Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (Bar No. 223381) 1 p65@manninglaw.com MANNING LAW, APC 26100 Towne Center Drive 3 Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 Tel: (949) 200-8755 4 Fax:(866) 843-8308 5 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 Calsafe Research Center, Inc. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 16 17 CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a Case No.: 23TRCV01600 18 California non-profit corporation, [PROPOSED] STIPULATED 19 CONSENT JUDGMENT Plaintiff, 20 (Health & Safety Code § 24249, et seq.) v. 21 SOMOS AMIGOS, INC., a Texas Domestic Complaint filed: May 19, 2023 22 Trial Date: TBD For-Profit Corporation; ALBERTSONS 23 COMPANIES, INC., a Delaware Stock Corporation; and DOES 1 to 10, 24 Defendants. 25 26 27 28

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Calsafe Research Center, Inc. ("Calsafe" or "Plaintiff"), a California non-profit corporation, and Somos Amigos, Inc., a Texas Domestic For-Profit Corporation ("Somos" or "Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties").
- Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to *Health & Safety Code* § 24249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") against Somos and Albertson Companies, Inc. ("Albertsons"). In this action, Calsafe alleges that two Somos Veggie Entrees, "Cauliflower Tinga" and "Chipotle Mushrooms" (collectively the "Covered Product"), contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin. Calsafe alleges that the Covered Product exposes consumers to lead at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. Calsafe alleges that Somos qualifies as a "Person" within the meaning of Proposition 65, and that Somos manufactures, distributes, and/or offers for sale in the State of California the Covered Product.
- 1.3 Notice of Violation. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in Calsafe's Notice of Violation dated March 3, 2023 (the "Notice"), that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, as well as Somos and Albertsons. A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and Somos; no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against Somos or Albertsons with regard to the Covered Product or the alleged violations.
- 1.4 Calsafe's Notice and Complaint allege that the use of the Covered Product by California consumers exposes them to lead without first receiving a clear and reasonable warning from Somos, which is a violation of California *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.6. Somos and Albertsons deny all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.5 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Somos

22

20

denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and maintain that all of the products, including the Covered Products, that are sold and/or distributed for sale in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Somos or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, including but not limited to Albertsons, of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such specifically denied by Somos. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Somos's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

- 1.6 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to this proceeding.
- 1.7 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be the date the Consent Judgment has been approved and entered by the Court.
- 1.8 Compliance Date. For the purpose of this Consent Judgment, the "Compliance Date" shall be one-hundred and twenty (120) days after the Effective Date.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Somos as to the acts alleged in the Complaint.
- 2.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, California, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Compliance Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

III. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 Lead Reduction, Target Level, Compliance Date. Beginning on the Compliance Date, Somos shall maintain the level of lead in the Covered Product Shipped for Sale in California to an exposure level of no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per serving, with serving size measured by the serving size specified on the label of the Covered Product (the "Target Level"), or be subject to the provisions of Paragraphs 3.3 through 3.6
- 3.2 Shipped for Sale in California. "Shipped for Sale in California" means the Covered Product that Somos either directly ships to California for sale in California, or that it sells to a distributor or retailer who Somos has actual knowledge will sell the Covered Product to consumers in California. Where a retailer or distributor sells the Covered Product both in California and other states, Somos shall take commercially reasonable steps to ensure that only Covered Product that is sold in California is in compliance with Paragraph 3.1 through 3.6.
- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings, When Required. Somos agrees that any Covered Product Shipped for Sale in California which, as of the Compliance Date Somos has reason to believe exceeds the Target Level, shall contain a warning as provided for in Paragraphs 3.4 through 3.6.
- 3.4 Warning Requirements. A clear and reasonable warning ("Warning") for the Covered Product shall be provided through one of the following methods: (1) a product-specific warning provided on a posted sign, shelf tag, or shelf sign, for the consumer product at each point of display of the product; or (2) a product-specific warning provided via any electronic device or process that automatically provides the warning to the purchaser prior to or during the purchase of the consumer product, without requiring the purchaser to seek out the warning; or (3) a warning on the label that is securely affixed to or printed upon the label and complies with this Section 3.4. The warning shall also comply with 27 C.C.R. § 25602 (d). Specifically, where the product sign, label or shelf tag used to provide the warning includes consumer information in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English. The Warning shall contain one of the following statements at Somos' election:

(A)

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

(B)

WARNING: [Cancer and] Reproductive Harm-www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

Somos shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the warning if Somos has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead.

If Option A is used by Somos, the warning shall be offset in a box with a black outline and must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the Covered Product. "Consumer information" includes warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional information. "Consumer information" does not include the brand name, product name, company name, location of manufacture, or product advertising. In no case shall the warning appear in a type size smaller than six (6) point type.

where it will be shipped to California, Somos shall display the warning as follows at Somos's election: (A) on the primary display page for the Covered Product; (B) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters on the Covered Product's primary display page, so long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the warning without content that detracts from the warning; (C) on the checkout page or any other page in the checkout process when a California delivery address is indicated for the purchase of the Covered Product and with the warning clearly associated with the Covered Product to indicate that the Covered Product is subject to the warning; or (D) by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase of the Covered Product. The warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website. Given SOMOS' lack of control over third-party websites, the online warning requirements expressed in this Section apply only to Covered Products sold through SOMOS' website. However, SOMOS will provide notice to authorized third-party website sellers to

which it supplies the Covered Products to provide the Warning, if applicable, as a condition of selling the Covered Products.

- Warning Prominence. Somos agrees that each warning shall be prominently 3.6 placed with such conspicuousness, as compared with the other words, statements, designs, or devices, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.
- Compliance with Clear and Reasonable Warning. Somos shall be deemed to 3.7 be in compliance with this Consent Judgment after the Effective Date by (A) adhering to Paragraphs 3.1 through 3.6 on and after the Compliance Date, or (B) by complying with any future warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA) applicable to the Covered Product and chemical at issue. If regulations or legislation are enacted or issued providing that a Proposition 65 warning for the Covered Products is no longer required, a lack of warning as set forth in this Consent Judgment will not thereafter be a breach of this Consent Judgment.
- Grace Period for Existing Inventory. The injunctive requirements of Section 3.8 III shall not apply to the Covered Product that is already in the stream of commerce, including but not limited to all Covered Product that has been packaged, as of the Compliance Date, which Covered Product is expressly subject to the releases provided in Section V.
- Entry of Consent Judgment. Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the 3.9 Parties, CalSafe shall dismiss Albertsons from this lawsuit without prejudice, notice a Motion for Court Approval and, within ten (10) days of approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court, comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).
- Attorney General Objection. If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible, prior to the hearing on the motion.
- Void if Not Approved. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

26

27

28

IV. MONETARY TERMS

- 4.1 Total Settlement Amount. In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney fees, and costs, Somos shall make a total payment of Twenty-Seven Thousand Dollars (\$27,000.00) (the "Total Settlement Amount"), apportioned into a Civil Penalty, and Attorney Fees and Costs as set forth in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3, below.
- **4.2 Civil Penalty Payment.** Pursuant to California *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(b)(2) and in settlement of all claims alleged in the Notice and Complaint, Somos agrees to pay Two Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$2,700.00) in Civil Penalties. The Civil Penalty payment will be apportioned in accordance with California *Health & Safety Code* §§ 25249(c)(1), (d), with seventy-five (75) percent of these funds remitted to OEHHA, and the remaining twenty-five (25) percent of the funds retained by CalSafe. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Somos shall issue a check to "OEHHA" in the amount of Two Thousand and Twenty-Five Dollars (\$2,025.00), with "Prop 65 Penalties" written in the Memo Line; and Somos shall, pursuant to the instructions below, wire to CalSafe the amount of Six Hundred and Seventy-Five Dollars (\$675.00).

All payments made to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Paragraph shall be delivered directly to OEHHA at the following address:

For United States Postal Delivery Service:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Delivery Service:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95814

All penalty payments owed to CalSafe shall be sent via wire to:

26

27

28

Wire & ACH Instructions:

Account Name: The Law Offices of Joseph R. Manning

Bank Name: J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Bank Address: 270 Park Ave. New York, NY. 10017

ACH Routing / ABA Number: 322271627 Wire Routing / ABA Number: 021000021

Account Number: 802922919

For further benefit of: Civil Penalty Payment Case No. 23TRCV01600

4.3 Attorney Fees and Costs. Somos agrees to pay Twenty-Four Thousand and Three Hundred Dollars (\$24,300.00) to CalSafe and its counsel of record for all fees and costs incurred in investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of Somos, litigating, negotiation, and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest. The payment shall be made in three (3) equal installment payments of Eight Thousand and One Hundred Dollars (\$8,100.00). The first installments shall be due within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, the second installment shall be due within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date and the final installment shall be due within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date.

Wire & ACH Instructions:

Account Name: The Law Offices of Joseph R. Manning

Bank Name: J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Bank Address: 270 Park Ave. New York, NY. 10017

ACH Routing / ABA Number: 322271627 Wire Routing / ABA Number: 021000021

Account Number: 802922919

For further benefit of: Attorney's Fees Case No. 23TRCV01600

4.4 In the event that Somos fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount or any portion thereof owed under Paragraphs 4.1 through 4.3 of this Consent Judgment before the due date, Somos shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. CalSafe shall provide written notice of delinquency to Somos via electronic mail to Somos's counsel of record. If Somos fails to deliver any portion of or all of the Total Settlement Amount

within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in California *Code of Civil Procedure* § 685.010.

Additionally, Somos agrees to pay Calsafe's reasonable attorney fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

V. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

5.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

VI. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to the injunctive terms by (A) written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment, or (B) by motion of either Party pursuant to Paragraph 5.1 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 6.2 If Somos seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Paragraph 5.1, then Somos must provide written notice to Calsafe of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If Calsafe seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then Calsafe shall provide written notice of intent to meet and confer to Somos within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith in person, via telephone, or via video conference within thirty (30) days of Calsafe's written notice of intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such a meeting, if Calsafe disputes the proposed modification, Calsafe shall provide Somos a written basis for its opposition. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 6.3 In the event that Somos initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Paragraph 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, Somos shall reimburse Calsafe its costs and reasonable attorney fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion. Calsafe shall not be reimbursed for costs or attorney's fees for an uncontested motion, or for a

ministerial motion (such as a change in name or contact information) or if Calsafe does not expend more than four (4) hours of attorney time on the joint motion.

6.4 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or preempted as to food products or in its entirety, then Somos shall have no further obligation pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that the Covered Product is so affected.

VII. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED, CLAIMS RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and/or that is not used by California consumers. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any other Somos products other than the Covered Product.
- 7.2 Binding Effect. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Calsafe, on behalf of itself and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates and on behalf of the public interest, and Somos and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, including but not limited to Albertsons, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Product and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties").
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any of the Released Parties regarding alleged exposures to the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.
- 7.4 Calsafe Release of Released Parties. Calsafe, on behalf of itself and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates and on behalf of the public interest fully releases and discharges Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, cause of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted based on or related to the handling, use, sale, distribution, or consumption of the Covered Product in California, as to any

alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up through the Compliance Date, based on a failure to provide Proposition 65 warning on the Covered Product with respect to lead as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

- 7.5 Calsafe on its own behalf only, and Somos on its own behalf only, further waives and releases any and all claims they, their attorneys, or their representatives may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Compliance Date, provided, however, that nothing in this Section shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment.
- 7.6 California Civil Code Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Product, will develop or be discovered. Calsafe on behalf of itself only, and SOMOS on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all Such claims up through and including the Compliance Date, including all rights of action therefore. Calsafe and Somos acknowledge that the claims released in Section VII above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code § 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR, AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

VIII. SEVERABILITY

8.1 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

IX. GOVERNING LAW

9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

X. PROVISION OF NOTICE

10.1 All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or electronic mail. Any Party may modify the person/entity or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested. Said change shall take effect on the date the return receipt is signed by the Party receiving the change.

Notice for Calsafe shall be sent to:

Joseph R. Manning, Jr. 26100 Towne Center Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610

Tel: Office (949) 200-8757 Fax: (866) 843-8309

p65@manninglawoffice.com

Notice for Somos shall be sent to:

Brent E. Johnson

222 South Main Street, Suite 2200

Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Tel: Office (801) 799-5807

XI. EXECUTED IN COUNTERPARTS

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .PDF signature page shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

XII. DRAFTING

12.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had the opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted

all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participate equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

XIII. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

13.1 If a dispute with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, by video conference, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed with the Court in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

XIV. ENFORCEMENT

14.1 The Parties may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. In any successful action brought by Calsafe to enforce this Consent Judgment, Calsafe may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with this Consent Judgment.

XV. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 15.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 15.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

XVI. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL, AND ENTRY.

16.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, make the findings pursuant to California *Health and Safety Code* § 25249(f)(4) and approve this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
	•
DATED: September 1. 12023	MANNING LAW, APC
	By: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	Joseph Manning, Jr.
	Attorney for Plaintiff Calsafe Research Center, Inc.
	•
0/10/2023	CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER
DATED: 9/19/2023 . 2023	By: Unic fairon Eric Fairon, CEO
	Calsafe Research Center, Inc.
DATED: September 15, 2023	Better
The state of the s	By: Overt E. Johnson
	Drent E. Johnson
	Attorney for Defendant Somos Amigos, Inc.
	ooms migos, me
	SOMOS AMIGOS, INC.
DATED: Seglember 19, 2023	By:
	Fodiso 2 wongs
	Somos Amigos, Inc.
contaction and consistent in the	on status. A so take the frequency of the status of the st
	GED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health & S
Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Proce	dure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered.
Dáleď:	
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT