable levels are the following:

- **Sew Easy, Fat Quarter Bag, Item# MR4697/NAVY, UPC: 9317385147239 – DEHP**

The Noticed Parties have manufactured, marketed, distributed and/or sold the specified product, as applicable, which has exposed and continues to expose numerous individuals within California to DEHP. DEHP was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer on January 01, 1988, and reproductive toxicity on October 24, 2003.

With respect to the specified product listed above, the violations: commenced on the latter of the date that the specified product were first offered for sale in California or the date upon which California law codified the allowable level of the relevant chemical surpassed by the specified product; has continued every day since the relevant date the violations commenced; and will continue every day henceforth until DEHP are removed from the specified product, reduced to allowable levels, or until a “clear and reasonable” warning is provided to consumers by the Noticed Parties in accordance with the law.

The primary route of exposure has been through contact with human skin in using, carrying, opening and closing, handling or touching the specified product in the course of using or handling the specified product, resulting in dermal exposure to plasticizers, and oral exposure from activities involving direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, trans-dermal absorption, or breathing in particulate matter emanating from the specified product, as well as through environmental mediums that carry the DEHP contained within the specified product.

Proposition 65 requires that a “clear and reasonable” warning be provided prior to exposure to certain listed chemicals. The Noticed Parties are in violation of Proposition 65 because the Noticed Parties have failed to provide a warning to consumers that they

are being exposed to DEHP. While in the course of doing business, the Noticed Parties are “knowingly and intentionally” exposing consumers to DEHP without first providing a “clear and reasonable” warning. See Cal. Health and Safety Code § 25249.6. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product’s label. See Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 25602, subd. (a)(3), and subd. (b) for internet purchases, as applicable.

The Noticed Parties have not provided any Proposition 65 warnings on the specified product’s label or any other appropriate warnings that persons handling and/or otherwise using the specified product are being exposed to DEHP.

Proposition 65 requires that notice and intent to sue be provided to a violator 60-days before a suit is filed in connection therewith. With this Notice, CCPG gives written notice of the alleged violations to the Noticed Parties and the appropriate governmental authorities.

This Notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 that are currently known to the noticing party from information now available as specifically related to the specified product sold through or by the Noticed Parties. CCPG is continuing its investigation that may reveal further violations.

Pursuant to Title 27, C.C.R. § 25903(b), copies of the documents entitled (i) “The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary”, referenced as Appendix “A”, and (ii) “The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): Special Compliance Procedure”, referenced as Appendix “B”, are attached hereto for reference by the Noticed Parties, as applicable. Please review for applicability, however, note the “Special Compliance Procedure” is only available for certain products and under certain conditions as explained in Appendix B.


Pursuant to Title 11, C.C.R. § 3100, a “Certificate of Merit” is attached hereto.

CCPG is interested in a prompt resolution of this matter with an enforceable written agreement by the Noticed Parties to (1) eliminate or reduce DEHP to an allowable level in, or provide appropriate warning on the label of, the specified product; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures and expensive and time-consuming litigation.

In keeping with its public interest mission and to expeditiously rectify these ongoing violations of California law, CCPG is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter without engaging in costly and protracted litigation. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice to my office on behalf of CCPG.

If you have any questions, please contact my office at your earliest convenience.
Thank you for your time and consideration with respect to this urgent matter.

Sincerely,
KHANSARI LAW CORP., APC



Andre A. Khansari, Esq.
(Attachments)

Attachments:

1. Certificate of Merit;
2. Certificate of Service;
3. Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to Attorney General only); and
4. Appendix "A" – "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary", and Appendix "B" – "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): Special Compliance Procedure" (to the Noticed Parties only)

Cc: CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC (via email only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

**Re: CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC's Notice of Proposition 65
Violations by Tacony Corporation, Sew Group International,
SSS Pty Ltd., and Jo-ann Stores, LLC**

I, Andre A. Khansari, hereby declare:

1. This Certificate of Merit (this "Certificate") accompanies the attached Notice of Violations dated January 20, 2023 (the "NOV") in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the NOV ("alleged violators") have violated California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
2. I am the attorney for the noticing party CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC. The NOV alleges that the alleged violators have exposed persons in California to the listed chemical that is the subject of this Certificate. Please refer to the NOV for additional details regarding the product(s) name(s) and alleged violations.
3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of this Certificate. I have reviewed the laboratory testing results for the chemical subject to the NOV and relied on the results. The testing was conducted by a reputable testing laboratory, with proper accreditation, and by experienced scientists. The facts, studies and other data derived through this investigation demonstrate that the alleged violators expose persons, including workers, to the listed chemical that is the subject of this Certificate.
4. Based on the information obtained through these consultants and on other information in my possession, I believe there is sufficient evidence that the listed product(s) in the NOV expose(s) individuals to unlawful levels of the specified chemical. Furthermore, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established, and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
5. The copy of this Certificate served on the California Attorney General attaches to it information sufficient to establish the basis for this Certificate, including the information identified in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 (h)(2), i.e. (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 20, 2023



Andre A. Khansari, Esq.
Attorney for CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC

APPENDIX A

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html>. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: <http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html>.

WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to

¹ All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>.

female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/Newlist.html.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be “clear and reasonable.” This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

Prohibition from discharges into drinking water. A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html>) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

Grace Period. Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

Governmental agencies and public water utilities. All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

Businesses with nine or fewer employees. Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses “no significant risk.” This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific “No Significant Risk Levels” (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question. For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the “no observable effect level” divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: <http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html> for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food. Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant² it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a “significant amount” of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a “significant amount” of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A “significant amount” means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the “no significant risk” level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the “no observable effect” level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

² See Section 25501(a)(4).

HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at:
<http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at
P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

APPENDIX B

OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): SPECIAL COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE

This Appendix B contains the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). Under the Act, a private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. These exposures are:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

A private party may not file an action against the alleged violator for these exposures, or recover in a settlement any payment in lieu of penalties any reimbursement for costs and attorney's fees, if the alleged violator has done *all* of the following within 14 days of being served notice:

- Corrected the alleged violation;
- Agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$500 (subject to change in 2019 and every five years thereafter) to the private party within 30 days; and

- Notified the private party serving the notice in writing that the violation has been corrected.

An alleged violator may satisfy these conditions only one time for a violation arising from the same exposure in the same facility or on the same premises. The satisfaction of these conditions does not prevent the Attorney General, a district attorney, a city attorney of a city of greater than 750,000 population, or any full-time city prosecutor with the consent of the district attorney, from filing an enforcement action against an alleged violator.

When a private party sends a notice of alleged violation that alleges one or more of the exposures listed above, the notice must include a notice of special compliance procedure, and a proof of compliance form to be completed by the alleged violator as directed in the notice.

The notice and proof of compliance form is reproduced here:

Date:

Page 1

Name of Noticing Party or attorney for Noticing Party:

Address:

Phone number:

SPECIAL COMPLIANCE PROCEDURE
PROOF OF COMPLIANCE

You are receiving this form because the Noticing Party listed above has alleged that you are violating California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 (Prop. 65).

The Noticing Party may not bring any legal proceedings against you for the alleged violation checked below if:

(1) You have actually taken the corrective steps that you have certified in this form.

(2) The Noticing Party has received this form at the address shown above, accurately completed by you, postmarked within 14 days of your receiving this notice.

(3) The Noticing Party receives the required \$500 penalty payment from you at the address shown above postmarked within 30 days of your receiving this notice.

(4) This is the first time you have submitted a Proof of Compliance for a violation arising from the same exposure in the same facility on the same premises.

PART 1: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE NOTICING PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR THE NOTICING PARTY

The alleged violation is for an exposure to: (check one)

___Alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent on-site consumption is permitted by law.

___A chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises for immediate consumption on or off premises to the extent: (1) the chemical was not intentionally added; and (2) the chemical was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination.

___Environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises.

___Chemicals known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking noncommercial vehicles.

IMPORTANT NOTES:

- (1) You have no potential liability under California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 if your business has nine (9) or fewer employees.
- (2) Using this form will NOT prevent the Attorney General, a district attorney, a city attorney, or a prosecutor in whose jurisdiction the violation is alleged to have occurred from filing an action over the same alleged violations, and that in any such action, the amount of civil penalty shall be reduced to reflect any payment made at this time.

Date:

Page 2

Name of Noticing Party or attorney for Noticing Party:

Address:

Phone number:

PART 2: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ALLEGED VIOLATOR OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

Certification of Compliance

Accurate completion of this form will demonstrate that you are now in compliance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 for the alleged violation listed above. You must complete and submit the form below to the Noticing Party at the address shown above, postmarked within 14 days of you receiving this notice.

I hereby agree to pay, within 30 days of completion of this notice, a civil penalty of \$500 to the Noticing Party only and certify that I have complied with Health and Safety Code §25249.6 by (check only one of the following):

☐ Posting a warning or warnings about the alleged exposure that complies with the law, and attaching a copy of that warning and a photograph accurately showing its placement on my premises;

☐ Posting the warning or warnings demanded in writing by the Noticing Party, and attaching a copy of that warning and a photograph accurately showing its placement on my premises; OR

☐ Eliminating the alleged exposure, and attaching a statement accurately describing how the alleged exposure has been eliminated.

Certification

My statements on this form, and on any attachments to it, are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and are made in good faith. I have carefully read the instructions to complete this form. I understand that if I make a false statement on this form, I may be subject to additional penalties under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65).

Signature of alleged violator or authorized representative

Date

Name and title of signatory

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action or process. My business address is **16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200, Encino, California 91436.**

On January 20, 2023, I served the following documents:

- (i) **Sixty-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 by CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC's Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 by Tacony Corporation, Sew Group International, SSS Pty Ltd., and Jo-ann Stores, LLC, for Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.,**
- (ii) **Certificate of Merit,**
- (iii) **Appendix "A" – "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary", and Appendix "B" – "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): Special Compliance Procedure" (to the Noticed Party only), and**
- (iv) **Certificate of Service,**

on the following party(ies) by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party below, and causing each envelope to be deposited at a United States Postal Service Office in Los Angeles, California for delivery by Certified Mail or Registered International Mail, as applicable:

Kristin Tacony Humes, President or CEO
Tacony Corporation
c/o Shannon Wilson (Registered agent)
1760 Gilsinn Lane
Fenton, MO 63055

Wade Miquelon, Manager or Managing
Member
Jo-ann Stores, LLC
5555 Darrow Road
Hudson, OH 44236

Kristin Tacony Humes, President or CEO
Tacony Corporation
c/o Registered Agents Inc. (Registered agent)
1401 21st Street, Suite R
Sacramento, CA 95811

Wade Miquelon, Manager or Managing
Member
Jo-ann Stores, LLC
c/o Ryan Wamper (Registered agent)
818 West 7th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Via Registered International Mail:

Current President or CEO
SSS Pty Ltd.
16 Valediction Road
Kings Park
New South Wales, 2148 Australia

Wade Miquelon, Manager or Managing
Member

Jo-ann Stores, LLC
c/o CT Corporation System (Agent)
4400 Easton Commons Way, Suite 125
Columbus, OH 43219

Via Registered International Mail:

Current President or CEO
SSS Pty Ltd.
P.O. BOX 4189
Marayong NSW 2148 Australia

Via Registered International Mail:

Current President or CEO
Sew Group International
12/F, D.J. Building
173 Hoi Bun Road
Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong

(continued on next page)

On January 20, 2023, I served the following documents:

- (i) **Sixty-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 by CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC's Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 by Tacony Corporation, Sew Group International, SSS Pty Ltd., and Jo-ann Stores, LLC, for Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.,**
- (i) **Certificate of Merit,**
- (ii) **Additional Information and Supporting Documentation Required by Title 11, C.C.R. §3102, and**
- (iii) **Certificate of Service,**

on the following party by filing electronically a true and correct copy thereof as permitted through the website of the California Office of the Attorney General via link at oag.ca.gov/prop65:

State of California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General

On January 20, 2023, I served the following documents:

- (i) **Sixty-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 by CA Citizen Protection Group, LLC's Notice of Intent to Sue for Violations of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 by Tacony Corporation, Sew Group International, SSS Pty Ltd., and Jo-ann Stores, LLC, for Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.,**
- (i) **Certificate of Merit, and**
- (ii) **Certificate of Service,**

on each of the parties on the service list attached hereto (see attached "**Service List**") by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the District Attorney and City Attorney offices listed on the attached Service List, and causing each envelope to be deposited at a United States Postal Service mail box for delivery by First Class Mail, except for the Contra Costa County District Attorney, Lassen County District Attorney, Riverside County District Attorney, Sacramento County District Attorney, San Francisco County District Attorney, Napa County District Attorney, San Joaquin County District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County District Attorney, Santa Clara County District Attorney, Sonoma County District Attorney, Tulare County District Attorney, Ventura County District Attorney, Monterey County District Attorney, Yolo County District Attorney, Santa Barbara County District Attorney, Alameda County District Attorney, San Francisco City Attorney, Calaveras County District Attorney, Inyo County District Attorney, Santa Cruz County District Attorney, San Diego City Attorney, Mariposa County District Attorney, Merced County District Attorney, Nevada County District Attorney, Placer County District Attorney, Plumas County District Attorney, San Diego County District Attorney, Fresno County District Attorney, Santa Clara City Attorney, and Orange County District Attorney, all of whom have requested electronic service only via the following email addresses: sgrassini@contracostada.org; mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us; prop65@sacda.org; Alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org; cepd@countyofnapa.org; daconsumer.environmental@sjcda.org; edobroth@co.slo.ca.us; epu@da.sccgov.org; Jeannie.Barnes@sonoma-county.org; prop65@co.tulare.ca.us; daspecialops@ventura.org; Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us; cfepd@yolocounty.org; DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us; CEPDProp65@acgov.org; Valerie.lopez@sfcityattys.org; Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us; inyoda@inyocounty.us; Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us; CityAttyProp65@sanidiego.gov; mcda@mariposacounty.org; Prop65@countyofmerced.com; DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us; prop65@placer.ca.gov; davidhollister@countyofplumas.com; SanDiegoDAProp65@sdccda.org; consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov; Proposition65notices@sanjoseca.gov; and Prop65Notice@da.ocgov.com.

I, Peter T. Sato, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 20, 2023, in the City and County of Los Angeles, California.



Peter T. Sato

SERVICE LIST

Page 1 of 3

DISTRICT ATTORNEY ALAMEDA COUNTY 1225 FALLON STREET, SUITE 900 OAKLAND, CA 94612 CEPDProp65@acgov.org	DISTRICT ATTORNEY KERN COUNTY 1215 TRUXTUN AVENUE BAKERSFIELD, CA 93301	DISTRICT ATTORNEY NEVADA COUNTY 201 COMMERCIAL STREET NEVADA CITY, CA 95959 DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us
DISTRICT ATTORNEY ALPINE COUNTY P.O. BOX 248 MARKLEEVILLE, CA 96120	DISTRICT ATTORNEY KINGS COUNTY 1400 WEST LACEY BLVD. HANFORD, CA 93230	DISTRICT ATTORNEY ORANGE COUNTY P.O. BOX 808 SANTA ANA, CA 92702 Prop65Notice@da.ocgov.com
DISTRICT ATTORNEY AMADOR COUNTY 708 COURT STREET, SUITE 202 JACKSON, CA 95642	DISTRICT ATTORNEY LAKE COUNTY 255 N. FORBES STREET LAKEPORT, CA 95453	DISTRICT ATTORNEY PLACER COUNTY 10810 JUSTICE CENTER DRIVE ROSEVILLE, CA 95678 Prop65@placer.ca.gov
DISTRICT ATTORNEY BUTTE COUNTY 25 COUNTY CENTER DRIVE, STE 245 OROVILLE, CA 95965	DISTRICT ATTORNEY LASSEN COUNTY 220 SOUTH LASSEN STREET, SUITE 8 SUSANVILLE, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us	DISTRICT ATTORNEY PLUMAS COUNTY 520 MAIN STREET QUINCY, CA 95911 davidhollister@countyofplumas.com
DISTRICT ATTORNEY CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 MOUNTAIN RANCH ROAD SAN ANDREAS, CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	DISTRICT ATTORNEY LOS ANGELES COUNTY 210 WEST TEMPLE STREET, STE 18000 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012	DISTRICT ATTORNEY RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3072 ORANGE STREET RIVERSIDE, CA 92501
DISTRICT ATTORNEY COLUSA COUNTY 346 FIFTH STREET SUITE 101 COLUSA, CA 95932	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MADERA COUNTY 209 WEST YOSEMITE AVENUE MADERA, CA 93637	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 "G" STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org
DISTRICT ATTORNEY CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 900 WARD STREET. MARTINEZ, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MARIN COUNTY 350 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE, RM. 130 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAN BENITO COUNTY 419 4TH STREET HOLLISTER, CA 95023
DISTRICT ATTORNEY DEL NORTE COUNTY 450 H STREET SUITE 171 CRESCENT CITY, CA 95531	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MARIPOSA COUNTY POST OFFICE BOX 730 MARIPOSA, CA 95338 mcda@mariposacounty.org	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY 316 N. MOUNTAIN VIEW AVENUE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415
DISTRICT ATTORNEY EL DORADO COUNTY 778 PACIFIC STREET PLACERVILLE, CA 95667	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MENDOCINO COUNTY P. O. BOX 1000 UKIAH, CA 95482	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 WEST BROADWAY SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 SanDiegoDAProp65@sdacda.org
DISTRICT ATTORNEY FRESNO COUNTY 2220 TULARE STREET FRESNO, CA 93721 consumerprotection@fresnocountyca.gov	DISTRICT ATTORNEY MERCED COUNTY 550 W. MAIN STREET MERCED, CA 95340 Prop65@countyofmerced.com	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 350 RHODE ISLAND STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103 Alexandra.grayner@sfgov.org

SERVICE LIST

Page 2 of 3

DISTRICT ATTORNEY GLENN COUNTY POST OFFICE BOX 430 WILLOWS, CA 95988	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAN MATEO COUNTY 400 COUNTY CTR., 3RD FLOOR REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUTTER COUNTY 446 SECOND STREET YUBA CITY, CA 95991
DISTRICT ATTORNEY HUMBOLDT COUNTY 825 5TH STREET, 4TH FLOOR EUREKA, CA 95501	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 SANTA BARBARA STREET SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us	DISTRICT ATTORNEY TEHAMA COUNTY P.O. BOX 519 RED BLUFF CA 96080
DISTRICT ATTORNEY IMPERIAL COUNTY 940 WEST MAIN STREET, STE 102 EL CENTRO, CA 92243	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SANTA CLARA COUNTY 70 WEST HEDDING STREET SAN JOSE, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org	DISTRICT ATTORNEY TRINITY COUNTY P. O. BOX 310 WEAVERVILLE, CA 96093
DISTRICT ATTORNEY INYO COUNTY 168 NORTH EDWARDS STREET INDEPENDENCE, CA 93526 inyoda@inyocounty.us	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SANTA CRUZ COUNTY 701 OCEAN STREET SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us	DISTRICT ATTORNEY TULARE COUNTY 221 S. MOONEY BLVD. VISALIA, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us
DISTRICT ATTORNEY MODOC COUNTY 204 S. COURT STREET, ROOM 202 ALTURAS, CA 96101	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SHASTA COUNTY 1355 WEST STREET REDDING, CA 96001	DISTRICT ATTORNEY TUOLUMNE COUNTY 423 N. WASHINGTON ST. SONORA, CA 95370
DISTRICT ATTORNEY MONO COUNTY P. O. BOX 617 BRIDGEPORT, CA 93517	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SIERRA COUNTY P.O. BOX 457 DOWNIEVILLE, CA 95936	DISTRICT ATTORNEY VENTURA COUNTY 800 SOUTH VICTORIA AVE VENTURA, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org
SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY 1390 MARKET STREET, 7TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 Valerie.lopez@sfcityatty.org	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SISKIYOU COUNTY P. O. BOX 986 YREKA, CA 96097	BERKELEY CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 2180 MILVIA STREET, 4TH FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704
DISTRICT ATTORNEY NAPA COUNTY 1127 First Street, Suite C NAPA, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SOLANO COUNTY 675 TEXAS STREET, STE 4500 FAIRFIELD, CA 94533	DISTRICT ATTORNEY YUBA COUNTY 215 FIFTH STREET, SUITE 152 MARYSVILLE, CA 95901
DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY 222 E. WEBER AVE., RM. 202 STOCKTON, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org	DISTRICT ATTORNEY SONOMA COUNTY 600 ADMINISTRATIVE DRIVE SONOMA, CA 95403 Jeannie.barnes@sonoma-county.org	LOS ANGELES CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CITY HALL EAST 200 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 800 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
SAN JOSE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 200 E. SANTA CLARA STREET, 16th Floor SAN JOSE, CA 96113	DISTRICT ATTORNEY STANISLAUS COUNTY 83212 STREET, SUITE 300 MODESTO, CA 95354	SAN DIEGO CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1200 THIRD AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

SERVICE LIST

Page 3 of 3

DISTRICT ATTORNEY MONTEREY COUNTY 1200 AGUAJITO ROAD MONTEREY, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us	DISTRICT ATTORNEY YOLO COUNTY 301 SECOND STREET WOODLAND, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org	OAKLAND CITY ATTORNEY CITY HALL, 6TH FLOOR 1 FRANK OGAWA PLAZA OAKLAND, CA 94612
DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER ANNEX, 4th FLOOR SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us		