1	JARRETT CHARO APC	
2	Jarrett Charo, Esq. (SBN 224001) 4079 Governor Dr., No. 1018 San Diego, California 92122 P: (619) 350-3334 jcharo@charolaw.com	
3	P: (619) 350-3334	
4		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff RAMY KAUFLER EDEN	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT O	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNT	ΓY OF SAN DIEGO
12		
13	RAMY KAUFLER EDEN	Case No.: 37-2023-00031911-CU-MC-CTL
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT
15	v.	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
16	MEENAXI ENTERPRISE, INC. and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		•
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

[Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment

Plaintiff Ramy Eden ("Plaintiff" or "Eden") and Defendant Meenaxi Enterprise, Inc. ("Meenaxi" or "Defendant") hereby enter into this Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") as follows:

WHEREAS: On April 11, 2023, Plaintiff served a 60-Day Notice of Violation upon the California Attorney General, the district attorney for each county in the State of California, the city attorney for each city in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000 persons (collectively, "Public Prosecutors"), and Defendant alleging that Defendant violated California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 codified at Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") with regard to the product Shreeji brand ginger candy ("Covered Product");

WHEREAS: The 60-Day Notice of Violation alleged that Defendant, through the Covered Product, exposed consumers in California to lead—which is listed by the State of California as a chemical pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.8—without first providing the exposure warnings required by Proposition 65;

WHEREAS: No Public Prosecutor commenced an enforcement action concerning the allegations in the 60-Day Notice of Violation;

WHEREAS: On July 27, 2023, Plaintiff filed a civil complaint against Defendant in the above-entitled Court alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Product ("Complaint");

WHEREAS: Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations in the 60-Day Notice of Violation and the Complaint and denies that it has otherwise violated Proposition 65 or engaged in any wrongdoing whatsoever;

WHEREAS: Plaintiff and Defendant wish to resolve their differences without the delay, uncertainty, and expense of litigation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED AND AGREED UPON AS BETWEEN PLAINTIFF ACTING IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DEFENDANT AS FOLLOWS:

1. JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND DEFENDANT'S DENIAL OF LIABILITY

1.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff and Defendant (collectively, the "Parties") agree that: this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations contained within the Complaint;

venue of this matter is proper in the County of San Diego; and this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgement as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint with respect to the Covered Product and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, based on the facts alleged in the 60-Day Notice of Violation and/or the Complaint with respect to the Covered Product, including any Proposition 65 claim arising out of an exposure to the Covered Product (collectively, "Proposition 65 Claims").

1.2 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of the Proposition 65 Claims for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation and of resolving the issues raised therein both as to past and future conduct. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall Defendant's compliance with the Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the 60-Day Notice of Violation and the Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever.

2. APPLICATION OF THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT AND EFFECTIVE DATE

- 2.1 The products covered by this Consent Judgment are the Covered Product that Defendant distributes and/or sells in California. This Consent Judgment may apply to and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product that Defendant exclusively distributes or sells outside of the State of California and that is not intended for use by California consumers.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" shall mean, with respect to this Consent Judgment, the date on which the Court approves and enters the Consent Judgment.

3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

3.1 Reformulation or Warnings. For the Covered Product, Defendant agrees to undertake or cause to be undertaken on its behalf, either: (a) reformulation of the Covered Product so that it

complies with the reformulation requirement set forth in section 3.2 below; or (b) provision of the warnings set forth in section 3.3 below. Compliance with either section 3.2 or 3.3 below shall constitute compliance by Defendant with Proposition 65 regarding exposure to lead in the Covered Product.

- 3.2 Reformulation. The Covered Product shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements with respect to lead if the Covered Product contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per serving, with the serving size equaling the serving size specified on the label for the Covered Product.
- 3.3 Warnings. If the Covered Product does not comply with section 3.2 above, Defendant shall use one of the two following warning content options ("Warning Content"):

Option 1:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

Option 2:

WARNING: [Cancer and] Reproductive Harm - http://www.p65warnings.ca.gov/food.

Defendant shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning Content if Defendant has reason to believe that the Covered Product contains more than 15 micrograms of lead per serving, with the serving size equaling the serving size specified on the label for the Covered Product.

Defendant shall provide the Warning Content to California consumers in a manner that complies with the methods of transmission set forth in 27 C.C.R. section 25602(a). In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the Internet by Defendant, the Warning Content shall appear prior to checkout on the primary product page; as a pop-up when a California zip code is input for the shipping address for the Covered Product on the checkout page; or on the checkout page in full text or through a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters when a California shipping address is input for any purchase of any Covered Product. If a hyperlink is used, the hyperlink must go directly to a page prominently displaying either the Option 1 Warning Content or the Option 2 Warning Content without other content that detracts from the Warning Content. An

asterisk or other identifying method must be used to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning Content.

The Warning Content shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on the label (or website, if applicable) and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning Content on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning Content. Further, no statements may accompany the Warning Content that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on, or results in, a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

For the Option 2 Warning Content, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline shall be placed to the left of the text of the Warning Content, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING."

Defendant must display or direct consumers to the above Warning Content with such conspicuousness, as compared to other words, statements, or designs on the label, or on its websites (if applicable) to render the Warning Content likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. Where consumer information is provided on the Covered Product in a language other than English, the Warning Content must also be provided in that language in addition to English.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "label" means a display of written, printed, or graphic material that is printed on, or affixed to, the Covered Product or its immediate container or wrapper.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, where Defendant is required to provide a warning for a Covered Product, Defendant may satisfy the warning requirement by complying with all the requirements of 27 C.C.R. section 25600.2 (2020).

3.4 Exemption for Previously Manufactured Covered Product. The warning requirements in section 3.3 shall only be required as to Covered Product manufactured after the Effective Date. Covered Product manufactured on or before the Effective Date is hereby deemed exempt from Proposition 65 warnings with respect to lead.

3.5 Changes to Proposition 65. If, after the Effective Date, changes are enacted to Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations which require the use of additional or different information on any warning applicable to the Covered Product ("New Warnings"), the Parties agree that the New Warnings may be used in place of the warnings set forth in section 3.3.

4. MONETARY RELIEF

- 4.1 Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay a total of five thousand five hundred dollars (\$5,500.00) as a Civil Penalty in accordance with this Section. The Civil Penalty payment shall be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the Civil Penalty remitted to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the Civil Penalty remitted to Eden. The Civil Penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses identified in § 4.3, below.
- 4.2 Date for Payment of Civil Penalty. Within three (3) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment: one check made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of four thousand one hundred twenty five dollars (\$4,125.00); and one check made payable to "Ramy Eden" in the amount of one thousand three hundred seventy five dollars (\$1,375.00). The Civil Penalty payment shall be delivered to the addresses identified in § 4.3, below.
 - 4.3 Payment Procedures.
 - (a) <u>Issuance of Payments</u>. Payments shall be delivered as follows:
 - (i) The Civil Penalty payment owed to Eden shall be delivered to the address set forth in Eden's IRS Form W-9;
 - (ii) The Civil Penalty payment owed to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:
Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

- (b) <u>Copy of Payment to OEHHA</u>. Defendant agrees to provide Eden's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA, simultaneous with its penalty payment to Eden, which copy shall be delivered to the address provided in § 7.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA.
- (c) <u>Tax Documentation</u>. Within five (5) days of the Parties fully executing this Consent Judgment, Eden shall provide IRS W-9 forms for each of the following payees:
 - (i) "Ramy Eden";
 - (ii) "Jarrett Charo APC" (EIN: 84-2408511); and
 - (iii) "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN: 68-0284486).
- 4.4 Attorney's Fees and Costs. Defendant shall pay a total of nineteen thousand five hundred dollars (\$19,500.00) to Plaintiff's counsel, Jarrett Charo APC, which is entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by it in this action including, without limitation, investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, prosecuting this action in court, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Within three (3) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall issue one check payable to "Jarrett Charo APC" in the amount of nineteen thousand five hundred dollars (\$19,500.00) and deliver it to the address identified in § 7.1(a), below.

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Plaintiff on behalf of himself and in the public interest, and Defendant and its respective officers, directors, members, shareholders, employees, attorneys, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, and retailers, its parent and all subsidiaries and affiliates thereof, its respective employees, agents and assigns, as well as all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain for the Covered Product (collectively, the "Released Parties").

- Plaintiff's Release of Released Parties. Plaintiff, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases the Released Parties from all claims, actions, causes of actions, suits, demands, liability, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted or which could have been asserted from the handling or consumption of the Covered Product, as to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Product as alleged in the 60-Day Notice of Violation and/or the Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to lead from the Covered Product. Any downstream entity that is required to provide the warning pursuant to 27 C.C.R. section 25600.2 (2020) and does not do so, is not released pursuant to this provision.
- 5.3 Defendant's Release of Eden. Defendant, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Eden, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Eden and/or his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, bringing the 60-Day Notice of Violation, prosecution of this action, or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Product.
- 5.4 California Civil Code § 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in this matter and relating to alleged violations of Proposition 65 concerning the Covered Product will develop or be discovered. Eden on behalf of himself only, on one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code § 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY

HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

The Parties each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code § 1542.

6. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f) AND MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL

6.1 Eden agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a motion for approval of this Consent Judgment. Defendant agrees not to oppose such motion.

7. NOTICES

- 7.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment to any Party shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent to that Party—via: (i) email; (ii) first-class registered or certified mail with return receipt requested; or (iii) overnight or two-day courier—at the following addresses:
 - (a). For Eden Jarrett S. Charo Jarrett Charo APC 4079 Governor Drive, No. 1018 San Diego, CA 92122 jcharo@charolaw.com

(b). For Defendant:
Matthew R. Orr
Amin Talati Wasserman, LLP
515 S. Flower Street, 18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
matt@amintalati.com

7.2 Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to any other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications from that other Party shall be sent.

8. COURT APPROVAL

8.1 This Consent Judgment shall not become effective until approved and entered by the Court. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect, and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9. GOVERNING LAW

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the law of the State of California.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

11. MODIFICATION

11.1 No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby and approved and ordered by the Court; or upon the Court granting a motion brought by any of the Parties. In the event Proposition 65 is repealed or preempted as to the Covered Product, then Defendant shall have no further obligation pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Product is so affected.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce, or modify the Consent Judgment. Any alleged breach of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be brought in this Court.

13. COUNTERPARTS: SIGNATURES

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, .pdf signature, or Docusign signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the original.

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and has read, understood, and agrees to each of the terms and conditions contained herein.

15. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

15.1 If, subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, any part or provision is

1	declared by a Court to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining portions or provisions shall
2	continue in full force and effect.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STIPULATED AND AGREED TO: Dated: 4/2/2024 By: Lamy Flow Ramnyo Find Corps 1/4 Dated: By: [Signature] , on behalf of Meenaxi Enterprise Inc.
11	[Printed Name and Title]
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
13	Dated:
14	By:
1516	Jarrett S. Charo, Esq., attorney for Plaintiff Ramy Eden
17	Dated:
18	By:
19	Matthew R. Orr, Esq., attorney for Defendant Meenaxi Enterprise Inc.
20 21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	Dated:
23	Judge of the Superior Court
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10 [Proposed] Stipulated Consent Judgment

- 1	
1	declared by a Court to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining portions or provisions shall
2	continue in full force and effect.
3	
4	STIPULATED AND AGREED TO:
5	Dated:
6	By: Ramy Eden
7	Dated: 4/5/2024 //
8	
9	By: Signature Signature
10	Puil (jandhi (orporate officer) on behalf of Meenaxi Enterprise Inc.
11	[Printed Name and Title]
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
13	Dated: April 5, 2024
14	By:
15	Jarrett S. Charo, Esq., attorney for Plaintiff Ramy Eden
16	A 11 5 0004
17	700 + 100
18	By: Matt Orr
19	Matthew R. Orr, Esq., attorney for Defendant Meenaxi Enterprise Inc.
20	IT IS SO ODDEDED ADHIDGED AND DECDEED
21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.
22	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	•
- 11	