1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP		
2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth Merrow, State Bar. No. 328337		
3	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117		
4	Telephone: (415) 913-7800		
5	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com		
6	mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
10			
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	Case No. 23STC	V24545
	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] C	ONSENT JUDGMENT RE:
13 14	v.	COAST PLATI	NG, INC. AND VALENCE HNOLOGIES, INC.
	BOWMAN PLATING COMPANY, INC.,		October 9, 2023
15	COAST PLATING, INC., VALENCE	Trial Date:	None set
16	SURFACE TECHNOLOGIES LLC, MOOG SPECIALIZED SYSTEMS, INC., PRECISION	Department: Judge:	Spring Street, Dept. 6 Hon. Elihu M. Berle
17	CASTPARTS CORP., and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21	1. INTRODUCTION		
22		into by Plaintiff Ce	enter for Environmental
23			
24	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Defendants Coast Plating, Inc. ("Coast") and		
25	Valence Surface Technologies (together "Settling Defendants") to settle claims asserted by CEH		
26	against Settling Defendants as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter <i>Center for Environmental Health v. Bowman Plating Company, et al.</i> , Los Angeles Superior Court Case No.		
27	Environmeniai Healin v. Bowman Flating Compa	my, et at., Los Ang	cies superior Court Case No.
28		-1-	

23STCV24545 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2. Valence Surface Technologies LLC is the parent corporation of Coast Plating, Inc. Coast Plating, Inc. is a corporation that employed ten (10) or more persons and operated the Facility.
- 1.3. On October 11, 2019, the California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) issued a letter to chrome plating facilities, including Settling Defendants, to submit site-specific chrome plating work plans to determine the presence of per- and polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) at California facilities.
- **1.4.** On June 1, 2021, Settling Defendants submitted the PFAS Report and work plan to the SWRCB in accordance with Order WQ 2019-0045-DWQ.
- **1.5.** The PFAS Report indicated that the incoming public water, groundwater, wastewater, and stormwater contained levels of PFAS, without attributing such levels to any source.
- 1.6. On June 30, 2023, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, the District Attorney for the County of Los Angeles and City Attorney for the City of Los Angeles. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to releases and discharges of certain PFAS chemicals, Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) allegedly emanating from Settling Defendants' facility located 417 W 164th Street, Carson, CA 90248 (the "Facility") into sources of drinking water, violations which Settling Defendants deny.
- 1.7. On October 9, 2023, CEH filed the Action naming Settling Defendants as defendants therein. As in the Notice, CEH's complaint alleges that through their operation of the Facility, Settling Defendants discharge and release PFAS into the groundwater and other sources of drinking water. These allegations rest, in part, on CEH's contention that the Facility has been

significantly contaminated with PFAS by Settling Defendants' use of PFAS-containing chemicals and that the exterior portions of the Facility and soil surrounding it are also contaminated. CEH contends that this contamination results in significant amounts of PFAS in the stormwater emanating from the Facility and in the soil that led to contamination of the groundwater as evidenced by significant amounts of PFAS measured in the groundwater. Settling Defendants deny all material allegations in CEH's complaint.

- **1.8.** Settling Defendants have engaged in remediation of the Facility and have done so in accordance with the supervision of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- 1.9. As part of these remediation efforts, Settling Defendants conducted on-site power washing of all exterior surfaces at the Facility. Settling Defendants also voluntarily removed process lines as part of these remediation efforts.
- 1.10. In February 2024, Capitol Environmental removed and transported 140 yards of potentially contaminated soil and concrete taken from beneath the former anodizing line to an appropriate hazardous waste disposal facility for treatment and disposal. Following completion of ongoing chromium remediation activities, Settling Defendants intend to backfill the resulting void with clean soil and replace the concrete. Additionally, Settling Defendants have capped the Facility's wastewater discharge point and forfeited the permit to the regulator. As of the date of this Settlement, Settling Defendants have incurred costs investigating, characterizing, and remediating potential environmental issues at the Facility.
- **1.11.** On December 21, 2023, Settling Defendants collected additional stormwater samples at three locations to test for PFAS. The results of this sampling and testing indicated that the PFAS concentrations in the stormwater significantly decreased since Coast Plating, Inc. ceased operations.
- **1.12.** Settling Defendants are continuing to comply with ongoing regulatory oversight of the Facility.
- **1.13.** For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint

23

18

24 25

27

26

and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to discharges and/or releases of PFAS from the Facility.

1.14. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendants alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly deny any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

DEFINITIONS 2.

- 2.1. "Facility" means the facility located at 417 W 164th Street, Carson, CA 90248.
- 2.2. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- 2.3. "PFAS Report" means the Report for Evaluation of the Presence of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) prepared by Braun Intertec Corporation for Coast Plating, Inc., submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board on June 1, 2021.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Cessation of All Chrome Plating Activities Utilizing PFAS at the Facility. 3.1. Settling Defendants ceased all anodizing activities at the Facility in December 2021 and hereby

-4-

DOCUMENT PREPARED

agree that Settling Defendants will not resume anodizing activities utilizing any PFAS or other chemicals that could degrade into PFAS at the Facility at any time in the future.

- **3.1.1.** Settling Defendants have removed their chromic acid anodizing process tanks from the Facility and had the tanks decontaminated and recycled.
- **3.1.2.** Within (90) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall also file a Notice of Termination of their General Industrial Permit under the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") with the Los Angeles Water Resources Control Board.
- **3.2.** Cooperation with Regulators. The Parties acknowledge ongoing regulatory oversight of the Facility by California Water Resources Control Board ("Water Board"). Settling Defendants intend to comply with the Board's request for soil sampling required pursuant to the letter from the Water Board dated December 21, 2023 related to State Water Resources Control Board Order WQ 2019-0045-DWQ.

4. PAYMENTS

- **4.1.** Settling Defendant shall pay to CEH the total sum of \$80,000, which shall be paid in two \$40,000 installments. The first installment shall be paid within 30-days of the Effective date and the second within 90-days of the Effective Date. Both payments shall be paid in four separate checks and allocated as follows:
- **4.1.1.** \$5,300 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.
- **4.1.2.** \$3,950 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's PFAS Fund and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about PFAS and

other toxic chemical contamination, to work with allied organizations to reduce discharges and releases of PFAS and other toxic chemicals. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.

- 4.1.3. \$30,750 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This amount shall be divided into two checks: (1) a check for \$25,500 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group; and (2) a check for \$5,250 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 4.1.4. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.1.2.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendants a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendants, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendants as provided by law.

reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1. CEH Release in the Public Interest. Provided Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 4 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendants and Settling Defendants' parents, officers, directors, agents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliated entities, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendants and Defendant Releasees, regarding the discharge and/or release of PFAS from the Facility into sources of drinking water or onto land where such PFAS is likely to pass into sources of drinking water prior to the Effective Date.

- 7.2. CEH Release on Behalf of Itself. Provided Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 4 hereof, CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Settling Defendants and Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about the discharge and/or release of PFAS from the Facility prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3. Provided Settling Defendants comply in full with the obligations under Section 4 hereof, Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants and Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged discharge and/or release of PFAS from the Facility into sources of drinking water or onto land where such PFAS is likely to pass into sources of drinking water.

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

10

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

11. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

- This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- **11.2.** There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- **11.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- **11.5.** No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

1	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
2	14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
3	against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.		
4	4 15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
5	15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
6	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.		
7			
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
9	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
10	Va C.		
11	05.00.0004		
12	Mizzy Charles-Guzman Date: 05-08-2024		
13	Chief Executive Officer		
14			
15			
16	COAST PLATING, INC.		
17	Andrew Brandenburg Date: 4/29/2024		
18	Date: 4/29/2024 Signature		
19			
20	Andrew Brandenburg Printed Name		
21	Frinted Name		
22	Vice President of Operations		
23	Title		
24			
25			
26			
27			
Document Prepared On Recycled Paper	-10-		

CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: COAST PLATING AND VALENCE TECHNOLOGIES - CASE NO. 23STCV24545

1	VALENCE SURFACE TECHNOLOGIES LLC		
2	Andrew Brandenburg		
3	Date: 4/29/2024 Signature		
4			
5	Andrew Brandenburg		
6	Printed Name		
7			
8	Vice President of Operations Title		
9			
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
12			
13			
14	Dated:, 2024		
15	Judge of the Superior Court		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
DOCUMENT PREPARED	-11-		
on Recycled Paper	CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: COAST PLATING AND VALENCE TECHNOLOGIES – CASE NO. 23STCV24545		