1	LAW OFFICES OF JEREMY FIETZ Jeremy Fietz, State Bar No. 200396		
2	4241 Montgomery Dr.		
3	Suite 123 Santa Rosa, CA 95404		
4	Telephone: (707) 236-0088 jeremy@superawesomelawyer.com		
5			
6	LAW OFFICE OF DAVID R. BUSH David R. Bush, State Bar No. 154511		
7	321 South Main Street #502 Sebastopol, CA 95472		
8	Telephone: (707) 321-5028		
9	drbush@drbushlaw.com		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro		
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
14 15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
16 17	MICHAEL DIPIRRO,	Case No. 23CV049852	
18	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
19	v.	,	
20	CHICK PUBLICATIONS, INC.; and DOES 1-	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)	
21	150,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
25	1.1 Parties		
26	This consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Michael DiPirro ("DiPirro"		
27	and CHICK PUBLICATIONS, INC. ("Defendant" or "CHICK"), with DiPirro and Defendant individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."		
28			

1.2 Plaintiff

DiPirro is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

DiPirro alleges that Defendant sells, or distributes for sale in the State of California, Tract Wallets that expose users to Diisononyl phthalate ("DINP"), a toxic chemical, without first providing the clear and reasonable exposure warnings required by Proposition 65. DINP was listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer on December 20, 2013, and has been subject to the warning requirements since December 20, 2014.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are Tract Wallets that are sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendant, including, but not limited to the Tract Wallet. (the "Products).

1.6 Notices of Violation

On or about July 31, 2023, DiPirro served Defendant and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), a document that informed the recipients of DiPirro's allegation that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DINP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

27 |

28 II

//

1.7 Complaint

On or about November 3, 2023, DiPirro filed the instant action against Defendant for the alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the July 31, 2023 Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and contends that it sells Products to California residents in accordance with applicable state laws and requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Defendant. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which it is entered as a judgment by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65 WARNINGS

- **2.1** Within thirty days of the Effective Date (a.k.a. the "Warning Date"), Defendant shall only manufacture for sale, purchase for sale, or import for sale in California, Products that are Reformulated Products as defined by Section 2.2, below, or Products that are labeled with a clear and reasonable warning as set forth under Sections 2.3 through 2.6, below.
- **2.2 Reformulation Standard.** "Reformulated Products" are Products containing DINP in concentrations of less that 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) in each accessible component

28

when analyzed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization. For purposes of compliance with this reformulation standard, testing samples may be prepared and extracted using any methodologies utilized by federal or state government agencies to determine phthalate content in a solid substance. Defendant may rely upon test results commissioned by itself or by its suppliers in order to determine whether the Products are "Reformulated Products" so long as the testing methodology, analysis, and results comport with the standards set forth in this paragraph.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

Commencing on or before the Effective Date, Defendant shall provide clear and reasonable warnings for all Products provided for sale to customers in California in accordance with this Section. Defendant shall use one of the warnings set forth below, which shall include a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline as shown below (the symbol may be black or whit if the color yellow is otherwise not used on the Product's packaging). The symbol must be placed to the left of the text of the warning in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING":

a. Full Warning.



WARNING: This product can expose you to Diisononyl phthalate (DINP), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

b. Short-Form Warning.



WARNING: Cancer Harm- DINP - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Foreign Language Requirement. Where a product sign, label or shelf tag used to provide a warning includes consumer information in a language other than English, the Warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English.

2.4 Product Warnings

Defendant shall affix a warning to the Product label or otherwise directly on each Product provided for sale in retail outlets in California or sold via mail order catalog and/or the internet to customers located in California. For the purpose of this agreement, "Product label" means a

display of written, printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to a Product or its immediate container or wrapper. The entire warning shall appear in a type of size of at least 6-point type and no smaller than the largest type of size used for other consumer information on the product. The warning shall consist of either the Warning, the Short-Form Warning described in subsection 2.3(a) or (b), respectively, or any warning that complies with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600, *et seq.*, as amended August 30, 2016 and subsequently thereafter.

2.5 Mail Order Catalog Warnings

In the event that, after the Effective Date, Defendant sells Products via mail order through catalogs to customers located in California, Defendant shall provide a warning for each Product both on the Product label in accordance with Section 2.4, and in the catalog in a manner that clearly associates the warning with the specific Product being purchased. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog shall be in the same type of size or larger than other consumer information provided for the Product within the catalog and shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product. The catalog warning may use the Short-Form Warning content described in Section 2.3(b) if the warning provided on the Product label also uses the Short-Form Warning content.

2.6 Internet Warnings

If, after the Effective Date, Defendant sells Products via the internet to customers located in California, Defendant shall provide warnings for each Product both on the Product label in accordance with Section 2.4, and on the web page on which the Product is sold in a manner that clearly associates it with the specific Product being purchased.

The internet warning may use the Short-Form Warning content described in Section 2.3(b) if the warning provided on the Product label also uses the Short-Form Warning content.

2.7 Products in the Stream of Commerce

The reformulation and warning requirements described in this Section do not apply to any Products that are already in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date.

Page 5 of 10

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The Noticed Parties shall make a civil penalty payment of \$1,500, in accordance with this section, on or before the Effective Date. The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to DiPirro in accordance with Section 3.2 below. The penalty payment shall be remitted in accordance with the procedure set out in Section 3.2.
- **3.2 Payments.** All payments shall be delivered **within ten business days** of the Effective Date by ACH payment or wire transfer to the account of Jeremy Fietz (bank information given upon settlement) or in the alternative parcel delivery to Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at Law, 4241 Montgomery Drive #123, Santa Rosa, CA 95404, and shall be in the form of three checks for the following amounts made payable to:
 - (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,125, for payment of 75% of the civil penalty to OEHHA. Counsel for DiPirro agree to forward such funds to OEHHA in a timely manner. Alternatively, at Defendant's option, it can choose to deliver a certified or cashier's check made payable to "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment."

 (b) "Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at Law" in the amount of \$375, as payment of 25% of the civil penalty to Michael DiPirro. Counsel for DiPirro agree to forward such funds in a timely manner. Alternatively, at Defendant's option, it can choose to deliver a certified or cashier's check made payable to "Michael DiPirro."
 - (c) "Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at Law" in the amount of \$48,500, as payment for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Section 4 below.
- **3.3 Issuance of 1099 Forms.** The Noticed Parties shall provide DiPirro's counsel with a separate 1099 form for each of its payments under this Agreement to:
 - (a) "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment", P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486) for civil penalties paid;
 - (b) "Michael DiPirro", whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished

upon request after this Agreement has been fully executed by the Parties for his portion of the civil penalties paid; and

(c) "Jeremy Fietz, Attorney at Law" whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request after this Agreement has been fully executed by the Parties, for fees and costs reimbursed pursuant to Section 4.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties acknowledge that DiPirro and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendant then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to OEHHA, DiPirro and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work preformed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Defendant shall pay \$48,500 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, brining this matter to Defendant's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendant shall deliver payments as described in Section 3, above.

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 DiPirro's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

DiPirro, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys (Releasees) and each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, if any (Downstream Releasees), for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DINP from the Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice and Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant with respect

3 4

5

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

to any alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DINP from Products manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by Defendant after the Effective Date.

5.2 DiPirro's Individual Release of Claims

DiPirro, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of DiPirro of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DINP from the use of the Products sold or distributed for sale by Defendant in the State of California before the Effective Date.

5.3 Defendant's Release of DiPirro

Defendant, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion DiPirro shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this section, "best efforts" shall include, at minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may file or lodge, and appearing at the hearing

1	before the Court if so requested.	
2	7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>	
3	If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent	
4	Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall	
5	not be adversely affected.	
6	8. GOVERNING LAW	
7	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California	
8	and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is	
9	otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendant	
10	may provide written notice to DiPirro of any asserted change in the law, and have no further	
11	obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the	
12	Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve	
13	Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control laws.	
14	9. NOTICES	
15	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to	
16	this Consent Judgment shall be both by email and in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery;	
17	(ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnigh	
18	courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:	
19	For Defendant CHICK: For Plaintiff DiPirro: Large First Attacks at Large	
20	Michael Gleason Jeremy Fietz, Attorney-at-Law Hahl Loeser & Parks 1510 Fourth Street	
21	1500 West Broadway, Suite 1500 Santa Rosa, CA 95404 San Diego, CA 92101 Jeremy@superawesomelawyer.com	
22		
23	Any party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other party a change of address to	
24	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.	
25	10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES	
26	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable	
27	document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which,	
28	when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.	

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

DiPirro agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 252.49.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, DiPirro and Defendant agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and that of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of this settlement in a timely manner.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter thereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto.

13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment

AGREED:

AGREED:

Date: 03/11/2024 Date: 03/15/24

By: By: By: Chick Publications, Inc.