

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Parties. This Settlement Agreement is entered into by and between Gabriel Espinoza (“Espinoza”) and Royal Food Import Corporation (“Royal Food”). Together, Espinoza and Royal Food are collectively referred to as the “Parties.” Espinoza is an individual who resides in the State of California, and seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Espinoza alleges that Royal Food is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. (“Proposition 65”).

1.2 General Allegations. Espinoza alleges that Royal Food has exposed individuals to lead from its sales of *Chestnut Hill*™ regular pink salmon in water with salt added, UPC # 032251531439 without first providing users and consumers of the product with a clear and reasonable health hazard exposure warning as required pursuant to Proposition 65. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.3 Product Description. The products covered by this Settlement Agreement are *Chestnut Hill*™ regular pink salmon in water with salt added, UPC # 032251531439 (the “Products”) that have been imported, distributed, offered for sale and/or sold in California by Royal Food that expose consumers to lead.

1.4 Notices of Violation. On May 19, 2025, Espinoza served Family Dollar Stores, LLC, Family Dollar, LLC, Family Dollar Services, LLC, Family Dollar IP Co., LLC, Family Dollar Stores Inc., Midwood Brands, LLC (collectively, “Family Dollar”), and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled “Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.” (the “May Notice”). The May Notice provided Family Dollar and such others, including public enforcers, with notice that alleged that Family Dollar was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, for failing to warn California consumers and customers that use of the Products will

expose them to lead. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the May Notice.

On January 13, 2026, Espinoza served Family Dollar, Royal Food, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled “Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.” (the “January Notice”). The January Notice provided Royal Food and such others, including public enforcers, with notice that alleged that Royal Food was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, for failing to warn California consumers and customers that use of the Products will expose them to lead. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the January Notice.

The May Notice and January Notice are collectively referred to herein as, the “Notices.”

1.5 No Admission. Royal Food denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in the Notices and maintains that, to the best of its knowledge, all products that are or have been sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed as an admission by Royal Food or any other entity of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Settlement Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Royal Food or Family Dollar of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Royal Food and Family Dollar. However, this § 1.5 shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Settlement Agreement. Notwithstanding the allegations in the Notices and/or its compliance with this Agreement, Royal Food and Family Dollar maintain that it has not knowingly or intentionally caused exposures to chemicals in violation of Proposition 65. Nothing in this Agreement shall prejudice, waive, or impair any rights, argument, or defense that the Parties or Family Dollar may have with respect to the allegations contained in the Notices or with respect to any other future legal proceedings, except as otherwise provided herein..

1.6 Effective Date. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, the term “Effective Date” shall mean the date this Agreement is last executed by all Parties.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND/OR WARNINGS

2.1 Reformulation of Products. Commencing within sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter (the “Compliance Date”), Products that Royal Food directly manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either be: (a) reformulated Products pursuant to § 2.2, below; or (b) labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 2.3 - 2.4, below. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, a “Reformulated Product” is a Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in § 2.2, below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 2.3 - 2.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.

2.2 Reformulation Standard. “Reformulated Products” shall mean Products that expose a person to an exposure level of less than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day. For the purpose of this Agreement, the amount of lead a person is exposed to from a Product shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of Product, multiplied by grams of Product per serving size of the Product (using the largest serving size appearing on the Product label), multiplied by servings of the Product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the label), which equates to micrograms of lead exposure per day. If the Product label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one (1).

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning. Commencing within 60 days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 2.3 and 2.4 must be provided for all Products that Royal Food manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for Royal Food to provide an exposure warning for Products that entered the stream of commerce within 60 days after the Effective Date. For the avoidance of doubt, Products in the possession of any Releasee, including but not limited to Products held in the distribution centers, warehouses, or retail locations of Family Dollar, as of the Compliance Date shall be deemed to be in the stream of commerce and shall not require warnings under this Settlement Agreement. The warning shall consist of either the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** described in §§ 2.3(a) or (b), respectively:

(a) **Warning.** The “Warning” shall consist of the statement:

CA WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

(b) **Alternative Warning:** Royal Food may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 2.3(b) (“**Alternative Warning**”) as follows:

CA WARNING: Risk of cancer and reproductive harm from exposure to lead. See www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

2.4 A **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** provided pursuant to § 2.3 must print the word “**WARNING:**” in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** shall be affixed to or printed on the Products’ packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process only if such electronic device or automatic process provides the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** without the purchaser having to seek it out, provided that the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** provided via an electronic device or automatic process does not apply to internet purchases, which are subject to the provisions of Section 25602(b). The **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings. Where the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** is provided on the food product label, it must be set off from other surrounding information, and Royal Food shall enclose the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** in a black box and comply with the content requirements specified in Section 25607.2. If “consumer information,” as that term is defined in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.1(c) as it may be amended from time to time, is provided in a foreign language, Royal Food shall provide the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** in the foreign language in accordance with applicable warning regulations adopted by the State of California’s Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (“**OEHHA**”).

(a) In addition to affixing the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** to the Product's packaging or labeling, the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** shall be posted on websites where Royal Food offers Products for sale to consumers in California. The requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning**, or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "**WARNING**," appears on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. To comply with this Section, Royal Food shall (a) post the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** on its own website and, if it has the ability to do so, on the websites of its third-party internet sellers; and (b) if it does not have the ability to post the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** on the websites of its third-party internet sellers, provide such sellers with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.2. Third-party internet sellers of the Product that have been provided with written notice in accordance with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600.2 are not released in Section 5 of this Agreement if they fail to meet the warning requirements of this Section.

(b) **Warning Materials.** To the extent warnings become required for the Products, Royal Food shall ensure that such Products shipped to its retail customers, including Family Dollar, have the required warning affixed to the Products, their packaging, or their labels as required by Proposition 65. Where a Product-affixed warning is not feasible, Royal Food shall timely provide Family Dollar with compliant warning materials such as labels, labeling, shelf signs or tags, and warning language for products sold on the internet in accordance with 27 C.C.R. § 25600.2(b), at no cost to Family Dollar.

2.5 Compliance with Warning Regulations. The Parties agree that Royal Food shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Settlement Agreement, and with Proposition 65, by any of the following options: (1) reformulating pursuant to §§ 2.1 and 2.2 of this Settlement Agreement; (2) providing a warning pursuant to §§ 2.3 and 2.4 of this Settlement Agreement; or (3) complying with warning regulations adopted by the State of California's OEHHA applicable to the Product and the exposure at issue.

3. PENALTIES PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Settlement Agreement, Royal Food shall pay \$500.00 as a Civil Penalty in accordance with this Section. The Civil Penalty payment shall be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the Penalty remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the Penalty remitted to Espinoza. The Civil Penalty payment(s) shall be delivered to the addresses identified in § 3.2, below

3.1 Civil Penalty. Within fifteen (15) business days of the Effective Date, Royal Food shall issue two (2) separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment to (a) “OEHHA” in the amount of \$375.00; and to (b) “Gabriel Espinoza” in the amount of \$125.00. The Civil Penalty payment(s) shall be delivered to the addresses identified in § 3.2, below.

3.2 Payment Procedures.

(a) Issuance of Payments. Payments shall be delivered as follows:

(i) All payments owed to Espinoza, pursuant to § 3.1 shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Evan J. Smith, Esquire
Brodsky Smith
Two Bala Plaza, Suite 805
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

(ii) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to § 3.1 shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line “Prop 65 Penalties”) at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

(b) **Copy of Payments to OEHHHA.** Royal Food agrees to provide Espinoza's counsel with a copy of the checks payable to OEHHHA, simultaneous with its penalty payments to Espinoza, to be delivered to the address provided in § 3.2(a)(i), as proof of payment to OEHHHA.

(c) **Tax Documentation.** Royal Food agrees to provide a completed IRS 1099 for its payments to, and Espinoza agrees to provide IRS W-9 forms for, each of the following payees under this Settlement Agreement:

(i) "Gabriel Espinoza" whose address and tax identification number shall be provided within five (5) days after this Settlement Agreement is fully executed by the Parties;

(ii) "Brodsky Smith" (EIN: 23-2971061) at the address provided in Section 3.2(a)(i); and

(iii) "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties acknowledge that Espinoza and his counsel offered to reach preliminary agreement on the material terms of this dispute before reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them. The Parties thereafter reached an accord on the compensation due to Espinoza and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine and principles codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Under these legal principles, Royal Food shall reimburse Espinoza's counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating and bringing this matter to the attention of Royal Food, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Within fifteen (15) business days of the Effective Date, Royal Food shall issue a check payable to "Brodsky Smith" in the amount of \$16,000.00 for delivery to the address identified in § 3.2(a)(i), above.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Release of Royal Food and Downstream Customers and Entities. This Settlement Agreement is a full, final and binding resolution between Espinoza, acting on his own behalf, and Royal Food, of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Espinoza or on behalf of his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assigns (“Releasors”) for failure to provide warnings for alleged exposures to lead from use of the Products, and Releasors hereby release any such claims against Royal Food and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities, shareholders, marketplaces, licensors, licenses, directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, and each entity to whom Royal Food directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products, including but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, and retailers, including but not limited to Family Dollar, and each of their respective subsidiaries, affiliates and parents, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees directors, officers, agents, employees, attorneys, successors, and assignees (collectively, the “Releasees”), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 before the Effective Date or within 60 days after the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from use of the Products. The Releasees are intended third-party beneficiaries of this release and may enforce it directly.

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to §§ 3 and 4 above, Espinoza, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby covenants not to sue and waives any right to institute, participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims that he may have, including without limitation, all actions and causes of action in law and in equity, all obligations, expenses (including without limitation all attorneys’ fees, expert fees, and investigation fees, and costs), damages, losses, liabilities and demands against any of the Releasees of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of the alleged or actual exposure to lead from use of the Products, including but not limited to all claims that Espinoza could bring based on the Notices discussed above in Section 1.4.

5.2 Royal Food's Release of Espinoza. Royal Food, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Espinoza, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Espinoza and/or his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter or with respect to exposure to lead from the Products.

5.3 California Civil Code § 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and relating to the Products will develop or be discovered. Espinoza on behalf of himself only, on one hand, and Royal Food, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Agreement is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through 60 days after the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released in §§ 5.1 and 5.2, above, may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code § 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HER OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HER OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Espinoza and Royal Food each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code § 1542.

5.4 Deemed Compliance with Proposition 65. The Parties agree that compliance by Royal Food with this Settlement Agreement constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to lead from use of the Products.

5.5 Public Benefit. It is Royal Food's understanding that the commitments it has agreed to herein, and actions to be taken by Royal Food under this Settlement Agreement, would confer a significant benefit to the general public, as set forth in Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Cal. Admin. Code tit. 11, § 3201. As such, it is the intent of Royal Food that to the extent any other private party initiates an action alleging a violation of Proposition 65 with respect to Royal Food's failure to

provide a warning concerning exposure to lead prior to use of the Products it has manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale in California, or will manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California, such private party action would not confer a significant benefit on the general public as to those Products addressed in this Settlement Agreement, provided that Royal Food is in material compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Settlement Agreement, any of the provisions of this Settlement Agreement are deemed by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected but only to the extent the deletion of the provision deemed unenforceable does not materially affect, or otherwise result in the effect of the Settlement Agreement being contrary to the intent of the Parties in entering into this Settlement Agreement.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Settlement Agreement shall be governed by the law of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable or limited by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, Royal Food shall provide written notice to Espinoza of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, a Product is so affected.

8. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight or two-day courier on any party by the other party to the following addresses:

For Royal Food:

Cheryl S. Chang and Brittany Wei
Blank Rome
2029 Century Park E., 6th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90067

For Espinoza:

Evan J. Smith
Brodsky Smith
Two Bala Plaza, Suite 805
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Either party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS: SIGNATURES

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or .pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Espinoza agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

11. EFFECT OF OBJECTION BY THE OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

In the event of any objection by the Office of the Attorney General (“OAG”) to this Settlement Agreement, (1) this Agreement will be void ab initio and without any further force or effect; (2) any payments already remitted by Royal Food shall be promptly returned to Royal Food at its request; and (3) the Parties will return to the status quo ante.

12. MODIFICATION AND ENFORCEMENT

This Settlement Agreement may be modified only by a written agreement of the Parties. This Settlement Agreement is enforceable solely by the Parties hereto and the Releasees. If one Party alleges the other Party is in breach, the Parties shall confer in good faith to address the allegation. No suit may be filed until such informal dispute resolution efforts are completed which efforts shall include at least one videoconference meeting.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained of this Settlement Agreement.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date: _____

Date: September 17, 2026

By: _____
Gabriel Espinoza

By: Douglas J. Tilley
Royal Food Import Corporation

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

14. AUTHORIZATION


The undersigned are authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained of this Settlement Agreement.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date: 9 / 21 / 26

Date: _____

By: 
Gabriel Espinoza

By: _____
Royal Food Import Corporation