Docusign Envelope ID: 98361061-CED2-4F60-88B0-57739FD7E1F3

1. <u>RECITALS</u>

1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between APS&EE, LLC ("Plaintiff") and Southwire Company, LLC ("Defendant"). Plaintiff and
- Defendant shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."
- 1.1.2 Plaintiff is an organization based in California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- 1.1.3 Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

- 1.2.1 Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sold Madison Electric brand of rigid elbow conduits, including 1/2", 3/4", and 1" (hereinafter, the "Products"), in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to Lead without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. Lead is potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.2.2 On April 3, 2023, Plaintiff sent a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (the "April 3rd Notice") to Defendant and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On July 13, 2023, Plaintiff sent a Supplemental Sixty-Day Notice of Violation (the "July 13th Notice") to Defendant as well as Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. d/b/a Contractors' Warehouse and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. The April 3rd Notice and the July 13, 2023 Notice shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Notices". On July 24, 2023, Plaintiff, acting in the public interest, filed the instant action (the "Complaint") in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violations of

Proposition 65 against Defendant and Does 1 through 100. On November 16, 2023, Plaintiff amended the Complaint by substituting Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. d/b/a Contractors' Warehouse for Doe 1.

1.3 No Admissions

Defendant denies all allegations in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Defendant but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 settlements and consent judgments that were entered in the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.

1.5 Jurisdiction and Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 and Proposition 65.

1.6 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2

3 4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 **Reformulation Standard**

After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not distribute for sale in California, sell, offer for sale, or cause to have the Products sold in California unless (a) the Products produce a test result no higher than 1.0 microgram of lead based on a wipe sample collected using NIOSH Method 9100 or equivalent ("Reformulated Product"), or (b) the Products are distributed, sold, or offered for sale with a clear and reasonable warning as described below in Section 2.2.

2.2 **Clear And Reasonable Warnings**

- **2.2.1** For any Products that are not Reformulated Products, such Products shall be accompanied by a clear and reasonable warning. Defendant shall provide a warning statement substantially similar to the following:
- **"WARNING:** This product can expose you to Lead which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov."

The warning shall be accompanied by a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline. Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING". Additionally, if the Product contains consumer information in a foreign language, the warning must be provided in the foreign language. Alternatively, the warning may be a short-form warning that complies with Title 27, California Code of Regulations § 25603(b) and (c).

2.2.2 The Products shall carry said warning directly on each unit, label, shelf tag, or package, with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer prior to sale. If the warning is provided on a shelf tag, it shall follow the appropriate methods and content for shelf tags as described in 27 CCR section 25600, et seq. A Product that is sold by Defendant on the internet to persons located in California shall also provide the warning message by a clearly marked hyperlink on the product display page, or otherwise prominently displayed to the

purchaser before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Product, including an option for the warning to be provided via pop-up once a California zip code is included in the location for intended shipment destination. For Products that Defendant provides for a downstream entity to sell on the internet, Defendant shall include an instruction that the entity comply with the warning requirements of this section.

2.2.3 Compliance with Warning Regulations.

In addition to the warning requirements set forth in Section 2.2 above, Defendant may comply with this Consent Judgment by using any other warning and transmission method(s) set forth in 27 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 25601, 25602, 25603, et seq., and amended subsequently thereafter that are applicable to consumer product exposures to lead.

3. <u>PAYMENTS</u>

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay a total civil penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health and Safety Code* section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,000.00) for State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$1,000.00) for Plaintiff.

Defendant shall issue these payments collectively as part of the total payment described below in Section 3.2 via wire transfer to Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak. After receipt of the wire transfer, Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak shall be responsible for forwarding the respective payments to OEHHA and APS&EE.

3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

Defendant shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action for all work performed through execution and approval of this Consent Judgment, in the amount of thirty-five thousand dollars (\$35,000.00). Accordingly, Defendant shall remit total payment via wire transfer to Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in the amount of thirty-nine thousand dollars (\$39,000.00), which includes the civil penalty described in Section 3.1, within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date. Wire

instructions have been exchanged between the Parties' counsel. 4.

3

4 5 6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

4.2 **Defendant's Release Of Plaintiff**

Defendant, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys,

RELEASES

4.1 Plaintiff's Release Of Defendant

Plaintiff, acting in its individual capacity, and in the public interest, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, partners, sister companies, predecessors, licensees and assigns and Defendant's downstream distributors and retailers, including but not limited to Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. d/b/a Contractors' Warehouse (all of the foregoing, collectively "Released Parties"), from any alleged Proposition 65 violation claims asserted in Plaintiff's Notices or Complaint regarding failure to warn about possible Lead exposure from the Products sold by Defendant before and up to the Effective Date. Within five (5) business days after receipt of full payment from Defendant as described in Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall file a request for dismissal with prejudice of Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. d/b/a Contractors' Warehouse. In addition to the foregoing, Plaintiff, on behalf of itself, successors and assignees, and not in the public interest, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Released Parties from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, fines, penalties, claims, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to any chemicals listed under Proposition 65 in the Products distributed by Defendant before and up to the Effective Date.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Products. However, Released Parties that do not comply with the warning requirements of Section 2.2 after being instructed to do so are not released from future liability with respect to the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from the Products.

experts, successors and assignees for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter. If any Released Party should institute any such action, then Plaintiff's release of said Released Party in this Consent Judgment shall be rendered void and unenforceable.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. COURT APPROVAL

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a

Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. <u>NOTICES</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All correspondence and notice required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

10 TO DEFENDANT:

Ryan S. Landis, Esq. Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1100 Irvine, CA 92614

TO PLAINTIFF:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

10. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.

11. PUBLIC BENEFIT

It is the Parties' understanding that the commitments Defendant has agreed to herein,

1	and actions to be taken by Defendant under this Consent Judgment, would confer a significant	
2	benefit to the general public, as set forth in Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Cal. Admin.	
3	Code tit. 11, § 3201. As such, it is the intent of the Parties that to the extent any other private	
4	party initiates an action alleging a violation of Proposition 65 with respect to Defendant's and/o	r
5	Released Parties' failure to provide a warning concerning exposure to lead prior to use of the	
6	Products they have manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California, such private	te
7	party action would not confer a significant benefit on the general public as to those Products	
8	addressed in this Consent Judgment, provided that Defendant and/or Released Parties are in	
9	material compliance with this Consent Judgment.	
10		
11	AGREED TO:	
12	Date: 9/4/2024	
13	By: Zaffry	
14	Authorized Representative of APS&EE, LLC	
15		
16	AGREED TO:	
17	Date: 8/26/2024	
18	By: Pedro (Peter) lugo C3712DBB2B4471	
19	Authorized Representative of Southwire Company, LLC	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated:	
23	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		