

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

BETWEEN

SARA HAMMOND

AND

LEICA GEOSYSTEMS INC.

Sara Hammond (“Hammond”) and Leica Geosystems Inc. (“LEICA”) and related entities (herein collectively referred to as “LEICA”) (Hammond and LEICA are collectively referred to as the “Parties”), enter into this agreement (“Settlement Agreement”) for the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation to settle Hammond’s allegations that LEICA violated Proposition 65. The effective date of this Settlement Agreement shall be the date upon which it is fully executed by all Parties hereto (the “Effective Date”).

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Hammond alleges she is an individual who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.2. LEICA previously either sold, offered for sale, imported, distributed, or manufactured the Leica Carry Case SKU 872942, UPC None (referred to throughout as the “Covered Product”).
- 1.3. Hammond alleges that the Covered Product contains Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (“DEHP”), also known as Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, and that LEICA did not provide a required warning in compliance with

the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* (“Proposition 65”)).

- 1.4. On January 1, 1988 the Governor of California added DEHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, and on October 24, 2003, the Governor added DEHP to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause developmental male reproductive toxicity. These additions took place more than twenty (20) months before Hammond served her “Sixty-Day Notice Of Violation Sent in Compliance With California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)” which is further described below.
- 1.5. DEHP is referred to hereafter as the “Listed Chemical”.
- 1.6. On or about October 24, 2024 Hammond alleges she served QL Equipment aka Q L Enterprises, Inc. and certain relevant public enforcement agencies with a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation that was sent pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) alleging the Covered Product contained the Listed Chemical (the “Notice”). On or about January 21, 2025 Hammond alleges she served LEICA, QL Equipment aka Q L Enterprises, Inc. and certain relevant public enforcement agencies with a Supplemental Sixty-Day Notice of Violation that was sent pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) alleging the Covered Product contained the Listed Chemical (the “Supplemental Notice”) to provide formal notice to LEICA after LEICA was identified as the supplier of the Covered Product. (The Notice and Supplemental Notice are hereinafter referred to collectively as the “Notices”)

- 1.7. The Notices alleged that LEICA violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn consumers in California that use of the Covered Products exposed persons to the Listed Chemical.
- 1.8. The Parties enter into this Settlement Agreement to settle disputed claims between them concerning the Parties' and the Covered Products' compliance with Proposition 65 (the "Dispute").
- 1.9. LEICA disputes and does not admit Hammond's allegations described in this Settlement Agreement and in the Notices.
- 1.10. By execution of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law against interest, including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law regarding any violation of Proposition 65, or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed as an admission against interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed, considered, offered, or admitted as evidence of an admission against interest or evidence of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by LEICA, its officers, directors, employees, or parents, subsidiaries or affiliated corporations, licensees, licensors, suppliers, manufacturers, importers, distributors or retailers of its products, in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Except for the allegations settled and compromised, nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy,

argument, or defense that Hammond or LEICA may have in any other legal proceeding as to allegations unrelated to the Dispute or claims released herein.

2. Release

- 2.1. This Settlement Agreement is a full, final, and binding resolution between Hammond, acting in her individual capacity, on the one hand, and (a) LEICA, and its owners, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, sister and related companies, licensees, licensors, suppliers, manufacturers, importers, employees, shareholders, members, officers, directors, insurers, attorneys, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively “Releasees”) and (b) any retailers, and related entities and any additional entities to whom Releasees directly or indirectly provide, distribute, or sell the Covered Product (“Downstream Releasees”) including QL Equipment aka Q L Enterprises, Inc. on the other hand, of any violation(s) or claimed violation(s) of Proposition 65 or any statutory or common law claim that has been, could have been or may in the future be asserted against the Releasees and Downstream Releasees regarding exposing persons to any Proposition 65 listed chemical or the failure to warn about exposure to any Proposition 65 listed chemical arising in connection with the Covered Product sold, offered for sale, or otherwise distributed by LEICA up through and including the Effective Date, even if sold by Releasees or Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date.
- 2.2. Hammond, on behalf of herself and her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives and releases with respect to the Covered Product all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly,

any form of legal action, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) (collectively "Claims"), against Releasees and the Downstream Releasees that arise under Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that were or could have been asserted in connection with the Covered Product sold or otherwise distributed by LEICA up to the Effective Date, including without limitation to the extent that such claims relate to Releasees' or Downstream Releasees' alleged exposure of persons to any Proposition 65 listed chemicals contained in the Covered Product or any failure by Releasees or Downstream Releasees to warn about exposures to any Proposition 65 listed chemical contained in the Covered Product up through and including the Effective Date.

- 2.3. Hammond acknowledges that she is familiar with Section 1542 of Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Hammond, on behalf of herself and her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees expressly waives and relinquishes any and

all rights and benefits which she may have under, or which may be conferred on her by the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that she may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters up through and including the Effective Date. The release in this section shall have no force or effect until the full amount of payments set forth below are paid in full.

2.4. LEICA's release of Hammond

LEICA on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Hammond and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Product up through and including the Effective Date.

3. **LEICA's Duties**

3.1. Effective on and after the Effective Date LEICA shall not sell the Covered Product for distribution in California unless the product is a "Reformulated Covered Product" as defined in Paragraph 3.2 or unless LEICA provides a Compliant Warning as defined in Paragraph 3.3 for any non-reformulated Covered Product that it sells in California. Compliance with this Settlement Agreement by LEICA shall constitute compliance under Proposition 65 with

regard to Covered products sold, offered for sale, imported, manufactured or otherwise distributed after the Effective Date.

- 3.2. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, “Reformulated Covered Products” are Covered Products containing no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP in any accessible component (“accessible component” is defined as any component that could be touched by a person during reasonably foreseeable use). In order to determine compliance with this reformulation standard, LEICA may rely on third party testing from an accredited laboratory.
- 3.3. The Parties agree that should LEICA choose to provide a Prop 65 warning concerning the Covered Product rather than reformulate the Covered Product, any Prop 65 warning used by LEICA must meet the requirements set forth in California Code of Regulations, Title 27, Article 6, Subarticle 2 for products containing DEHP and appropriate in method and content for the given method of sale to the consumer.
- 3.4. The Parties agree that the specifications for Compliant Warnings in this Settlement Agreement comply with Proposition 65 and its regulations as of the date of this Settlement Agreement, and with regulations adopted on or about August 30, 2016 and which became effective August 30, 2018.
- 3.5. If modifications or amendments to Proposition 65 or its “safe harbor” regulations after the Effective Date are adopted as to what constitutes a “clear and reasonable warning,” Defendant may modify the content and delivery methods of its

warnings to conform to the modified or amended provisions of Proposition 65 or its regulations.

4. Payments

4.1. LEICA agrees to pay a total of twenty-six thousand dollars (\$26,000.00) within ten (10) days of the Effective Date.

All payments shall be made by check and sent via overnight mail with tracking numbers provided to plaintiff's counsel and addressed as follows:

Joseph D. Aglizzo, Law Corporation
1601 N. Sepulveda Boulevard, # 649
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

and further specified as follows:

4.1.1. Civil Penalty: LEICA shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) as penalties pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 as follows: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of three hundred and seventy five dollars (\$375.00), representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Sara Hammond in the amount of one hundred and twenty five dollars (\$125.00), representing 25% of the total penalty. Additionally, two separate 1099's shall be issued for the above payments. The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, PO Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$375.00. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$125.00 to Sara Hammond be addressed to Sara

Hammond C/O Joseph D. Aglio, Law Corporation and shall be delivered to Joseph D. Aglio, Law Corporation, 1601 N. Sepulveda, #649, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266. At LEICA's request, Hammond's counsel shall provide LEICA with a W-9 for Hammond prior to the Effective Date.

4.1.2. Attorneys' Fees and Costs: A total of twenty-five thousand five hundred dollars (\$25,500) shall be paid to Joseph D. Aglio, Law Corporation as Hammond's attorney, for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and other costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to LEICA's attention. At LEICA's request, Hammond's counsel shall provide LEICA with a W-9 for Joseph D. Aglio, Law Corporation prior to the Effective Date.

5. Authority to Enter Into Settlement Agreement

- 5.1. Hammond represents that she has full authority to enter into and legally bind Hammond to this Settlement Agreement.
- 5.2. The person signing this Settlement Agreement on behalf of LEICA represents and warrants that he/she has been granted full authority to enter into and legally bind LEICA to this Settlement Agreement.

6. Report of the Settlement Agreement to the Office of the Attorney General of California

Hammond shall report this Settlement Agreement to the Attorney General's Office within five (5) days of the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement.

7. Execution in Counterparts and Facsimile

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute the same document. A facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature shall be as valid as the original as an electronic record executed and adopted by a Party with the intent to sign the electronic record pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 1633.1-1633.17.

8. Entire Agreement

This Settlement Agreement contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and all related prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings. No other promises or agreements, oral or otherwise, exist to bind any of the Parties or are being relied on by any of the Parties in connection with the execution of this Settlement Agreement.

9. Modification of Settlement Agreement

Any modification to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and signed by the Parties.

10. Application of Settlement Agreement

This Settlement Agreement shall apply to, be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, Hammond and the Releasees and Downstream Releasees identified in Section 2 above.

11. Enforcement of Settlement Agreement

If one Party alleges the other Party is in breach of this Settlement Agreement, that Party shall notify the other party. The Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith for a period of up to thirty days from the initial notice to attempt to resolve the allegation. If

informal efforts fail, any party may file suit before the Superior Court of the County of Los Angeles, consistent with the terms and conditions set forth in this Settlement Agreement, to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Settlement Agreement. The prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such proceeding. This Settlement Agreement may be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto.

12. Notification Requirements

Any notice required or permitted hereunder shall be effective only if given in writing and delivered in person, or via certified or registered mail return receipt requested, or via traceable overnight delivery service, to the following designees:

For Hammond:

Joseph D. Aglio
Joseph D. Aglio, Law Corporation
1601 N. Sepulveda Boulevard, #649
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

For LEICA:

Andrew Fiedler
Leica Geosystems Inc.
555 North Point Center E Suite 700
Alpharetta, GA 30022

Any party may change its designee(s) for purposes of notification by providing written notice of such change pursuant to this section. Courtesy notices via email to counsel may be provided.

13. Severability


If, subsequent to the execution of this Settlement Agreement, any of the provisions of this Settlement Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

14. Governing Law

The terms of this Settlement Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and shall apply only to Covered Products sold to a California consumer. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Listed Chemical and/or the Covered Product, then LEICA shall provide written notice to Hammond of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Product is so affected.


SARA HAMMOND

Date: March 10, 2025

By: 
Printed Name: Sara Hammond

LEICA GEOSYSTEMS INC.

Date: 3/10/2025

By: 
Printed Name Collin Webb
Title: Secretary