

ENTORNO LAW, LLP

Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)

Noam Glick (SBN 251582)

Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777)

Gianna E. Tirrell (SBN 358788)

225 Broadway, Suite 1900

San Diego, California 92101

Tel: (619) 629-0527

Email: craig@entornolaw.com

Email: noam@entornolaw.com

Email: jake@entornolaw.com

Email: gianna@entornolaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ADVOCATES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMETEK, INC., a Delaware corporation;
GLOBAL TEST SUPPLY, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company; and DOES 1
through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 25CV126091

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.* and
Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)

1. **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Environmental Health Advocates, Inc., (“EHA” or “Plaintiff”) and Ametek, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Ametek”) with EHA and Ametek each individually referred to as a “Party” and collectively referred to as the “Parties.”

1.2 Plaintiff

EHA is a corporation organized in the state of California, acting in the interest of the general public. It seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Ametek employs ten or more individuals and for purposes of this Consent Judgment only, is a “person in the course of doing business” for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. (“Proposition 65”).

1.4 General Allegations

EHA alleges that Ametek manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale pressure gauge products, including but not limited to Ametek US 146002A Series Pressure Gauge, that contain lead. EHA further alleges that Ametek does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Ametek denies these allegations and asserts that its products are safe and in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations.

1.5 Notice of Violation

On or around December 13, 2024, EHA served Defendant Ametek, Global Test Supply, LLC, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 (“Notice”). The Notice alleged that Ametek had violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to lead contained in pressure gauge products, including but not limited to Ametek US 146002A Series Pressure Gauge manufactured or processed by Ametek that allegedly contain lead and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1).

1 No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the
2 violations alleged in the Notice.

3 **1.6 Product Description**

4 The products covered by this Consent Judgment are pressure gauge products, including but not
5 limited to Ametek US 146002A Series Pressure Gauge manufactured or processed by Ametek that
6 allegedly contain lead and are imported, sold, shipped, delivered, or distributed for sale to consumers
7 in California by Releasees (as defined in section 4.1) ("Covered Products").

8 **1.7 State of the Pleadings**

9 On or around June 10, 2025, EHA filed a Complaint against Ametek for the alleged violations
10 of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint"). On or around July 18, 2025, Ametek
11 filed an Answer to EHA's Complaint denying, both generally and specifically, each and every
12 allegation of the Complaint.

13 **1.8 No Admission**

14 Ametek denies the material factual and legal allegations of the Notice and Complaint and
15 maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in
16 California, including Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all applicable laws,
17 rules and regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact,
18 finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent
19 Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation
20 of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Ametek's obligations,
21 responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

22 **1.9 Jurisdiction**

23 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this
24 Court has jurisdiction over Ametek as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the
25 County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this
26 Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

27 **1.10 Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term “Effective Date” means the date on which this Consent Judgment is approved and entered as a judgment of the Court, as discussed in Section 5.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, Ametek agrees any Covered Product that does not meet the Reformulation Standard for Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 2.2 of this Consent Judgment sold in California, which are distributed or directly sold by Ametek in the State of California on or after the Effective Date, shall contain a “clear and reasonable” Proposition 65 warning, within the meaning of Section 25249.6 of the Act. Ametek agrees that each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Covered Products the warning applies, and which listed chemical(s) is/are implicated, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion.

For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products shall consist of a product-specific warning via one or more of the following methods: (1) A posted sign, shelf tag, or shelf sign for the consumer product at each point of display of the product; (2) Any electronic device or process that automatically provides the warning to the purchaser (not applicable to internet purchases, which are subject to the provisions of § 25602(b)); (3) A warning directly affixed to the product’s label or tag; or (4) A short-form warning on the label that complies with the content requirements set forth in §§ 25603(b) and 25603(a). Specifically, pursuant to § 25603(a) – (d), one of the following statements must be utilized:

- 1)  **WARNING:**” [or] “**CA WARNING:**” [or] “**CALIFORNIA WARNING:**” This product can expose you to lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

OR

1 **SHORT FORM 2)  WARNING:" [or] "CA WARNING:" [or] "CALIFORNIA WARNING:**
 2 Risk of cancer and reproductive harm from exposure to lead. See
 3 www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

4 **OR**

5 **SHORT FORM 3)  WARNING:" [or] "CA WARNING:" [or] "CALIFORNIA**
 6 **WARNING:** Can expose you to lead, a carcinogen and
 7 reproductive toxicant. See www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

8 **OR**

9 **SHORT FORM**
 10 **ON A PRODUCT**
 11 **MANUFACTUR**
 12 **ED/LABELED**
 13 **PRIOR TO**
 14 **1/1/28,**
 15 **REGARDLESS**
 16 **OF DATE OF**
 17 **SALE**

18 **4)  WARNING:** Cancer and Reproductive Harm –
 19 www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

20 The triangle above shall be yellow on the warning statement. Where the sign, label, or shelf tag
 21 for the product is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white.
 22 The symbol shall be placed to the left of the warning text, in a size no smaller than the height of the
 23 word, "WARNING." A short-form warning must be provided on a product in a type size that complies
 24 with Cal. Code Regs Tit. 27, § 25601(c). In no case shall a warning statement displayed on the Covered
 25 Products' packaging appear in a type size smaller than 6-point type. Where a sign, labeling, or label as
 26 defined in Section 256001.1 is used to provide a warning that includes consumer information about a
 27 product in a language other than English, the warning must also be provided in that language in addition
 28 to English.

As set forth in Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 25602(b), to the extent Covered Products are sold
 online, a warning that complies with the content requirements of Cal. Code Regs Tit. 27, § 25603 must
 be provided via one of the following methods: (1) A warning on the product display page; (2) A clearly
 marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" or the words "CA WARNING" or "CALIFORNIA
 WARNING" on the product display page that links to the warning; or (3) An otherwise prominently

1 displayed warning provided to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. If a warning is provided
2 using the short-form label content pursuant to Section 25602(a)(4), the warning provided on the website
3 may use the same content. For purposes of this section, a warning is not prominently displayed if the
4 purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website. For internet purchases made prior to
5 1/1/28, a retail seller is not responsible under Section 25600.2(e)(4) for conspicuously posting or
6 displaying the new warning online until 60 calendar days after the retailer receives a warning or a
7 written notice under Section 25600.2(b) and (c) which updates a short-form warning compliant with
8 Section 25603(c) with content compliant with Section 25603(b). These requirements extend to any
9 websites under the exclusive control of Ametek where Covered Products are sold into California. In
10 addition, Ametek shall instruct any third-party website to which it directly sells its Covered Products
11 to include the same online warning, as set forth above, as a condition of selling the Covered Products
12 in California.

13 There shall be no obligation for Ametek to provide a warning for Covered Products that entered
14 the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date, and the Section 4 release applies to all such Covered
15 Products.

16 (i) Changes in Warning Regulations or Statutes

17 In the event that the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment promulgates one or
18 more regulations requiring or permitting Proposition 65 warning text and/or methods of transmission
19 applicable to the Covered Products and the chemical at issue, which are different than those set forth
20 above, Ametek shall be entitled to use, at its discretion, such other warning text and/or method of
21 transmission without being deemed in breach of this Agreement. If regulations or legislation are
22 enacted providing that Proposition 65 warnings as to lead in this product are no longer required,
23 Defendant shall move for modification of the agreement pursuant to the modification provision in
24 Section 12.

25 **2.2 Reformulated Products**

26 “Reformulated Products” are defined as those Covered Products that do not contain more than
27 90 parts per million (ppm) of total lead content as determined using standard testing methods employed
28 by an accredited laboratory (“Reformulation Standard”).

2.3 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce on or before the date this Agreement is executed shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of Ametek, or any Releasees (if applicable), stated in this Section 2 do not apply to Covered Products manufactured, packaged, or put into commerce between the date this Agreement is executed and the Effective Date. For the avoidance of doubt, Covered Products put into commerce specifically include, but are not limited to, Covered Products in the process of manufacturing, packaging, labeling or shipping.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

Ametek shall pay eighty-five thousand dollars (\$85,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice(s), the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

3.2 Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to EHA individually. The ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) in civil penalties shall be paid as follows:

- One payment of \$7,500.00 to OEHHA, due thirty (30) days after the Effective Date.
- One payment of \$2,500.00 to EHA, due thirty (30) days after the Effective Date.

All payments owed to EHA shall be delivered to the following address:

Environmental Health Advocates
225 Broadway, Suite 2100
San Diego, CA 92101

All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Federal Express 2-Day Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Ametek agrees to provide EHA's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA, simultaneous with its penalty payment to EHA.

Plaintiff and its counsel will provide completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required. Relevant information is set out below:

- "Environmental Health Advocates, Inc." (EIN: 84-2322975) at the address provided above.
- "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

All payments referenced in this section shall be paid within thirty (30) days of the date the Court approves EHA's motion to approve this Consent Judgment or within thirty (30) days of EHA's counsel providing a completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other tax forms as required, whichever is later.

3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid to EHA's counsel, who are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs incurred by it in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Ametek's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

Ametek shall provide its payment for civil penalty and for attorneys' fees and costs to EHA's counsel by physical check or by electronic means, including wire transfers, at Ametek's discretion, as

1 follows: seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00) in Attorney's Fees and Costs due thirty (30) days
2 after the Effective Date.

3 The attorney fee payments shall be made payable to Entorno Law, LLP and delivered to:

4 Noam Glick
Entorno Law, LLP
225 Broadway, Suite 1900
5 San Diego, CA 92101

6 **4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE**

7 **4.1 EHA's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims**

8 Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Ametek, and its parents,
9 subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership or control, its directors, officers, principals,
10 agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Defendant
11 Entities"), each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes, ships, or sells the Covered
12 Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers
13 (including but not limited to Global Test Supply, LLC), and marketplaces franchisees, franchisors,
14 cooperative members, suppliers, licensees, and licensors, and all of the foregoing entities' owners,
15 directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives,
16 predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively referred to as the "Releasees") from all claims for
17 violations of Proposition 65 for exposures to lead up through the Effective Date based on exposure to
18 lead from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice(s). Compliance with the terms of this Consent
19 Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from Covered
20 Products as set forth in the Notice(s). This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of
21 all claims under Proposition 65 that were or could have been asserted against Ametek and/or Releasees
22 for failure to comply with Proposition 65 for alleged exposure to lead from Covered Products. This
23 release does not extend to any third-party retailers selling the product on a website who, after receiving
24 instruction from Ametek to include a warning as set forth above in section 2.2, do not include such a
25 warning.

4.2 EHA's Individual Release of Claims

EHA, in its individual capacity, also provides a release to Ametek and/or Releasees, which shall be a full and final accord and satisfaction of, as well as a bar to, all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of every nature, character, and kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to lead in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by Ametek before the Effective Date.

4.3 Ametek's Release of EHA

Ametek on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by EHA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them, in this matter or with respect to the Covered Products.

4.4 No Other Known Claims or Violations

EHA and EHA's counsel affirm that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Ametek or for which Ametek bears legal responsibility other than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment.

4.5 California Civil Code Section 1542

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. EHA on behalf of itself only, on one hand, and Ametek on behalf of itself only, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Compliance Date. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released in this Section may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE

**MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE
DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.**

EHA and Ametek each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code § 1542.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California as applied within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law; or in the event the California Office of Health Hazard Assessment adopts a regulation or safe use determination, or issues an interpretive guideline that exempts Covered Products from meeting the requirements of Proposition 65; or if lead cases are permanently enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction; or if Proposition 65 is determined to be preempted by federal law or a burden on First Amendment rights with respect to lead in Covered Products or Covered Products substantially similar to Covered Products, then Ametek may seek relief from the injunctive obligations imposed by this Consent Judgment to the extent any Covered Products are so affected by modifying the agreement via the mechanisms set forth in Section 12.

8. ENFORCEMENT

In any action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment may be enforced by public agency prosecutors pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(c), and/or by private party prosecutors acting "in the public interest" under California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

9. NOTICE

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier; and (iv) with a copy by email; to the following addresses:

If to Ametek:

Rick R. Rothman
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
300 South Grand Avenue, Twenty-
Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
rick.rothman@morganlewis.com

If to EHA:

Noam Glick
Entorno Law, LLP
225 Broadway, Suite 2100
San Diego, CA 92101
noam@entornolaw.com

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which notices and other communications shall be sent.

10. COUNTERPARTS; DIGITAL SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

EHA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion EHA shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their reasonable best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, “best efforts” shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

13. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

14. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, or by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT


This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date: 09/22/2026

Date: September 16, 2026

By: 
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ADVOCATES, INC.

Signed by: 
By: 
AMETER, INC.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: _____

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28