1 2 3 4	Michael Freund SBN 99687 Michael Freund & Associates 1919 Addison Street, Suite 104 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 499-1992 Email: freund1@aol.com		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.		
6	Matthew R. Orr (SBN 211097) Amin Wasserman Gurnani, LLP 515 South Flower Street, 18 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 933-2330 Email: morr@awglaw.com Attorney for Defendant Mitra-9 Brands LLC		
7			
8			
9			
10 11			
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
15	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	CASE NO. 24CV073459	
16 17	CENTER, INC., a California non-profit corporation	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
18	Plaintiff, vs.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.	
19		Action Filed: April 29, 2024	
20	MITRA-9 BRANDS LLC; MARIJUANA COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.;	Trial Date: April 6, 2026	
21	CDISTRO, INC.; CDISTRO, LLC; and DOES 1-100		
22	Defendants.		
23	Determines.		
24	1 INTRODUCTION		
25	1. INTRODUCTION		
26	1.1 On April 29, 2024, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a		
27 28	non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint")		
20	inning a Complaint for injunctive and Decidiator	y rener and ervir renames (the Complaint)	

pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Mitra-9 Brands LLC ("Mitra-9"), Marijuana Company of America, Inc., cDistro, Inc., cDistro, LLC and Does 1-100. In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Mitra-9 contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are: (1) Mitra 9 Relax Pak Kava Extract Strawberry Watermelon, (2) Mitra 9 Kratom Seltzer Sparkling Dragon Fruit Flavor, (3) Mitra 9 Kratom Seltzer Sparkling Tangerine Flavor, (4) Mitra 9 Sparkling Kandy Kava, (5) Mitra 9 Kratom Seltzer Sparkling Black Cherry Flavor, (6) Mitra 9 Kratom Seltzer Sparkling Tropical Flavor, (7) Mitra 9 Sparkling Lemonade Kava, (8) Mitra 9 Sparkling Orange Dreamsicle Kava, and (9) Mitra 9 Sparkling Strawberry Watermelon Kava.

- **1.2** ERC and Mitra-9 are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment"), the Parties agree that Mitra-9 is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Mitra-9 manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notices of Violation dated February 8, 2024 and February 15, 2024 that were served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Mitra-9 ("Notices"). True and correct copies of the 60-Day Notices dated February 8, 2024, and February 15, 2024, are attached hereto as **Exhibits A** and **B** and each is incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notices were served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and Mitra-9 and no designated

governmental entity has filed a Complaint against Mitra-9 with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

- 1.6 ERC's Notices and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products by California consumers exposes them to lead without first receiving clear and reasonable warnings from Mitra-9, which is in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Mitra-9 denies all material allegations contained in the Notices and Complaint.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.

 Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is five (5) days after the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Mitra-9 as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning fifty-five (55) days after the Effective Date (the "Compliance Date"),

Mitra-9 shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Product that exposes a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.

- **3.1.1** As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Mitra-9 knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- **3.1.2** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

3.2 **Clear and Reasonable Warnings**

If Mitra-9 is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, Mitra-9 shall provide one of the following warning statements ("Warning"):

OPTION 1:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which is known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information, go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

OR

26

27

28

OPTION 2:

▲ WARNING: [Cancer and]Reproductive Harm - www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

Mitra-9 shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if Mitra-9 has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined

1

4 5

> 7 8

6

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if Mitra-9 has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present at a level requiring a cancer warning. If there is a chemical present at a level that requires a cancer warning, the chemical requiring use of the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning shall always be identified.

Beginning on the Compliance Date, for any Covered Product sold over the internet, the Warning shall appear on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product or on the Covered Product's primary display page or another place where a consumer is reasonably likely to encounter the Warning prior to completing the purchase. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products are subject to the Warning. The Warning may be provided with a conspicuous hyperlink stating "WARNING" in all capital and bold letters so long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the Warning without content that detracts from the Warning. A Warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser has to search for it in the general content of the website. In addition, beginning on the Compliance Date, the Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the label of each Covered Product and it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box.

For the Option 2 Warning, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline shall be placed to the left of the text of the Warning, in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING." Where the label for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. If the Option 2 Warning is displayed on the label of a Covered Product, it must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the product, and in no event in a type size smaller than 6-point type.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on the website or on the label, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning. Further, no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the

listed chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

Mitra-9 must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label, or on its website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. Where a sign or label used to provide the Warning for a Covered Product includes consumer information about the Covered Product in a language other than English, the Warning must also be provided in that language in addition to English.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "label" means a display of written, printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to a Covered Product or its immediate container or wrapper.

So long as Mitra-9 can provide adequate documentation, if requested in writing by ERC, Covered Products manufactured and not in the possession or under the control of Mitra-9 on or prior to the Compliance Date, or that have been shipped or Distributed into the State of California by Mitra-9 and are, therefore, not in the possession or under the control of Mitra-9 prior to the Compliance Date, are not bound by the injunctive terms set forth in this Section 3, including but not limited to the Daily Lead Exposure Level and the Warning and Testing Requirements, and are instead permitted to be sold as is to California consumers and are expressly released by Section 8.

3.3 Conforming Covered Products

A Conforming Covered Product is a Covered Product for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the exposure methodology set forth in Section 3.1.2 and the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4, and that is not known by Mitra-9 to contain other chemicals that violate Proposition 65's safe harbor thresholds.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, for Covered Products that Mitra-9 manufactures for sale in the State of California, Distributes into the State of California, or directly sells into the State of California, Mitra-9 shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years by

arranging for testing of three (3) randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the California end-user, which Mitra-9 intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, Mitra-9 reformulates any of the Covered Products, Mitra-9 shall test that Covered Product annually for at least two (2) consecutive years after such change is made.

- **3.4.2** For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the highest lead detection result of the three (3) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection and limit of quantification, sensitivity, accuracy and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.005 mg/kg.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- **3.4.5** Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Mitra-9's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- **3.4.6** Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, Mitra-9 shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. Mitra-9 shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of three years from the date of each test. ERC shall treat all

documents provided by Mitra-9 pursuant to this Section as confidential.

- 3.4.7 The testing and reporting requirements of Section 3.4 do not apply to any Covered Product for which Mitra-9 is providing a Warning, continuously and without interruption from the Compliance Date, pursuant to Section 3.2 of this Consent Judgment. In the event a Warning is provided after the Compliance Date but Mitra-9 thereafter ceases to provide the Warning, the testing and reporting requirements of Section 3.4 of this Agreement shall apply beginning within 90-days after the date the Warning ceases to be provided, unless Mitra-9 can show to the reasonable satisfaction of ERC that the cessation in providing the Warning was a temporary error that was resolved when discovered.
- 3.5 Nothing in Section 3 of this Consent Judgment shall prevent or preclude ERC from obtaining and relying upon its own testing for purposes of enforcement, so long as such testing meets the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4. Nothing in Section 3.4 of this Consent Judgment is intended by either party to set a precedent for the level of lead or other chemicals that is permissible in consumer products under Proposition 65.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- **4.1** In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, Mitra-9 shall make a total payment of \$67,500.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC within 5 days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). Mitra-9 shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's account, for which ERC will give Mitra-9 the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$7,500.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$5,625.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$1,875.00) of the civil penalty.
- **4.3** \$7,731.89 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
 - **4.4** \$18,300.00 shall be distributed to Michael Freund & Associates as

reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$33,968.11 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

4.5 In the event that Mitra-9 fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, Mitra-9 shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Mitra-9 via electronic mail. If Mitra-9 fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, Mitra-9 agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- **5.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If either Party seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then the requesting party must provide written notice to the non-requesting party of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If the non-requesting party seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then it must provide written notice to the requesting party within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If the non-requesting party notifies the requesting party in a timely manner of its intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person, via remote meeting, or by telephone within thirty (30) days of notification of an intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if the non-requesting party disputes the proposed modification, it shall provide to the requesting party a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in

an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

5.3 In the event that Mitra-9 initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or joint application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, Mitra-9 shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- **6.1** This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product, not including those Covered Products that were not in the possession or under the control of Mitra-9 on or prior to the Compliance Date, fails to qualify as a Conforming Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Mitra-9 in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Mitra-9 to identify the Covered Products at issue. Mitra-9 shall, within forty-five (45) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4 and/or otherwise demonstrating Mitra-9's compliance with the Consent Judgment. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and that is not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- **8.1** This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Mitra-9 and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of Mitra-9), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties").
- 8.2 ERC, acting in the public interest, releases the Released Parties from any and all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violation. ERC, on behalf of itself only, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead to and including the Effective Date.
- 8.3 ERC on its own behalf only, and Mitra-9 on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notices and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- **8.4** It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and Mitra-9 on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and

Mitra-9 acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.2 and 8.3 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

ERC on behalf of itself only, and Mitra-9 on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- **8.5** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any of the Released Parties regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices and Complaint.
- **8.6** Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Mitra-9's products other than the Covered Products that are manufactured for sale in California, sold to consumers in California, or "Distributed into the State of California."

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or via electronic mail where required. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

1 FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.: Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director 2 **Environmental Research Center** 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 3 San Diego, CA 92108 4 Ph: (619) 500-3090 Email: chris.heptinstall@erc501c3.org 5 With a copy to: 6 Michael Freund 7 Michael Freund & Associates 1919 Addison Street, Suite 104 8 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 499-1992 9 Email: freund1@aol.com 10 FOR MITRA-9 BRANDS LLC: 11 Dallas Vasquez, Founder Mitra-9 Brands LLC 12 2501 Alessio Drive, 13 Fort Myers, Florida 33905 Ph: (330) 620-2567 14 Email: dallas@mitra-9.com 15 With a copy to: 16 Matthew R. Orr (SBN 211097) Amin Wasserman Gurnani, LLP 17 515 South Flower Street, 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 18 Telephone: (213) 933-2330 19 Email: morr@awglaw.com 20 21 **COURT APPROVAL** 12. 22 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a 12.1 23 Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this 24 Consent Judgment. 25 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, 26 the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible 27 prior to the hearing on the motion. 28 12.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have

no force or effect.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, via remote meeting, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are

provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.
- (3) Retain jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 664.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure, after the Consent Judgment is entered in order to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated:	_, 2025	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.
		By:Chris Heptinstall Executive Director