1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP				
2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Mary Haley Ousley, State Bar No. 332711				
3	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117				
4	Telephone: (415) 913-7800				
5	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mhousley@lexlawgroup.com				
6					
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
10					
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. CGC-24-619214			
12	a non-profit corporation,				
13	D1-:-4:66	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ECOLAB USA,			
14	Plaintiff,	INC.			
15	V.				
16	ECOLAB USA, INC., et al.,				
17	Defendants.				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1				
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – ECOLAB USA, INC CASE NO. CGC-24-619214				

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") and Defendant Ecolab USA, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 CEH alleges that Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells shampoo that contains 1,4-Dioxane in the State of California or have done so in the past.
- 1.3 On March 7, 2024 CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of 1,4-Dioxane in shampoo that is distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.4 On October 24, 2024, CEH filed the above-captioned action in the Superior Court of California for San Francisco County, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in this action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and

1	is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in		
2	this action.		
3	2. DEFINITIONS		
4	2.1 "Compliance Date" means the date that is twelve months following the		
5	Effective Date.		
6	2.2 "Covered Products" means shampoo manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by		
7	Settling Defendant in California.		
8	2.3 "Effective Date" means the date on notice of entry of this Consent Judgment		
9	by the Court is served on Settling Defendant.		
10	2.4 "Optional Lower Reformulation Level" means 3 parts per million ("ppm")		
11	1,4-Dioxane.		
12	2.5 "Reformulation Level" means 10 ppm 1,4-Dioxane.		
13	3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
14	3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. On and after the Compliance Date,		
15	Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any		
16	Covered Product that contains 1,4-Dioxane in excess of the Reformulation Level as determined		
17	using Headspace Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, or similar method agreed upon by the		
18	Parties to this Consent Judgment, except as provided in Section 3.2.		
19	3.2 Sell-Through for Existing Inventory. The reformulation requirements of		
20	Sections 3.1 and 3.3 shall not apply to Covered Products that Settling Defendant had purchased or		
21	manufactured prior to the Compliance Date, including but not limited to Covered Products in		
22	distribution centers, in inventory, or at retail locations.		
23	3.3 Optional Lower Reformulation Level. Should Settling Defendant elect to		
24	comply with the Optional Lower Reformulation Level, it shall inform CEH of such election upon		
25	execution of this Consent Judgment and shall comply with the provisions of this section and		
26	subsection in lieu of Section 3.1 above and make the reduced Settlement Payment as set forth on		
27	Exhibit A.		
28			

- 3.3.1 Optional Lower Reformulation Level Requirements. On and after the Compliance Date, if Settling Defendant chooses to comply with the Optional Lower Reformulation Level, it shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that contains 1,4-Dioxane in excess of the Optional Lower Reformulation Level as determined using Headspace Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, or similar method agreed upon by the Parties to this Consent Judgment, except as provided in Section 3.2.
- 3.3.2 In the event that Settling Defendant chooses to comply with the Optional Lower Reformulation Level and is unable to do so, it may notify CEH on or before the Compliance Date and make a payment equal to the difference between the payment for the Reformulation Level and Optional Lower Reformulation Level as set forth on Exhibit A. The additional payment shall be paid and delivered in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.1 within 15 days following the Compliance Date.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation, including, at a minimum, an analytical report demonstrating 1,4-Dioxane content above the applicable standard set forth in Section 3. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail, after 30 days from CEH's delivery of the aforementioned Notice of Violation CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. In ruling on any motion to enforce the terms of this Section, the Court may, in addition to ordering compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, employ such remedies as necessary to ensure compliance with Proposition 65 including, but not limited to, requiring Settling Defendant to provide warnings. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application to enforce a material violation of this Consent Judgment under this Section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should

26

27

28

Settling
may be
upon a
faith.
require
moneta
of a su
Defend

Settling Defendant prevail on any motion or application under this Section, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application was not in good faith. Notwithstanding any of the above, upon CEH's first motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Settling Defendants' monetary liability and CEH's total monetary recovery shall be limited to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of a such motion or application, and CEH shall not seek to impose a civil penalty on Settling Defendant.

5. PAYMENTS

- Settling Defendant shall pay the total settlement amount as described in Exhibit A. As set forth therein, the total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five separate checks in the amounts specified on Exhibit A for Settling Defendant and delivered as set forth below. Unless excused by Force Majeure or exceptional circumstances reasonably beyond the control of Settling Defendant, any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth above. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The Settlement Payment shall be divided as among the following:
- 5.1.1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). This payment shall be delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B

-5-

1 2

Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

6

3

4

5

7

8

9

1112

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

The CEH portion of the civil penalty shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.1.2 An Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including 1,4-Dioxane, in personal care products. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.1.3 A reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks with a portion payable to the Lexington Law Group, LLP and associated with taxpayer identification number 88-4399775; and the remainder payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

18

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

5.2 If Settling Defendant is unable to comply with the Optional Lower Reformulation Level, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$10,000 to be split between a civil penalty and ASP as set forth in Exhibit A. Of the additional payment, \$5,000 shall be a civil penalty, apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment of \$3,750 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.1.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment of \$1,250 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. \$1,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 for fees and costs associated with the additional payment. The remaining \$3,500 of the additional payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and shall be used as set forth in Section 5.1.2 above. Both payments to CEH shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall provide notice to and attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders,

successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers as well as franchisees, suppliers, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to 1,4-Dioxane contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date. For purposes hereof, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees shall be collectively referred to as "Releasees".

- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, covenants not to sue, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant and all Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually regarding the failure to warn about exposure to 1,4-Dioxane contained in Covered Products (i) sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Compliance Date or (ii) which Settling Defendant purchased prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about 1,4-Dioxane in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

8. NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

1			Mark N. Todzo	
2			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street	
3	San Francisco, CA 94117			
4			mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
5		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent	
	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:			
6			Greg Sperla	
7			DLA Piper LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400	
8			San Francisco, CA 94105	
9			Greg.Sperla@us.dlapiper.com	
10		8.3	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent	
11	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.			
12	9. COURT APPROVAL			
13		9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH	
14	shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant			
15	shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.			
16	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or			
17	effect	and shall no	ever be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any	
18	purpo	se other than	n to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.	
19	10.	GOVERN	NING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION	
20		10.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State	
21	of California.			
22	11. ATTORNEYS' FEES			
23		11.1	Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear	
24	its own attorneys' fees and costs.			
25		11.2	Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of	
26	sancti	ons pursuan	t to law.	
27				
28				
PARED			_9_	

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

13.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

1.14 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

1	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
2		
3		
4	Dated:, 2024	Judge of the Superior Court
5		
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8		
9	Dated: November 8, 2024	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
10	Dated. 14000111001 0, 2024	
11		. ~
12		
13		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer
14		Chief Executive Officer
15		
16	D . 1	
17	Dated:, 2024	ECOLAB USA, INC.
18		
19		Signature
20 21		
22		Printed Name
23		
24		Title
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-11-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – ECOLAB USA, INC. - CASE NO. CGC-24-619214

1	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
2			
3			
4	Dated:, 2024	Judge of the Superior Court	
5			
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8			
9	D . 1	CENTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MEATING	
10	Dated:, 2024	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
11			
12			
13		Kizzy Charles-Guzman	
14		Kizzy Charles-Guzman Chief Executive Officer	
15			
16			
17	Dated: November 6, 2024	ECOLAB USA, INC.	
18		Sandenth	
19 20		Signature	
20		Jandeen M. Brone	
22		Printed Name	
23		Printed Name General Counsel Title	
24		Title	
25			
26		*	
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11-		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – ECOLAB USA, INC CASE NO. CGC-24-619214		

EXHIBIT A

		Amount	Amount	
Payee	Туре	Reformulation Level (10ppm)	Optional Lower Reformulation Level (3ppm)	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$ 6,750	\$ 3,000	OEHHA per Section 5.1.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$ 2,250	\$ 1,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$ 6,050	\$ 2,550	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$ 21,250	\$ 19,750	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$ 3,700	\$ 3,700	LLG

1611107540.2

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

-12-