

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

**BETWEEN**

**SARA HAMMOND**

**AND**

**TEE-ZED PRODUCTS, LLC**

Sara Hammond (“Hammond”) and Tee-Zed Products LLC and related entities (herein collectively referred to as “TZ”) (Hammond and TZ are collectively referred to as the “Parties”), enter into this agreement (“Settlement Agreement”) for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation to settle Hammond’s allegations that TZ violated Proposition 65. The effective date of this Settlement Agreement shall be the date upon which it is fully executed by all Parties hereto (the “Effective Date”).

**1. Introduction**

- 1.1. Hammond alleges she is an individual who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.2. TZ previously either sold, offered for sale, imported, distributed, or manufactured the Dreambaby 3 in 1 travel organizer SKU 06294291405600129910; UPC Not visible (referred to throughout as the “Covered Product”).
- 1.3. Hammond alleges that the Covered Product contains Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (“DEHP”), also known as Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, and that TZ did not provide a required warning in compliance with the

California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* (“Proposition 65”)).

- 1.4. On January 1, 1988 the Governor of California added DEHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, and on October 24, 2003, the Governor added DEHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause developmental male reproductive toxicity. These additions took place more than twenty (20) months before Hammond served her “Sixty-Day Notice Of Violation Sent in Compliance With California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)” which is further described below.
- 1.5. DEHP is referred to hereafter as the “Listed Chemical”.
- 1.6. On or about March 29, 2024 Hammond alleges she served TZ, Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation and certain relevant public enforcement agencies with a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation that was sent pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) alleging the Covered Product contained the Listed Chemical (the “Notice”).
- 1.7. The Notice alleged that TZ violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn consumers in California that use of the Covered Products exposed persons to the Listed Chemical.
- 1.8. The Parties enter into this Settlement Agreement to settle disputed claims between them concerning the Parties’ and the Covered Products’ compliance with Proposition 65 (the “Dispute”).

- 1.9. TZ disputes and does not admit Hammond's allegations described in this Settlement Agreement and in the Notice.
- 1.10. By execution of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law against interest, including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law regarding any violation of Proposition 65, or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed as an admission against interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed, considered, offered, or admitted as evidence of an admission against interest or evidence of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by TZ, its officers, directors, employees, or parents, subsidiaries or affiliated corporations, licensees, licensors, suppliers, manufacturers, importers, distributors or retailers of its products, in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Except for the allegations settled and compromised, nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense that Hammond or TZ may have in any other legal proceeding as to allegations unrelated to the Dispute or claims released herein.

**2. Release**

- 2.1. This Settlement Agreement is a full, final, and binding resolution between Hammond, acting in her individual capacity, on the one hand, and (a) TZ, and its owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, sister and related companies, licensees,

licensors, suppliers, manufacturers, importers, employees, shareholders, members, officers, directors, insurers, attorneys, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively “Releasees”) and (b) Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation, and related entities, and Burlington Coat Factory of Texas, Inc. and all parent, subsidiary, and affiliated entities, and any additional entities to whom Releasees directly or indirectly provide, distribute, or sell the Covered Product (“Downstream Releasees”), on the other hand, of any violation(s) or claimed violation(s) of Proposition 65 or any statutory or common law claim that has been, could have been or may in the future be asserted against the Releasees and Downstream Releasees regarding exposing persons to any Proposition 65 listed chemical or the failure to warn about exposure to any Proposition 65 listed chemical arising in connection with the Covered Product sold, offered for sale, or otherwise distributed by TZ up through and including the Effective Date, even if sold by Releasees or Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date.

- 2.2. Hammond, on behalf of herself and her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives and releases with respect to the Covered Product all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys’ fees) (collectively “Claims”), against Releasees and the Downstream Releasees that arise under Proposition 65 or any other

statutory or common law claims that were or could have been asserted in connection with the Covered Product sold or otherwise distributed by TZ up to the Effective Date, including without limitation to the extent that such claims relate to Releasees' or Downstream Releasees' alleged exposure of persons to any Proposition 65 listed chemicals contained in the Covered Product or any failure by Releasees or Downstream Releasees to warn about exposures to any Proposition 65 listed chemical contained in the Covered Product up through and including the Effective Date.

- 2.3. Hammond acknowledges that she is familiar with Section 1542 of Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Hammond, on behalf of herself and her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which she may have under, or which may be conferred on her by the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that she may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters up through and including the Effective Date. The release in this

section shall have no force or effect until the full amount of payments set forth below are paid in full.

2.4. TZ's release of Hammond

TZ on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Hammond and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Product up through and including the Effective Date.

**3. TZ's Duties**

3.1. Effective on and after the Effective Date TZ shall not sell the Covered Product for distribution in California unless the product is a "Reformulated Covered Product" as defined in Paragraph 3.2 or unless TZ provides a Compliant Warning as defined in Paragraph 3.3 for any non-reformulated Covered Product that it sells in California. Compliance with this Settlement Agreement by TZ shall constitute compliance under Proposition 65 with regard to Covered products sold, offered for sale, imported, manufactured or otherwise distributed after the Effective Date.

3.2. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, "Reformulated Covered Products" are Covered Products containing no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP in any accessible component ("accessible component" is defined as any component that could be touched by a person during reasonably foreseeable use). In order to determine compliance with this reformulation standard, TZ may rely on third party testing from an accredited laboratory.

- 3.3. The Parties agree that should TZ choose to provide a Prop 65 warning concerning the Covered Product rather than reformulate the Covered Product, any Prop 65 warning used by TZ must meet the requirements set forth in California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Article 6, Subarticle 2 for products containing DEHP and appropriate in method and content for the given method of sale to the consumer.
- 3.4. The Parties agree that the specifications for Compliant Warnings in this Settlement Agreement comply with Proposition 65 and its regulations as of the date of this Settlement Agreement, and with regulations adopted on or about August 30, 2016 and which become effective August 30, 2018.
- 3.5. If modifications or amendments to Proposition 65 or its “safe harbor” regulations after the Effective Date are adopted as to what constitutes a “clear and reasonable warning,” Defendant may modify the content and delivery methods of its warnings to conform to the modified or amended provisions of Proposition 65 or its regulations.

#### **4. Payments**

- 4.1. TZ agrees to pay a total of eighteen thousand five hundred dollars (\$18,500.00) as further specified below.

All payments shall be made by check drawn on a US bank and sent via overnight mail or by domestic ACH as per the agreement between the parties and further specified as follows:

- 4.1.1. Civil Penalty: Within 5 days of the Effective Date TZ shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) as

penalties pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 as follows: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of three hundred and seventy five dollars (\$375.00), representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Sara Hammond in the amount of one hundred and twenty five dollars (\$125.00), representing 25% of the total penalty. Additionally, two separate 1099's shall be issued for the above payments. The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, PO Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$375.00. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$125.00 to Sara Hammond be addressed to Sara Hammond C/O Joseph D. Agliozzo, Law Corporation and shall be delivered to Joseph D. Agliozzo, Law Corporation, 1601 N. Sepulveda, #649, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266. At TZ's request, Hammond's counsel shall provide TZ with a W-9 for Hammond prior to the Effective Date. Alternatively TZ may send payment of \$500 via ACH as agreed by the parties and plaintiff's counsel will distribute payment to OEHHA and Sara Hammond as described above.

- 4.1.2. Attorneys' Fees and Costs: A total of eighteen thousand dollars (\$18,000) shall be paid to Joseph D. Agliozzo, Law Corporation as Hammond's attorney, for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and other costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to



TZ's attention. At TZ's request, Hammond's counsel shall provide TZ with a W-9 for Joseph D. Agliozzo, Law Corporation prior to the Effective Date.

Payments shall be made as follows:

- (1) Within 5 days of the Effective Date - \$1,500 via check or ACH;
- (2) On the 15<sup>th</sup> of each month from August 2024 to June 2025 - \$1,500 via ACH.

If TZ misses a payment deadline by more than three business days, on the first occasion plaintiff's counsel will notify TZ's counsel and TZ's counsel will contact TZ and inform TZ that TZ has 5 additional business days to make payment, if TZ does not meet the 5 business day deadline then the remaining balance will be due immediately.

The above procedure will apply only to the first late payment, after the first late payment any additional payment that is late by more than three business days will result in all remaining amounts being due and payable immediately.

The release of any and all defendants in this matter will be contingent on payment in full of all amounts, if TZ fails to pay the full amount Hammond reserves the right to pursue a breach of contract claim against TZ and potentially a Prop 65 action against TZ and/or Burlington.

5. **Authority to Enter Into Settlement Agreement**

5.1. Hammond represents that she has full authority to enter into and legally bind Hammond to this Settlement Agreement.

5.2. The person signing this Settlement Agreement on behalf of TZ represents and warrants that he/she has been granted full authority to enter into and legally bind TZ to this Settlement Agreement.

6. **Report of the Settlement Agreement to the Office of the Attorney General of California**

Hammond shall report this Settlement Agreement to the Attorney General's Office within five (5) days of the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement.

7. **Execution in Counterparts and Facsimile**

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute the same document. A facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature shall be as valid as the original as an electronic record executed and adopted by a Party with the intent to sign the electronic record pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 1633.1-1633.17.

8. **Entire Agreement**

This Settlement Agreement contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and all related prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings. No other promises or agreements, oral or otherwise, exist to bind any of the Parties or are being relied on by any of the Parties in connection with the execution of this Settlement Agreement.

**9. Modification of Settlement Agreement**

Any modification to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and signed by the Parties.

**10. Application of Settlement Agreement**

This Settlement Agreement shall apply to, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, Hammond and the Releasees and Downstream Releasees identified in Section 2 above.

**11. Enforcement of Settlement Agreement**

If one Party alleges the other Party is in breach of this Settlement Agreement, that Party shall notify the other party. The Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith for a period of up to thirty days from the initial notice to attempt to resolve the allegation. If informal efforts fail, any party may file suit before the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, consistent with the terms and conditions set forth in this Settlement Agreement, to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Settlement Agreement. The prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such proceeding. This Settlement Agreement may be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto.

**12. Notification Requirements**

Any notice required or permitted hereunder shall be effective only if given in writing and delivered in person, or via certified or registered mail return receipt requested, or via traceable overnight delivery service, to the following designees:

For Hammond:

Joseph D. Agliozzo  
Law Office of Joseph D. Agliozzo

1601 N. Sepulveda Boulevard, #649  
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

For TZ:

Tony Ziegler

Managing Director

Tee-Zed Products Pty Limited

Level 3, 282 Oxford Street Bondi Junction NSW 2022, Australia

PO Box 2022 Bondi Junction NSW 1355

With copy to counsel for TZ:

David P. Callet  
Principal  
CalletLaw, LLC  
5335 Wisconsin Ave. NW, Suite 440  
Washington, D.C. 20015

Any party may change its designee(s) for purposes of notification by providing written notice of such change pursuant to this section. Courtesy notices via email to counsel may be provided.

**13. Severability**

If, subsequent to the execution of this Settlement Agreement, any of the provisions of this Settlement Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.


**14. Governing Law**

The terms of this Settlement Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and shall apply only to Covered Products sold to a California consumer. In the

event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Listed Chemical and/or the Covered Product, then TZ shall provide written notice to Hammond of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Product is so affected.


SARA HAMMOND

Date: July 8, 2024

By:   
Printed Name: Sara Hammond

TEE-ZED PRODUCTS LLC

Date: July 9, 2024

By:   
Printed Name: Tony Ziegler  
Title: Managing Director