1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST	TATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF S	SAN FRANCISCO	
0			
1			
2	CENTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
3	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	) Case No. CGC-24-615171	
4	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
5	v.  KHS AMERICA, INC., et al.,	RE: KHS AMERICA, INC.	
6	Defendants.		
.7	Defendants.	) )	
8		)	
9			
20			
21	1. INTRODUCTION		
2	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment	are the Center for Environmental Health, a	
23	California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and KHS	S America, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH	
24	and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this	Consent Judgment to settle certain claims	
25	asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set fo	orth in the operative complaint ("Complaint")	
26	in the above-captioned matter.		
27 28	1.2 On or about February 22, 2024, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of		
-	CONSENT JUDGMENT – KHS AMERICA, INC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615171		

Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in
California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000,
and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing
persons to lead contained in brass mouthpieces used with musical instruments without first
providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On May 31, 2024, CEH filed the original Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Settling Defendant expressly denies the material allegations contained in the Complaint and Notices and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty. Defendant expressly denies any liability for any of the claims asserted and the facts alleged in the Complaint and Notices.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Settling

1	Defendant. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right,		
2	remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal		
3	proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is		
4	accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues		
5	disputed in this action.		
6	2. DEFINITIONS		
7	2.1 The "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.		
8	2.2 "Compliance Date" means ninety (90) days after the Effective Date.		
9	2.3 "Covered Products" means brass mouthpieces used with musical instruments.		
10	2.4 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent		
11	Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.		
12	2.5 "Reformulation Level" means 100 parts per million ("ppm") lead, which shall be		
13	determined by total content testing.		
14	3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
15	3.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.		
16	3.1.1 <b>Warnings</b> . As of the Compliance Date, any Covered Product that is not		
17	a Reformulated Covered Product and is sold or offered for sale in California by Settling		
18	Defendant shall contain a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of the		
19	Section 3.1 in the following form:		
20	3.1.1.1 Long Form Warning.		
21	lack		
22	<b>WARNING:</b> This product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State		
23	of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For		
24	more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.		
25	2 1 1 2 Alternative Wernings Brief to January 1 2029 Brief to January		
26	3.1.1.2 Alternative Warnings Prior to January 1, 2028. Prior to January		
27	1, 2028, Settling Defendant may comply with the provisions of this Section 3.1 by displaying the		
28	following warning or one of the warnings provided for in Section 3.1.1.3:		



**WARNING:** Cancer and Reproductive Harm. See <a href="www.P65Warnings.ca.gov">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</a>.

3.1.1.3 **Alternative Warnings Following January 1, 2028.** Beginning on January 1, 2028, the alternative warning provided for in Section 3.1.1.2 shall no longer satisfy the warning provisions of this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant may continue to comply with the provisions of this Section 3.1 by displaying one of the following warnings:



**WARNING:** Risk of cancer and reproductive harm from exposure to Lead. See www.P65Warnings.ca.gov;

Or



**WARNING:** Can expose you to lead, a carcinogen and reproductive toxicant. See <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</a>.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print and shall be preceded by the yellow warning triangle symbol depicted above. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging or tag of the Covered Product and shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read, and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. The warning statement must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. For internet, catalog, or any other online sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the Warning or Alternative Warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING," appears on the Covered Product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. Where a sign

or label used to provide a warning includes consumer information about a product in a language other than English, the warning shall also be provided in that language in addition to English.

- 3.2 Optional Reformulation of Covered Products. After the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant may, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products in California without meeting the warning requirements of Section 3.1 so long as those Covered Products meet the Reformulation Level ("Reformulated Covered Products"). Should Settling Defendant avail itself of this Section 3.2, it shall notify CEH in writing and concurrently with its release of the Reformulated Covered Products of its intent to do so and provide CEH with information to identify the Reformulated Covered Products, such as SKU and product name, as well as a testing result demonstrating that the Reformulated Covered Products meet the Reformulation Level.
- 3.3 **Compliance with Warning Regulations.** Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by adhering to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning requirements adopted or implemented by OEHHA applicable to the Covered Product after the Effective Date.
- 3.4 Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce on or before the Compliance Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of Defendant, or any Releasees (if applicable), stated in this Section 3 do not apply to Covered Products that are manufactured, in the process of being manufactured, packaged, in the process of being packaged, or put into commerce prior to the Compliance Date.

## 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions.** CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of California County of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action may be

filed in the absence of such good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. Should an exceedance of the reformulation standard(s) in Section 3.2 be alleged, Settling Defendant must be provided with written notice and data supporting such an allegation and thirty (30) days to address the allegations before an enforcement motion may be filed. No violation of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to occur if Settling Defendant demonstrates that its own testing of the Covered Product at issue is in accordance with Section 3.2. Only CEH may enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

- 4.2 The inability of Settling Defendant to comply with any deadline set forth in this Consent Judgment due to an act of terrorism, fire, earthquake, civil disorders, war, or act of God that is beyond the reasonable control of Settling Defendant shall be grounds to move for modification of the deadlines set forth in this Consent Judgment.
- 4.3 CEH and its attorneys shall be entitled to collect their reasonable fees and costs should they resolve an alleged violation during the meet and confer process described in Section 4.1, except that Settling Defendant's failure to comply with the notification requirements of Section 3.2 shall not provide a basis for CEH or its attorneys to recover their reasonable fees and costs under this Section 4.3. Both CEH and its attorneys will, upon request by Settling Defendant, provide their itemized time records substantiating their requested reasonable fees and costs.

## 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$30,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late

1	fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees and
2	costs, in the event of a successful enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this
3	Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below
4	between the following categories and made payable as follows:
5	5.2.1 \$3,769 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
6	The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §
7	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health
8	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
9	payment for \$2,826.75, shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer
10	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
11	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
12	Attn: Mike Gyurics
13	Deputy Director for Administrative Services Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
14	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
15	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
16	Attn: Mike Gyurics
17	Deputy Director for Administrative Services Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
18	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
19	
20	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$942.25 shall be made payable to the Center
21	for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This
22	payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco,
23	CA 94117.
24	5.2.2 \$2,800 as an ASP to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code §
25	25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these
26	funds in CEH's Toxics and Youth Fund and use them to: (1) support CEH programs and
27	activities that seek to educate the public about lead and other toxic chemicals in consumer
28	

products that are marketed to youth; (2) expand its use of social media to communicate with Californians about the risks of exposures to lead in the products they and their children use and about ways to reduce those exposures; and (3) work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposures to lead and other toxic chemicals, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposures to lead and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$23,431 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$19,700 payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and (b) \$3,731 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, LLP, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$ 2,826.75	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center for Environmental Health	Penalty	\$ 942.25	LLG
Center for Environmental Health	ASP	\$ 2,800.00	LLG
Lexington Law Group, LLP	Fees and Costs	\$ 19,700.00	LLG
Center for Environmental Health	Fees and Costs	\$ 3,731.00	LLG

- -

- \_\_

- \_

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.1.1 If a California court enters a judgment in the Action or another Proposition 65 enforcement action over exposure to lead in Covered Products or products substantially similar to Covered Products that imposes a different injunctive relief from what is set for in this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may seek to modify Section 3 of this Consent Judgment to conform with the injunctive relief provided in such judgment. CEH may oppose such modification, if good cause exists for such opposition.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of all claims arising under Proposition 65 relating to alleged exposure to lead from Covered Products as to all claims pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d) that were raised or could have been raised in the Notices or Action, arising from the failure to warn under Proposition 65 regarding the presence of lead in Covered Products, between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, owners, members, managers, representatives, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to any and all distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to lead contained in Covered

1	Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the
2	Compliance Date.
3	7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under
4	Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever
5	discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream
6	Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or
7	common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding the failure to
8	warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured,
9	distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Compliance Date.
10	7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under
11	Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant
12	shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and
13	Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead in
14	Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Compliance
15	Date.
16	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE
17	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
18	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
19	Patrick Carey
20	Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street
21	San Francisco, CA 94117 pcarey@lexlawgroup.com
22	
23	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
24	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
25	Jerry Goldenson KHS America, Inc.
26	12020 Volunteer Blvd. Mount Juliet, TN 37122
27	,
28	

# Jerry.Goldenson@khsmusic.com

1 2

With a copy by first class and electronic mail to:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

J.T. Wells Blaxter Blaxter | Blackman LLP 601 Montgomery Street, Suite 1110 San Francisco, CA 94111 wblaxter@blaxterlaw.com

Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

#### 9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

#### 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### **ATTORNEYS' FEES** 11.

- 11.1 Except as provided in Section 11.2, should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding pursuant to this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.
- 11.2 Should CEH prevail on any motion or application for enforcement of the injunctive provisions pursuant to Section 3, and Settling Defendant had provided to CEH, before it filed such motion or application, a test report either (i) from a sample of the Covered Product

1	I	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		

taken prior to the issuance of the Notice of Violation, or (ii) from the sample of the Covered Product that forms the basis of the Notice of Violation that was provided to the Settling Defendant by CEH, then CEH may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs only upon a finding by the Court that the Settling Defendant's opposition to the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 11.3 Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against CEH as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification.
- 11.4 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.5 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in

1	writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent		
2	Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof		
3	whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.		
4	13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
5	13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to implement or modify the		
6	Consent Judgment.		
7	14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
8	14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
9	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
10	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.		
11	15. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS		
12	15.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling		
13	Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or		
14	assigns of any of them.		
15	16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
16	16.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
17	against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained in		
18	this Consent Judgment.		
19	17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
20	17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
21	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
22	constitute one document.		
23			
24	11 15 50 OKDERED, ADJUDGED,		
25	AND DECREED.		
26			
27	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court		
28	13		

1	IT IS SO STIPU	LATED:	
2			
3	Dated:	July 3, 2025	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4			
5			
6			Signature
7			Kizzy Charles-Guzman
8			Printed Name
9			
10			CEO Title
11			
12 13	Dated:	, 2025	KHS AMERICA, INC.
14			
15			
16			Signature
17			
18			Printed Name
19			
20			Title
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			14
		CONSENT JUDGMENT – KHS	AMERICA, INC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615171

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated:,	2025 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALT
4		
5		
5		Signature
7		
8		Printed Name
9		š.
0		Title
1		
2	Dated: July 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2025	KHS AMERICA, INC.
3	Dated. July 23, 2023	
4 5		Signature L. S. M.
6		Signature
7		Gerald L. Goldenson
8		Printed Name
9		D (1
0		<u>President</u> Title
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		14
	CONSENT JUDG	MENT – KHS AMERICA, INC. – CASE NO. CGC-24-615171