1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP Patrick Carey, State Bar No. 308623	
2	Patrick Carey, State Bar No. 308623 Mary Haley Ousley, State Bar No. 332711 503 Divisadero Street	
3	San Francisco, CA 94117	
4	Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112	
5	pcarey@lexlawgroup.com mhousley@lexlawgroup.com	
6	Counsel for Plaintiff	
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	) Case No. CGC-24-615036
13	a non-profit corporation,	) )   IPROPOSEDI CONSENT
14	Plaintiff,	<ul><li>() [PROPOSED] CONSENT</li><li>() JUDGMENT AS TO BRAND BUZZ,</li><li>() LLC</li></ul>
15	VS.	) )
16	BRAND BUZZ, LLC et al.,	) )
17	Defendants.	)
18		-
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	_	I-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – BRAND BUZZ	, LLC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615036

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Brand Buzz, LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells liquid soaps such as hand soap that contain coconut oil diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On October 25, 2023, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in liquid soaps such as hand soap that Settling Defendant manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California.
- 1.4 On May 29, 2024, CEH filed the operative complaint in this matter ("Complaint") naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is, or shall be construed as, an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any

other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means liquid soaps, such as hand soaps and dish soaps.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation.** As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains cocamide DEA that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.
- 3.2 **Specification to Suppliers.** If Settling Defendant continues to distribute and/or sell or offer for sale the Covered Products in California, it shall issue specifications to its manufacturers and/or suppliers of any Covered Products that will be available to California consumers, requiring that Covered Products not contain cocamide DEA.

# 3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products.

3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling and/or distributing the Clorox Fraganzia Spring Hand Soap and the Clorox Fraganzia Dish Soap in Spring Scent products that contain cocamide DEA (the "Section 3.3 Products"). On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Products to any of its immediate downstream customers that it knows or has reason to believe resell the Section 3.3 Product in California; and (ii) send instructions to its immediate downstream customers that it knows or has reason to believe resell the Section 3.3 Products in California instructing them to not sell any Section 3.3 Products to California consumers. For purposes of this Section only, Settling Defendant shall have "reason to believe" its Section 3.3 Products are sold by immediate

downstream customers in California or to California consumers if the immediate downstream customer has physical stores in California or an online presence through which it sells the Products.

3.3.2 Within sixty days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with written certification confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section

### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Plaintiff may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Plaintiff may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties. To the extent that Settling Defendant can demonstrate that it distributed or sold the Covered Product subject to the Notice of Violation prior to the Effective Date, the sale of such Covered Product will not constitute a violation of the terms of this Consent Judgment.

### 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within fifteen calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$32,500 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees,

1	in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds		
2	paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories		
3	and made payable as follows:		
4	5.2.1 A civil penalty in the amount of \$4,412 pursuant to Health & Safety		
5	Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety		
6	Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental		
7	Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty		
8	payment of \$3,309 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification		
9	number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:		
10	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
11	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
12	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B		
13	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
14	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics		
16	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
17	1001 I Street, MS #19B		
18	Sacramento, CA 95814  The CEH partial of the civil papelty payment for \$1,103 shall be made payable to the		
19	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,103 shall be made payable to the		
20	Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.  This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San		
21	Francisco, CA 94117.		
22	5.2.2 An Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") in the amount of		
23	\$3,309 to CEH in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and		
24	California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH		
25			
26	programs and activities that seek to educate the public about toxic chemicals, including cocamide DEA, work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with		
27	government, businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health		
28	_5_		

and the environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to cocamide DEA, and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 Settling Defendant shall pay \$24,779 as a reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$20,788 payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$3,991 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$3,309	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$1,103	Lexington Law Group, LLP
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$3,309	Lexington Law Group, LLP
Lexington Law Group, LLP	Fee and Cost	\$20,788	Lexington Law Group, LLP
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$3,991	Lexington Law Group, LLP

5.3 Failure to Comply With Payment Obligations. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 708.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its payment obligations under Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may obtain an order

requiring Settling Defendant to submit to a Debtors Exam. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such Debtors Exam ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

#### 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and the following parties: (1) Settling Defendant, (2) Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and (3) each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant to any Downstream Defendant Releasees prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to

1	warn about cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling			
2	Defendant after the Effective Date.			
3	7.3	Nothing in this Section 7 affects Plaintiff's right to commence or prosecute an		
4	action under Pro	action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant		
5	Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.			
6	8. NOTIC	TE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO		
7	8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
8	notice shall be s	sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
9		Patrick Carey		
10		Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street		
11		San Francisco, CA 94117 pcarey@lexlawgroup.com		
12		pearcy@icxiawgroup.com		
13	8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
14	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:			
15		George Dowell		
16		Lange, Kim & Dowell LLP 6849 Old Dominion Drive, Suite 225		
17		McLean, VA 22101 george@langethomas.com		
18				
19	8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent		
20	by sending the	other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
21	9. COUR	Γ APPROVAL		
22	9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.		
23	Plaintiff shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling			
24	Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.			
25	9.2	Settling Defendant is responsible for payment of any appearance fee required		
26	by or owed to tl	he Court.		
27				
28		-8-		
J	l	-0-		

9.3 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

#### 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

## 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- Should Plaintiff prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should a Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Plaintiff as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Plaintiff's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- 11.2 Plaintiff shall similarly be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs should it successfully resolve any enforcement action during the meet and confer process outlined in Section 4. Plaintiff, and its attorneys, shall provide time keeping records reflecting their reasonable fees and costs associated with any fee and cost demand they make pursuant to this Section.
- 11.3 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

#### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### 13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

### 14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that they are fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

1	16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
2	16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any clair	
3	against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained i	
4	this Consent Judgment.	
5	17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
6	17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts an	
7	by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed t	
8	constitute one document.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ODDEDED ADJUDCED	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
12		
13	Dated:, 2025  Judge of the Superior Court of the State of Californi	
14	range of the superior court of the state of cumonit	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-11-	

CONSENT JUDGMENT – BRAND BUZZ, LLC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615036

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: <u>March 31</u> , 2025	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4	<u> </u>	
5		
6 7		
8		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
9		Chief Executive Officer
10		
11		
12	Dated:, 2025	BRAND BUZZ, LLC
13		
14		~-
15 16		Signature
17		Printed Name
18		
19		Title
20		
21		
22		
23		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
26		
27		
28		
		-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – BRAND BUZZ, LLC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615036

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3		
4	Dated:, 2025	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		
6		
7		
8		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
9		
10		
11		
12	Dated: March 24th, 2025	BRAND BUZZ, LLC
13	Buted: White 24th, 2025	DRAIND BOZZ, EEC
14		Stra
15		Signature
16		Steven Shweky
17		Printed Name
18		President
19		Title
20		
21		
22		
23		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
25 26		
27		
28		
20		-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – BRAND BUZZ, LLC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615036