

1 **ENTORNO LAW, LLP**
2 Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)
3 Noam Glick (SBN 251582)
4 Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777)
5 Gianna E. Tirrell (SBN 358788)
6 225 Broadway, Suite 1900
7 San Diego, California 92101
8 Tel: (619) 629-0527
9 Email: craig@entornolaw.com
10 Email: noam@entornolaw.com
11 Email: jake@entornolaw.com
12 Email: gianna@entornolaw.com

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.

15 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

16 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

17 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
18 ADVOCATES, INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 ST. TROPEZ ACQUISITION CO. LIMITED,
22 a United Kingdom corporation; PZ
23 CUSSONS BEAUTY LLP, a United
24 Kingdom corporation; and DOES 1 through
25 100, inclusive,

26 Defendants.

Case No. 24CV102662

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.* and
Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)

1 **1. INTRODUCTION**

2 **1.1 Parties**

3 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.,
4 (“EHA” or “Plaintiff”) and PZ Cussons Beauty LLP (“PZ Cussons Beauty”) and St. Tropez Acquisition
5 Co. Limited (“St. Tropez” and, together with PZ Cussons Beauty, “Defendants”) with EHA, PZ Cussons
6 Beauty and St. Tropez each individually referred to as a “Party” and collectively referred to as the
7 “Parties.”

8 **1.2 Plaintiff**

9 EHA is a corporation organized in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general
10 public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by
11 reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

12 **1.3 Defendants**

13 PZ Cussons Beauty and St. Tropez employ ten or more individuals and for purposes of this
14 Consent Judgment only, are each a “person in the course of doing business” for purposes of the Safe
15 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq.
16 (“Proposition 65”).

17 **1.4 General Allegations**

18 EHA alleges that Defendants manufacture, import, sell, and distribute for sale St Tropez Tan
19 Enhancing Body Moisturizer that contains diethanolamine ("DEA"). EHA further alleges that
20 Defendants do so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65
21 and related Regulations. Defendants deny these allegations and assert that their products are safe and
22 in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations.

23 **1.5 Notice of Violation**

24 On or around November 15, 2024, EHA served Defendant PZ Cussons Beauty, St. Tropez
25 Acquisition Co. Limited, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement
26 agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 (“Notice”). The Notice alleged that
27 Defendants had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the
28 health hazards associated with exposures to diethanolamine ("DEA") contained in moisturizer

1 products, including but not limited to St Tropez Tan Enhancing Body Moisturizer manufactured or
2 processed by Defendants that allegedly contain DEA and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or
3 distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1).

4 No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the
5 violations alleged in the Notice.

6 **1.6 Product Description**

7 The products covered by this Consent Judgment are moisturizer products, including but not
8 limited to St Tropez Tan Enhancing Body Moisturizer and St. Tropez Tan Optimiser Body Moisturizer
9 manufactured or processed by Defendants that allegedly contain DEA and are imported, sold, shipped,
10 delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1)
11 (“Covered Products”).

12 **1.7 State of the Pleadings**

13 On or around April 16, 2025, EHA filed a Complaint against Defendants for the alleged
14 violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice (“Complaint”).

15 **1.8 No Admission**

16 Defendants deny the material factual and legal allegations of the Notice and Complaint and
17 maintain that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in
18 California, including Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all applicable laws,
19 rules and regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact,
20 finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent
21 Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation
22 of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendants’ obligations,
23 responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

24 **1.9 Jurisdiction**

25 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this
26 Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in
27 the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this
28 Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1 **1.10 Effective Date**

2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term “Effective Date” means the date on which this
3 Consent Judgment is approved and entered as a judgment of the Court, as discussed in Section 5.

4 **2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

5 **2.1 Reformulation of the Covered Products**

6 On and after the Reformulation Date, and as further detailed in Section 2.2, Defendants shall
7 be permanently enjoined from either manufacturing, importing, selling, offering for sale or distributing
8 for sale in California, or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Product that does not
9 meet the Reformulation Standard, unless the Product includes a warning as set forth in Section 2.2. The
10 Reformulation Standard shall consist of Defendants adopting and implementing an ingredient
11 specification for Triethanolamine (“TEA”) in the Covered Product limiting the DEA impurity level in
12 any delivered lot to < 0.10%. Also, Defendants shall not increase, as a percentage of formulation in the
13 Covered Product, the level of TEA or any other ingredients that are known to contain DEA, as
14 published by the FDA here: <https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetic-ingredients/diethanolamine>, and
15 as may be updated by the FDA from time to time, even if the product is reformulated or updated as
16 “new” or “improved” (collectively these measures are the “Reformulation Standard”).” The
17 “Reformulation Date” shall be the next time Defendants manufactures a new lot of the Covered
18 Product.

19 **2.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings**

20 Commencing on the Effective Date, Defendants agree any Covered Product sold in California,
21 exceeding the Reformulation Standard set forth in section 2.1 above, and which are distributed or
22 directly sold by Defendants in the State of California on or after the Effective Date, shall contain a
23 “clear and reasonable” Proposition 65 warning, within the meaning of Section 25249.6 of the Act.
24 Defendants agree that each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness, as
25 compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be seen, read and
26 understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each
27 warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific
28 Covered Products the warning applies, and which listed chemical(s) is/are implicated, so as to minimize

1 the risk of consumer confusion.

2 For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered
3 Products shall consist of a product-specific warning via one or more of the following methods: (1) A
4 posted sign, shelf tag, or shelf sign for the consumer product at each point of display of the product;
5 (2) Any electronic device or process that automatically provides the warning to the purchaser (not
6 applicable to internet purchases, which are subject to the provisions of § 25602(b)); (3) A warning
7 directly affixed to the product's label or tag; or (4) A short-form warning on the label that complies
8 with the content requirements set forth in §§ 25603(b) and 25603(a). Specifically, pursuant to §
9 25603(a) – (d), one of the following statements must be utilized:

10 1) **⚠ WARNING:**” [or] **“CA WARNING:**” [or] **“CALIFORNIA**
11 **WARNING:**” This product can expose you to chemicals including
12 diethanolamine ("DEA"), which is known to the State of California
13 to cause cancer. For more information go to
www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

14 **OR**

15 **SHORT FORM**

16 2) **⚠ WARNING:**” [or] **“CA WARNING:**” [or] **“CALIFORNIA WARNING:**
17 **Cancer risk from exposure to diethanolamine ("DEA"). See**
18 www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

19 **OR**

20 **SHORT FORM 3) ⚠ WARNING:**” [or] **“CA WARNING:**” [or] **“CALIFORNIA**
21 **WARNING:** Can expose you to diethanolamine ("DEA"), a
22 carcinogen. See www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

23 **SHORT FORM ON A** **OR**
24 **PRODUCT**
25 **MANUFACTURED/LA**
26 **BELED PRIOR TO**
27 **1/1/28, REGARDLESS**
28 **OF DATE OF SALE**

4) **⚠ WARNING:** Cancer- www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

1 The triangle above shall be yellow on the warning statement. Where the sign, label, or shelf tag
2 for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white.
3 The symbol shall be placed to the left of the warning text, in a size no smaller than the height of the
4 word, "WARNING." A short-form warning must be provided on a product in a type size that complies
5 with Cal. Code Regs Tit. 27, § 25601(c). In no case shall a warning statement displayed on the Covered
6 Products' packaging appear in a type size smaller than 6-point type. Where a sign, labeling, or label as
7 defined in Section 256001.1 is used to provide a warning that includes consumer information about a
8 product in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition
9 to English.

10 As set forth in Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 25602(b), to the extent Covered Products are sold
11 online, a warning that complies with the content requirements of Cal. Code Regs Tit. 27, § 25603 must
12 be provided via of the following methods: (1) A warning on the product display page; (2) A clearly
13 marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" or the words "CA WARNING" or "CALIFORNIA
14 WARNING" on the product display page that links to the warning; or (3) An otherwise prominently
15 displayed warning provided to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. If a warning is provided
16 using the short-form label content pursuant to Section 25602(a)(4), the warning provided on the website
17 may use the same content. For purposes of this section, a warning is not prominently displayed if the
18 purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website. For internet purchases made prior to
19 1/1/28, a retail seller is not responsible under Section 25600.2(e)(4) for conspicuously posting or
20 displaying the new warning online until 60 calendar days after the retailer receives a warning or a
21 written notice under Section 25600.2(b) and (c) which updates a short-form warning compliant with
22 Section 25603(c) with content compliant with Section 25603(b). These requirements extend to any
23 websites under the exclusive control of Defendants where Covered Products are sold into California.
24 In addition, Defendants shall instruct any third-party website to which it directly sells its Covered
25 Products to include the same online warning, as set forth above, as a condition of selling the Covered
26 Products in California.

1 There shall be no obligation for Defendants to provide a warning for Covered Products that
2 entered the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date, and the Section 4 release applies to all such
3 Covered Products.

4 (i) Changes in Warning Regulations or Statutes

5 In the event that the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment promulgates one or
6 more regulations requiring or permitting Proposition 65 warning text and/or methods of transmission
7 applicable to the Covered Products and the chemical at issue, which are different than those set forth
8 above, Defendants shall be entitled to use, at its discretion, such other warning text and/or method of
9 transmission without being deemed in breach of this Agreement. If regulations or legislation are
10 enacted providing that Proposition 65 warnings as to diethanolamine ("DEA") in this product are no
11 longer required, Defendants shall move for modification of the agreement pursuant to the modification
12 provision in Section 12.

13 **2.3 Sell-Through Period**

14 Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are
15 manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce on or before the date this Agreement is executed shall
16 be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such
17 Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations
18 of Defendants, or any Releasees (if applicable), stated in this Section 2 do not apply to Covered
19 Products manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce between the date this Agreement is executed
20 and the Effective Date.

21 **3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS**

22 **3.1 Settlement Amount**

23 Defendants shall pay fifty-two thousand five hundred dollars (\$52,500.00) in settlement and
24 total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice(s), the Complaint, and this Consent
25 Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) pursuant to
26 Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of forty
27 thousand dollars (\$40,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

- “Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.” (EIN: 84-2322975) at the address provided above.
- “Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment” 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

All payments referenced in this section shall be paid within thirty (30) days of the date the Court approves EHA’s motion to approve this Consent Judgment.

3.3 Attorney’s Fees and Costs

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys’ fees and costs shall be paid to EHA’s counsel, who are entitled to attorneys’ fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Defendants’ attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

Defendants shall provide its payment for civil penalty and for attorneys’ fees and costs to EHA’s counsel by physical check or by electronic means, including wire transfers, at Defendants’ discretion, as follows: forty thousand dollars (\$40,000.00) in Attorney’s Fees and Costs shall be paid as one payment of \$40,000.00, due thirty (30) days after the date the Court approves EHA’s motion to approve this Consent Judgment.

The attorney fee payments shall be made payable to Entorno Law, LLP and delivered to:

Isaac Fayman
Entorno Law, LLP
225 Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101

3.4 Additional Settlement Payment

\$7,500.00 shall be distributed to Environmental Health Advocates (“EHA”) as an Additional Settlement Payment (“ASP”) pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §3204. Plaintiff will use this payment as follows: Eighty percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding

1 attorney fees; for publishing on media outlets regarding public awareness about the issue of
2 environmental pollution and presence of Proposition 65 chemicals in the environment and consumer
3 products; donations, supplies, and to support EHA programs and activities that seek to educate the
4 public about toxic chemicals, including the chemicals at issue in this Judgment, work with industries
5 interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government, businesses, and
6 communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the environment, and thereby
7 reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in
8 California. And twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and
9 litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons
10 and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons
11 and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower
12 the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and
13 tracking of products. The ASP shall be subject to the Court's ongoing judicial oversight pursuant to
14 California Code of Regulations, title 11, section 3204. EHA shall be fully accountable in that it will
15 maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how ASP funds will be spent
16 and can be assured that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in
17 this Consent Judgment. EHA shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request,
18 copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

19 **4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE**

20 **4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims**

21 Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendants, and their
22 parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership or control, their directors, officers,
23 principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns
24 ("Defendant Entities"), each entity to whom Defendants directly or indirectly distribute, ship, or sell
25 the Covered Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers,
26 retailers (including but not limited to Defendants), and marketplaces franchisees, franchisors,
27 cooperative members, suppliers, licensees, and licensors, and all of the foregoing entities' owners,
28 directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives,

1 predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively referred to as the “Releasees”) from all claims for
2 violations of Proposition 65 for exposures to DEA up through the Effective Date based on exposure to
3 DEA from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice(s). Compliance with the terms of this Consent
4 Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEA from Covered
5 Products as set forth in the Notice(s). This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of
6 all claims under Proposition 65 that were or could have been asserted against Defendants and/or
7 Releasees for failure to comply with Proposition 65 for alleged exposure to DEA from Covered
8 Products. This release does not extend to any third-party retailers selling the product on a website who,
9 after receiving instruction from Defendants to include a warning as set forth above in section 2.2, do
10 not include such a warning.

11 **4.2 EHA’s Individual Release of Claims**

12 EHA, in its individual capacity, also provides a release to Defendants and/or Releasees, which
13 shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action,
14 obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys’ fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every
15 nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of
16 alleged or actual exposures to DEA in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed
17 by Defendants before the Effective Date.

18 **4.3 Defendants’ Release of EHA**

19 Defendants on their own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents,
20 representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against EHA and
21 its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and
22 its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking
23 to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Covered Products.
24
25
26
27
28

1 **4.4 No Other Known Claims or Violations**

2 EHA and EHA’s counsel affirm that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged
3 violations of Proposition 65 by Defendants or for which Defendants bear legal responsibility other
4 than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment.

5 **5. COURT APPROVAL**

6 This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and
7 void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or
8 by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

9 **6. SEVERABILITY**

10 Subsequent to the Court’s approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held
11 by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

12 **7. GOVERNING LAW**

13 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as
14 applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise
15 rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law; or in the event the
16 California Office of Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation or safe use determination, or issues
17 an interpretive guideline that exempts Covered Products from meeting the requirements of Proposition
18 65; or if DEA cases are permanently enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction; or if Proposition 65
19 is determined to be preempted by federal law or a burden on First Amendment rights with respect to
20 DEA in Covered Products or Covered Products substantially similar to Covered Products, then
21 Defendants may seek relief from the injunctive obligations imposed by this Consent Judgment to the
22 extent any Covered Products are so affected by modifying the agreement via the mechanisms set forth
23 in Section 12.

24 **8. ENFORCEMENT**

25 In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled
26 to its reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs. The injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment may be
27 enforced by public agency prosecutors pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section
28

1 25249.7(c), and/or by private party prosecutors acting “in the public interest” under California Health
2 and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

3 **9. NOTICE**

4 Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent
5 Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified
6 mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier; and (iv) with a copy by email; to
7 the following addresses:

8 If to Defendants:

9 Daniel Solitro
10 Troutman Pepper Locke
11 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Daniel.Solitro@troutman.com

If to EHA:

Noam Glick
Entorno Law, LLP
225 Broadway, Suite 2100
San Diego, CA 92101
noam@entornolaw.com

12
13 Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which
14 notices and other communications shall be sent.

15 **10. COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES**

16 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of
17 which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the
18 same document.

19 **11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES**

20 EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety
21 Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code
22 section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which
23 motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually
24 employ their reasonable best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this
25 agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For
26 purposes of this Section, “best efforts” shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval,
27
28

1 responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the
2 Court if so requested.

3 **12. MODIFICATION**

4 This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of
5 a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any
6 Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

7 **13. AUTHORIZATION**

8 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they
9 have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

10 **14. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES**

11 If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent
12 Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in
13 writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed
14 in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

15 **15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

16 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties
17 with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations,
18 commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or
19 implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or
20 otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

21 **AGREED TO:**

AGREED TO:

22
23 Date: 6/3/26

Date: 28/5/2026

24
25 By: 

By:  T. ROLT.

26 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
27 ADVOCATES, INC.

PZ CUSSONS BEAUTY LLP and ST.
TROPEZ ACQUISITION CO. LIMITED

IT IS SO ORDERED.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Date: _____

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

