1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (Bar No. 223381) p65@manninglawoffice.com MANNING LAW, APC 26100 Towne Centre Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 <i>Tel:</i> (949) 200-8755 <i>Fax:</i> (866) 843-8308 <i>Attorney for Plaintiff</i> <i>Calsafe Research Center, Inc.</i> SUPERIOR COURT OF THI	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16	COUNTY OF L	OS ANGELES
17		
18	CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a California non-profit corporation,	Case No.: 25TRCV00096
	1 1 /	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED
19	Plaintiff	
19 20	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT
	Plaintiff, v.	
20	v. BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.; and DOES 1 to	CONSENT JUDGMENT ( <i>Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249, et seq.</i> ) Complaint filed:
20 21	v. BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.; and DOES 1 to 10,	CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249, et seq.)
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	v. BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.; and DOES 1 to	CONSENT JUDGMENT ( <i>Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249, et seq.</i> ) Complaint filed:
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	v. BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.; and DOES 1 to 10,	CONSENT JUDGMENT ( <i>Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249, et seq.</i> ) Complaint filed:
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	v. BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.; and DOES 1 to 10,	CONSENT JUDGMENT ( <i>Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249, et seq.</i> ) Complaint filed:
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	v. BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.; and DOES 1 to 10,	CONSENT JUDGMENT ( <i>Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249, et seq.</i> ) Complaint filed:
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	v. BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.; and DOES 1 to 10,	CONSENT JUDGMENT ( <i>Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249, et seq.</i> ) Complaint filed:
<ol> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	v. BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.; and DOES 1 to 10,	CONSENT JUDGMENT ( <i>Health &amp; Safety Code § 25249, et seq.</i> ) Complaint filed:

## I. INTRODUCTION

**1.1 The Parties.** This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Calsafe Research Center, Inc., a California non-profit corporation ("Calsafe" or "Plaintiff") and Bioptimizers USA, Inc. ("BUI" or "Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties").

**1.2** General Allegations. On January 13, 2025, CalSafe initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to *Health* & *Safety Code* § 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") against BUI. In this action, Calsafe alleges that BUI's Mushroom Breakthrough dietary supplement powder (all flavors) including, but not limited to the following flavor, "Mushroom Breakthrough Salted Caramel (UPC# 850018116718)" (the "Covered Products") contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin. Calsafe alleges that the Covered Products exposes consumers to lead at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. Calsafe alleges that BUI qualifies as a "Person" within the meaning of Proposition 65, and that BUI manufactures, distributes, and/or offers for sale in the State of California the Covered Products.

**1.3** Notice of Violation. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in Calsafe's Notice of Violation dated August 16, 2024 (the "Notice"), that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and BUI. A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and BUI; no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against BUI with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

**1.4** Calsafe's Notice and Complaint allege that the use of the Covered Products by California consumers exposes them to lead without first receiving a clear and reasonable warning from BUI, which is a violation of California *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.6. BUI denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.

**1.5** The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. BUI

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that 1 2 all of the products, including the Covered Products, that it sold and/or distributed for sale in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor 3 compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by BUI 4 or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent 5 companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, 6 7 distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such specifically denied by the BUI. This Section shall not, however, diminish or 8 9 otherwise affect BUI's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

**1.6** Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to this proceeding.

**1.7 Effective Date.** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be the date the Consent Judgment has been approved and entered by the Court.

15 |**II**.

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

**2.1** For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over BUI as to the acts alleged in the Complaint.

2.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, California, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date that were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

**III. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF** 

**3.1 Reformulation or Clear and Reasonable Warnings.** BUI agrees that within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date to only manufacture for sale, purchase for sale,

2

28

27

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

import for sale, or distribute for sale in or into California (in-person or online) the Covered 1 2 Products that are reformulated, as provided for in Paragraph 3.2 or contains a warning as provided for in Paragraphs 3.3 through 3.5. 3

Reformulation Requirements. Covered Products shall be deemed reformulated 3.2 4 if below 0.5 micrograms of lead per serving, with the serving size measured by the serving size specified on the label of the Covered Products.

3.3 Warning Requirements. A clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products shall consist of a warning affixed to the packaging, label, tag, or directly to each of the Covered Products Shipped for Sale in California by BUI that contains one of the following statements:

(A)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

BUI may, at its option, use the words "CA WARNING:" or "CALIFORNIA WARNING:" instead of the word "WARNING:".

(B)

WARNING: Risk of cancer and reproductive harm from exposure to lead. www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

or

WARNING: Can expose you to lead, a carcinogen and reproductive toxicant. www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

BUI may, at its option, use the words "CA WARNING:" or "CALIFORNIA WARNING:" instead of the word "WARNING:".

The warning shall be offset in a box with a black outline and must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the Covered Products. "Consumer information" includes warnings, directions for use, ingredient lists, and nutritional

28

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

information. "Consumer information" does not include the brand name, product name, company
name, location of manufacture, or product advertising. In no case shall the warning appear in a
type size smaller than six (6) point type. The warning shall also comply with 27 C.C.R. §
25607.1(c). Specifically, where the product sign, label, or shelf tag used to provide a warning
includes consumer information in a language other than English, the warning must also be
provided in that language in addition to English.

**3.4 Warnings for Internet Sales.** For any Covered Products sold over the internet where it will be shipped to California, the warning shall be displayed as follows: (A) on the primary display page for the Covered Products; (B) as a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" or "CA WARNING" or "CALIFORNIA WARNING" in all capital and bold letters on the Covered Products' primary display page, so long as the hyperlink goes directly to a page prominently displaying the warning without content that detracts from the warning; (C) on the checkout page or any other page in the checkout process when a California delivery address is indicted for the purchase of the Covered Products and with the warning clearly associated with the Covered Products to indicate that the Covered Products is subject to the warning; or (D) by otherwise prominently displaying the warning is not prominently displayed if the purchase of the Covered Products. The warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.

**3.5 Warning Prominence.** BUI agrees that each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness, as compared with the other words, statements, designs, or devices, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.

3.6 Compliance with Clear and Reasonable Warning. BUI shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment after the Effective Date by (A) adhering to Paragraphs
3.2 through 3.4, or (B) by complying with any future warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) applicable to the Covered Products and chemical at issue.

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.7 Grace Period of Existing Inventory. The injunctive requirements of Section III
 shall not apply to the Covered Products that is already in the stream of commerce as of ninety
 (90) days after the Effective Date, which Covered Products is expressly subject to the releases
 provided in Section V.

**3.8 Entry of Consent Judgment.** Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, CalSafe shall notice a Motion for Court Approval and, within ten (10) days of approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court, comply with the requirements set forth in California *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(f).

**3.9** Attorney General Objection. If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible, prior to the hearing on the motion.

**3.10 Void if Not Approved.** If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

**IV. MONETARY TERMS** 

**4.1 Total Settlement Amount.** In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney fees, and costs, BUI shall make a total payment of Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) (the "Total Settlement Amount"), apportioned into a Civil Penalty, and Attorney Fees and Costs as set forth in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3, below.

**4.2 Civil Penalty Payment.** Pursuant to California *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(b)(2) and in settlement of all claims alleged in the Notice and Complaint, BUI agrees to pay Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) in Civil Penalties. The Civil Penalty payment will be apportioned in accordance with California *Health & Safety Code* §§ 25249(c)(1), (d), with seventy-five (75) percent of these funds remitted to OEHHA, and the remaining twenty-five (25) percent of the funds retained by CalSafe. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, BUI shall issue a check to "OEHHA" in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred and Seventy-Five Dollars (\$1,875.00), with "Prop 65 Penalties" written in the Memo

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	Line; and BUI shall, pursuant to the instructions below, wire to CalSafe the amount of Six		
2	Hundred and Twenty-Five Dollars (\$625.00).		
3	All payments made to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Paragraph shall be		
4	delivered directly to OEHHA at the following address:		
5	For United States Postal Delivery Service:		
6	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
7	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
8	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
9	For Non-United States Postal Delivery Service:		
10	Mike Gyurics		
11	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
12	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street MS #19B		
13	Sacramento, CA 95814		
14	All penalty payments owed to CalSafe shall be sent via wire to:		
15	Wire Instructions:		
16			
17	Account Name: The Law Offices of Joseph R. Manning Bank Name: J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.		
18	Bank Address: 2967 Michelson Dr, Ste A, Irvine, CA 92612		
19	Wire Routing / ABA Number: 021000021 Swift Code: CHASUS33		
20	Account Number: 579068902		
21	For further benefit of: Civil Penalty Payment Case No. 25TRCV00096		
22			
23	<b>4.3</b> Attorney Fees and Costs. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, BUI agrees		
24	to pay Twenty-Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$22,500.00) to CalSafe and its counsel of		
25	record for all fees and costs incurred in investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of BUI,		
26	litigating, negotiation, and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest.		
27	Wire Instructions:		
28			

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Account Name: The Law Offices of Joseph R. Manning Bank Name: J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. Bank Address: 2967 Michelson Dr, Ste A, Irvine, CA 92612 Wire Routing / ABA Number: 021000021 Swift Code: CHASUS33 Account Number: 579068902

For further benefit of: Attorney's Fees Case No. 25TRCV00096

**4.4** In the event that BUI fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount, or any portion thereof owed under Paragraphs 4.1 through 4.3 of this Consent Judgment before the due date, BUI shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. CalSafe shall provide written notice of delinquency to BUI via electronic mail to BUI's counsel of record. If BUI fails to deliver any portion of or all of the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in California *Code of Civil Procedure* § 685.010.

Additionally, BUI agrees to pay Calsafe's reasonable attorney fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

<sup>16</sup>  $\|$ **V**.

# **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

**5.1** This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

# VI. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

**6.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to the injunctive terms by (A) written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment, or (B) by motion of either Party pursuant to Paragraph 5.1 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.

**6.2** If BUI seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Paragraph 5.1, then BUI must provide written notice to Calsafe of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If Calsafe seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then Calsafe shall provide written notice of intent to meet and confer to BUI within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

of Intent. The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith in person, via telephone, or via
video conference within thirty (30) days of Calsafe's written notice of intent to meet and confer.
Within thirty (30) days of such a meeting, if Calsafe disputes the proposed modification, Calsafe
shall provide BUI a written basis for its opposition. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer
for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become
necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

**6.3** In the event that BUI initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Paragraph 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, BUI shall reimburse Calsafe its costs and reasonable attorney fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion.

### VII. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED, CLAIMS RELEASED

**7.1** This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Products that is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and/or that is not used by California consumers. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any other BUI products other than the Covered Products.

**7.2 Binding Effect.** This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Calsafe, on behalf of itself and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates and on behalf of the public interest, and BUI and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Products and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties").

**7.3** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any of the Released Parties regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

**7.4 Calsafe Release of BUI.** Calsafe, on behalf of itself and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and affiliates and on behalf of the public interest fully releases and discharges Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, cause of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted based on or related to the handling, use, sale, distribution, or consumption of the Covered Products in California, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up through the Effective Date, based on a failure to provide Proposition 65 warning on the Covered Products with respect to lead as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

**7.5** Calsafe on its own behalf only, and BUI on its own behalf only, further waives and releases any and all claims they, their attorneys, or their representatives may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in this Section shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment.

**7.6 California Civil Code Section 1542.** It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. Calsafe on behalf of itself only, and BUI on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. Calsafe and BUI acknowledge that the claims released in Section VII above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California *Civil Code* § 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE

CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR, AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE

RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

# VIII. SEVERABILITY

**8.1** In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

### IX. GOVERNING LAW

**9.1** The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

X. PRO

### **PROVISION OF NOTICE**

**10.1** All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or electronic mail. Any Party may modify the person/entity or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested. Said change shall take effect on the date the return receipt is signed by the Party receiving the change.

Joseph R. Manning, Jr.
26100 Towne Centre Drive
Foothill Ranch, CA 92610
Tel: Office (949) 200-8757 Fax: (866) 843-8309
p65@manninglawoffice.com

Michael Gleason Hahn Loeser & Par

Hahn Loeser & Parks LLP One America Plaza, 600 West Broadway, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 810-4310 Fax: (619) 810-4311 mgleason@hahnlaw.com

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

#### XI. **EXECUTED IN COUNTERPARTS**

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .PDF signature page shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

#### DRAFTING XII.

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel 12.1 for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had the opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based 10 on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participate equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

# XIII. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

13.1 If a dispute with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, by video conference, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed with the Court in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

## XIV. ENFORCEMENT

The Parties may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of 14.1 Los Angeles County, enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. In any successful action brought by Calsafe to enforce this Consent Judgment, Calsafe may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with this Consent Judgment.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATED:

#### ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION XV.

15.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

15.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

XVI. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL, AND ENTRY.

,2025

16.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, make the findings pursuant to California *Health and Safety Code* § 25249(f)(4) and approve this Consent Judgment.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED.** 

4/8/2025

# CALSAFE RESEARCH CENTER, INC.

By:	eric fairon
Eric Fairo	4D7E7F1FE86247B n, CEO
~	

Calsafe Research Center, Inc.

## **BIOPTIMIZERS USA, INC.**

8th April 2025 DATED:

By: Andy Wilkinson Andy Wilkinson, Vice President Bioptimizers USA, Inc.

12

CalSafe Research Center, Inc. v. Bioptimizers USA, Inc., Case No. 25TRCV00096 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

(1)(4) and $(2)(4)$ and $(2)(4)$	f Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered.
Dated:	
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT